

**University Memorial Center Board Minutes**  
**Tuesday, March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2016**  
**UMC 245-5:30pm**

**I. Call to Order 5:34 PM**

**II. Approvals**

- I. Tuesday, March 1, 2016 Minutes (amend minutes to say “Minutes instead of agenda) Approved by acclimation, also approved by acclimation
- II. Tuesday, March 15, 2016 Agenda Approved by acclimation

**III. Open Hearing**

**IV. Chair’s Report**

- a. **Emily:** I first want to welcome Greg and Luke who might be replacing Jeremy
- b. 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Tour: The board toured the third floor and saw the space being worked on. It is projected to be done over spring break
- c. Scholarship Committee
  - i. Diana: I wanted to thank everyone that is involved over this weekend. We have a list of people we recommend and we have 15 for the James E. Schafer and 3 for the Director one. We found a lot of the Schafer scholarships have a lot of people who did a lot of work in their organizations like long term plans to continue their work. For the Directorship we saw applicants with exceptional qualities in following our Mission Statement. Overall we have 18 that we want to give out. We have \$1k left over that will roll over to next year with each of these being \$1k. If you have any questions please ask!
  - ii. Potter: can they spend it all on one place
  - iii. \*laughter\*
  - iv. Pawan: how many applied?
  - v. Diana: we got 21 James E Schafer and 4 Directorship
  - vi. Potter: Move to approved by acclimation
  - vii. 2<sup>nd</sup> and approved by acclamation
- d. Space Allocation Committee
  - i. Diana: We will have our first meeting tonight and you will get packets with room checks and applications.
  - ii. Emily: on that note we will also meet on the 12<sup>th</sup> so that we can do the appeals for this and approve recommendations for CSI’s locker allocation process. All four weeks of April plus the last for banquet we will meet.
- e. 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Lounge Name
  - i. Emily: there have been some issues with the name we picked out
  - ii. Andrea: what we have heard from the CUE center was that the name came across as isolating instead of a place where everyone can intermingle. That is some of the feedback and it has less to do with SURGE and more with the acronym with it. There is a request to consider other options.
  - iii. Aaron: do they have any ideas?
  - iv. Andrea: They were thinking of asking student groups to submit ideas from groups.

- v. Hannah: I think it makes sense to alter it to have people like it before we make it and pay for it. Do you think it is a better idea to just wait a week to get outside suggests and if we don't get anything from Spring break or will we wait until after?
- vi. Andrea: we need a final decision by no later than April 12<sup>th</sup>. The design that has to go on there can have a turnaround logo wise in a week. This is one of the last things that go up but they take 6 weeks from production to placement.
- vii. Mini: It might be best to keep it really vague, or would the CUE be opposed to that? I am a little confused by what they want. Like could we call it the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor lounge?
- viii. Andrea: Their feeling is that the acronym feels exclusive. They are not saying that you have to call it X, Y or Z.
- ix. Mini: if we have to have it sooner rather than later, can we have a place holder than think of it later.
- x. Jeremy: if there continues to be no resolution, could we leave it nameless?
- xi. Andrea: that is possible but we have money in our construction budget. If we don't' decide, we would leave it.
- xii. Hannah: is it in our budget to do a one vague one now and then get feedback and at the end of this year or start of fall, we change it to what they like?
- xiii. Emily: it would waste a bit of money to put something up then change it
- xiv. Pawan: I think Joseph brought this up previously of naming it after someone dedicated to social justice and an impact on campus. To still have value and meaning behind it.
- xv. Debbie: The campus has a policy for naming buildings and rooms in buildings and it has some specific criteria and these are like an officer from the university who acted exceptionally, or if you donated an amount of money. Either way a formal proposal has to be made and it has to go through some committees and ultimately it is approved by the cabinet. So I could tell you I tried to name something a couple of years ago and it took a year and a half and it was unsuccessful. I would not recommend going that route.
- xvi. Jack: Would the option of opening this naming contest up to student groups require a motion. If so I would like to present that as a motion?
- xvii. Emily: we could just do that actually, no motion required
- xviii. Diana: I thought the point of this space was for this space to be open to culture and groups. Of course all groups are welcomed but there is a focus for this.
- xix. Jack: and aren't we reserving spaces in these for groups and giving priorities?
- xx. Andrea: First priority is for cultural groups and it doesn't mean that other groups can't use it.
- xxi. Diana: we did talk to students that were concerned about source and we talked about this would be replacing that

