

**University Memorial Center Board Minutes**  
**Tuesday, September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2016**  
**UMC 245-5:30pm**

*Attending Members: Andrea Z (UMC Dir.); Hanna Daniel (CUSG ex-officio), Emily Breidt (v), Robin Margolin (v), Minuri Gamage (CSI Board Rep), Emma Rae Langdon (Nightride Rep), Hannah Velte (v), Jason Potter (v), Austin Rugh (v), Debbie Cook (v)*

- I. Call to Order (5:32)**
- II. Approvals**
  - I. Tuesday, August 30, 2016 Minutes (approved unanimously)
  - II. Tuesday, September 6, 2016 Agenda (approved unanimously)
- III. Open Hearing**
- IV. Chair's Report (Gavin and Christopher, Daniel w/HDR, Jimmie Baker attending)**
  - i. South Terrace Project Presentation—Update of study they are doing on the roofing project. Roof is above bowling alley, etc., and this requires protection against water damage below. Gavin: roof presently is taking on water but not shedding it. Objectives: waterproofing & water drainage improvements, improve aesthetics. Existing roof has cracks, too. Beneath the tiles there is only a ¼ inch space for the water to escape. Too small. The ramp to the building coming off the roof is non-ideal. Slope of roof is N->S. Picking up water from the building roof as well as water falling on the terrace itself. Hydrotech Ultimate Assembly is a system that elevates the pavers and allows water to shed from the terrace. This system has been used elsewhere on campus (stadium, e.g.), it works well elsewhere. A membrane is placed between pavers and bottom layer of the new roof. HDR does not manufacture the systems they plan to use. They are just the architects. The layers of this system are adjustable. The Hanover pavers have been used elsewhere in the UMC facility. On usability of the area, working on various zones. A circulation zone for people to walk in and out of building on the South. This needs to be able to handle snow and melting snow. The ramp was “slapped on” to make entry ADA compliant. Poor, failing ramp. Inconsistent with the grand architecture of the series of south-facing archways. Forces those needing this entryway to enter from a side entrance. Existing ramp is replaced by a front steps + ramp (ramp has snow melting system to maintain traction for those entering by that route) at the front doorway.
  - ii. Robin: where will large equipment come in/out of building?
  - iii. Architect: Front doors are larger and provide better access than one of the other entryways. Aluminum ramp will be added for things that require it (movable ramp).
  - iv. Daniel: going to introduce a family of furnishings that are more contemporary and provide for multiple users. Smaller tables, community tables, high-top tables & chairs, planters, receptacles,

- v. Emily: for the café tables and chairs...aren't they vulnerable to theft?
- vi. Architect: security cameras help to protect against daytime theft.
- vii. Daniel: these tables/chairs can be brought in at night. This is not a fixed part of the design and usually this changes toward the end of a project of this kind. Planters are for framing and screening to define spaces. Planters cannot be too large to be movable by staff that work on them. Irrigation is not an option given the financial limitations on the project. High top tables along the west end of the terrace.
- viii. Architect: This has been shown in studies to be a better way to locate tables where people are walking by (higher tables are less likely to elicit interaction from passing pedestrians).
- ix. Daniel: The south part of the terrace will have the combination of smaller, movable tables that can be reconfigured by users.
- x. Emma: Will there be bike racks on the terrace.
- xi. Daniel: they will be removed from present location on terrace and placed in front of the terrace. CU has decided to have no bike racks on any terraces on campus. Partly a safety issue (bikes and pedestrians are not mixing as readily as they might have once in the past). The ramp and stairs at the door entryway will have walls in parallel, one west, one east. Adds places to sit.
- xii. Emily: could you mimic the entryway at an angle instead of head-in?
- xiii. Daniel: That would add costs to the entryway due to engineering required.
- xiv. Gavin: We have been talking about whether we can widen that ramp. It is likely to evolve.
- xv. Daniel: If you add an angle, the space behind the angle on the building side becomes an 'orphan' space.
- xvi. Gavin: trying to keep the main space simple to accommodate multiple different uses over the course of a day, supporting by the paving patterns, which tends to promote a non-angular entryway ramp+stairs.
- xvii. Minuri: what about making the tent permanent.
- xviii. Architect: If it is up for more than six months, different requirements apply to its construction (it becomes a permanent structure).
- xix. Andrea: if all the steps are approved, we hope to begin in May and be done, they hope, by fall semester's beginning. Finish date for all the work is 2018.
- xx. Andrea: Any other questions?
- xxi. Gavin: Will it be an improvement?
- xxii. Hannah: Yes.
- xxiii. Daniel: Energy cost of keeping the building below the roof cooler will be reduced by this design.
- xxiv. Emily: is the coloring of the samples reflecting the actual materials that will be used?