- xxii. Hannah: I understand it like groups are saying that this would be boxing them in. Like it would make groups feel like they don't have ownership in other areas.
- xxiii. Andrea: This is feedback from the CUE from groups. I can't be more specific unfortunately.
- xxiv. Hannah: then if they want it, and we are doing this for them, we go with what they want. It is what we owe them. If we have the time we should throw it out to them for them to name.
- xxv. Potter: I think the idea of naming this place after a person is hopeless and because you can't find a person who doesn't elicit or represent all the things that this place is for. It would probably be more difficult. I also think, in a way, we have rules about how it is to be used so the function will not be compromised with how you name it. I can see how you might want something more vague. Just because it is open for their primary use doesn't mean it won't be used by others and it won't be welcoming to others.
- xxvi. Aaron: Did the CUE talk to about the administrative and rule changes?
- xxvii. Andrea: that wasn't really talked about
- xxviii. Aaron: Well I hope we can invite them to a meeting to have a discussion on this.
- xxix. Mini: We are on a timeline though and it is important for their impact to be heard.
- xxx. Joseph: The board took its time picking the name that it did and if it changes its mind now, you shouldn't come up with another name as well. It might be a better use of your time to just ask for a name. If people aren't happy with the outreach done, or if they didn't take advantage then there is some onus for them to come up with a solution. You made the decision and if the decision is now to change because of other people, then the other people should come up with names. This is your decision though. This is absolutely your space and not anyone else's and I don't think you should think there is any interference from other places.
- xxxi. Jeremy: I agree with a lot of what Joseph said. But I also think an issue is that we don't even have a definition of what an underrepresented student group is.
- xxxii. Jack: the soft definition is what EP&C decides
- xxxiii. Aaron: Even that is changing now with CSI
- xxxiv. Robert: I think we should settle on a name that shows that the space is for them but inclusive. The word "underrepresented" might be the part of it. Maybe there is more inclusive like "the diversity lounge" or the "multi-cultural lounge".
- xxxv. Emily: I would like to stay away from any of those since they seem like they are the problem.
- xxxvi. Robert: multi-cultural doesn't really have an exclusive or negative connotation
- xxxvii. Jack: maybe if we just do the inclusivity lounge
- xxxviii. Hannah: I agree with what Joseph said about us putting it out there and we are just guessing what is out there. I think asking

them and giving them a chance. I don't think it was just the CUE but it was from students as well and their organizations. I think we have the responsibilities to represent the students in the UMC. But if we are getting feedback from the students we need to open up the possibilities of changing it. But we shouldn't put pressure on ourselves and guess.

- xxxix. Pawan: Going off of what Robert and Hannah said, the groups appreciated but they didn't like the name. The name puts them in the box and maybe we should go super vague like Club 156. It might take off the weight and it would defeat the purpose.
- xl. Potter: I honestly think that it is like squaring a circle. You can't convey what the space is for without using terms that are objectionable. The fact that you want to provide a space for groups that are at a disadvantageous is why you are doing this. The onus is on those who are uncomfortable with it to come up with an alternative. Everyone is also going to forget what the acronym is for. This is a sensitive areas.
- xli. Emily: Maybe we keep SURGE but make it Surge.
- xlii. Mini: is it possible to not spend the construction money now and not have a name?
- xlili. Andrea: it is part of the construction budget so we can't hold onto it separately. If we don't use it, we will find something else.
- xliv. Deb: at some point you would close the construction project and lose it.
- xlv. Aaron: we were thinking of using art in the space for students to make it their own, are we doing to do something like that
- xlvi. Emily: we are thinking of using the art commission for that
- xlvii. Aaron: Well we are doing multiple things for the space to make it amicable for these students and while it doesn't solve the problem of the name, it does show that this is a really constructed space.
- xlviii. Hannah: I hear a lot of opinions and it might be time for us to make a decision.
- xlix. Diana: We should leave it open for people to suggest a name but if they don't come up with something else, then what can we do? We would have to go with our original idea.
  - l. Emily: The consensus is that we open it up for student groups to suggest and get input hopefully by the 5<sup>th</sup> of April.
  - li. Andrea: would everyone be okay with that?
  - lii. Jack: and if we have a first round of names on April 5<sup>th</sup> we could decide on the 5<sup>th</sup> or 12<sup>th</sup>.
  - liii. Jeremy: with feedback, would we open it up to the leadership of the groups?
  - liv. Emily: Andrea and I would work on logistics.

## **V. Director's Report**

### **a. Budget Update**

- i. Andrea: we presented at leg and we got all 7 of our enhancements and this was a great excitement and there was a discussion on the public arts program and they are looking for additional outreach with marketing to increase participation.

They were concerned with getting enough art to fill the building. I will assign a staff person to do this.

- b. Construction Update
  - i. Andrea: Starbucks is looking to open first week of April and do training before that. Please be supportive on that since CWA will be happening on the same time. We all know what it is like to launch something new and there is a lot of excitement
- c. All Gender Restroom
  - i. Andrea: this should be opening very very soon. And the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor should be open by the 28<sup>th</sup>. As soon as we move over CSI to the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor we will start construction on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor.

**VI. CU NightRide**

- a. Zack: We just got 4 new managers and we are finishing up my director position and you will meet the new people then! I want to remind everyone that our last night is Thursday this week for Spring Break. Spread the word.

**VII. Student Veterans' Association**

- a. Jeremy: we had our meet up this last Thursday with 50 people and had elections. Tommy was elected president and the VP's of design were retained.
- b. Luke: hi everyone I'm Luke. I would love to jump in and thank you for your time.
- c. Greg: Hi I'm an aerospace student and I wanted to see what you were up to.

**VIII. Center for Student Involvement**

- a. Mini: Exciting stuff happening for us on the third floor! We did interview for our two new members and we will do new member training and our second reading of the bylaws. Those will go to leg after the break.

**IX. Environmental Center**

**X. CUSG**

I. Executive

- i. Joseph: we did wrap up the deliberative portion of our budget and I wanted to thank you all for your work on the budget. It has gone through leg and finance and it is interesting seeing that process. Thanks Emily and Andrea and from here the last step is the three execs will go to the Regent meeting to get approved the \$23 million. We decreased the student fee by \$10.67 and provide 0+Unduckables. There are a crazy amount of students coming next year and this has reduced the student fee burden. Let me know if you want to know more. Elections are the 4<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup>. You cannot talk about CUSG candidates, etc. in the CUSG office or in board meetings according to the elections rules. Just do it in spaces that are approved.

II. Legislative

- i. Austin: We finished our budget process and it has been a while since I saw you. We did both readings and it was a very fun experience. Best way to describe it. It was good. All enhancements were passed by us. If you want to know any more about any enhancements please ask me. Thank you Emily and Andrea for sitting through the meetings and answering all

the questions. It is important to get voter turnout and the debate is on March 30<sup>th</sup>. We had less than 4% come out in the past election.

III. Freshman Council

- i. Robert: we are continuing to cooperate for Earth Fest. We are working on an art show and call out for submissions.

**XI. Food Service Advisory Board Update**

- a. Diana: The food waste program software is installed and will start in April to do food rescue. We want it up and running before graduation. There is a round table tomorrow. Overall this committee is excited to work with departments all around campus to get students involved.
- b. Emily: We have a presentation by the Food Service Director on April 5<sup>th</sup>.

**XII. Feedback**

**XIII. Old Business**

- a. Diversity Training
  - i. Emily: if you haven't given me your form yet I will start talking about you loudly at the end of every meeting. Please get that to me.
  - ii. Potter: when is it due?
  - iii. Emily: A month after you joined.

**XIV. New Business**

- a. Pawan: we are accepting nominations for awards our 12<sup>th</sup> annual leadership ceremony. We have around 10 or 11 categories and the due date is April 4<sup>th</sup>. If you want to nominate, go to our website!
- b. Mini: also we are presenting (student ambassadors) and they are stopping in the UMC and they have some out of date information. We are updating them.
- c. Austin: we are presenting so if there is anything specific just let us know.
- d. Jeremy: Quick question about the nominations, can you nominate the grad students.
- e. Hannah: there is only 2 that are for age groups
- f. New Member Orientation
  - i. Emily: if you are new you will be trained after a meeting by Diana

**XV. Adjournment**

Robert's Rules

1. Motion (to approve...)
2. 2<sup>nd</sup>
3. Call to Question
4. Acclimation (all in favor)
5. Any Objections?