- xxv. Architect: The proposed material is a smoother surface than the existing roof surface.
  - b. Representative Positions
    - i. Campus Dining Advisory Board (two positions open)
    - ii. Emily: Anyone interest in this or Sustainability Comm.)? Let me know.
    - iii. Sustainability Committee
  - c. SECA and The Connection Openings. Opening September 14 2-3 SECA, Connection 4-7.
  - d. Board Applications
    - i. Emily: sending any interested parties to me.
- V. Director's Report**
  - a. Earmarks
    - i. Andrea: Went to finance board and they approved all earmark requests for funding.
- VI. CU NightRide**
  - a. Emma: nothing new. Still hiring.
- VII. Student Veterans' Association**
- VIII. Center for Student Involvement**
  - a. Minuri: meeting with finance board last week...all requests approved. Had our grand opening last week. Check out the new space.
- IX. Environmental Center**
- X. CUSG**
  - a. Executive
    - i. Hanna (Rep from Exec Office): Will have a freshman council intern process at end of September. Planning debate watch parties. Will have reps from different political groups on campus present. There will be a panel afterwards to debrief. Still hiring for a sustainability chair, international student liaison and social media chair.
  - b. Legislative
    - i. Austin: saw a bill to push back election by a week to October 31<sup>st</sup>. This is because we are rewriting the election code. The past election raised many issues with the election code and it became obvious that a rewritten code was needed. We need this extra time to prepare that code. Big undertaking. Anyone wishing to offer input into this code, please let Austin et al. know. Please get to Austin by the end of this week.
  - c. Freshman Council
- XI. Feedback**
- XII. Old Business**
- XIII. New Business**
  - a. Lang Mei: Senator from School of Business. Have experience as an entrepreneur. Here to promote improvements to the entrepreneurial opportunities and climate at CU. Happy UMC Board has created CSI and SECA spaces for student groups to share. His group tried to create the first entrepreneurial share space to pursue entrepreneurial activities. It is good to have such a space in the UMC since it is a non-academic location. Good location for students coming from various parts of campus. Close to food. Seems there is unused and large spaces in UMC for such a proposed space. This proposal is consistent with the

Chancellor's interest in creating a campus that generates entrepreneurial projects and resulting companies. It is clear there is some interest, but establishing how much, and whether those who are interested will actually use such a resource, needs to be established. Questions?

- b. Minuri: There is a need for this, and I approve of it. SECA was created because we heard from many underrepresented groups about a need for serve those groups. The original purpose of the space seems somewhat distinct from the proposed use of the space under this proposal.
- c. Lang: we would not try to alter the ultimate target users of the SECA space, but we think this would be compatible with that basic use.
- d. Minuri: is this a registered group?
- e. Lang: No.
- f. Minuri: if you have a registered group, you would qualify to use the SECA space.
- g. Hannah: Glad that you not only want to organize a student organization for this purpose, but one that provide a space for anyone who has entrepreneurial interests. We want SECA to continue to be associated with the underrepresented group needs as it was originally conceived, and even if it is not fully used, it is important that it not appear to be serving interests that fail to fall within the scope of SECA's mission.
- h. Lang: I understand the need to preserve the original purpose of the SECA space. We just want to initiate a campus-wide entrepreneurship initiative.
- i. Debbie: There is an opportunity to design a space for such a purpose in the WillVill construction/renovation. I can talk with you about it.
- j. Lang: Thanks for listening. I will come back with further proposals.

#### **XIV. Adjournment**

Debbie: move to adjourn.

Approved unanimously. 6:32 pm.

#### Robert's Rules

1. Motion (to approve...)
2. 2<sup>nd</sup>
3. Call to Question
4. Acclimation (all in favor)
5. Any Objections?