**SOCY 3045-001 Sociology of Death and Dying**

**Spring 2019 Syllabus**

## **Classes meet Mondays and Wednesdays 3:00 – 4:15 in HLMS 141**

Office hours Mondays and Wednesdays 11:30 – 12:30 and by appt in Ketchum 169

# **Course Description & Outcomes**

This course addresses sociological aspects of thanatology (the study of death and dying). We will analyze the social meaning of death and its normative treatment in western civilization, with a focus on the contemporary United States. By the end of this course, successful students will be able to:

* Describe and discuss specific theoretical perspectives of thanatology through various written exercises, including discussion posts, and essay questions on exams.
* Describe and discuss significant social influences in the meaning given death.
* Describe and discuss social influences on the experience of dying and of grieving.
* Apply sociological theory to analyses of contemporary controversial issues such as physician-assisted suicide.

# **Instructor Information**

**Contact Information**

Liane Pedersen-Gallegos, Ph.D.

Telephone: 303-818-4839

Email: liane.gallegos@colorado.edu

Office Hours: Mondays and Wednesdays 11:30 – 12:30 and by appointment. Office: Ketchum 169.

The preferred method of communication is email. Please, no text messages.

**Instructor Biography**

Liane Pedersen-Gallegos earned a Ph.D. in Sociology at the University of Colorado at Boulder. Dr. Pedersen-Gallegos (also known as “Dr. Li” by many students) earned a M.A. in Sociology at the University of Colorado at Boulder and a B.A. in Sociology/Psychology (double major) at the Metropolitan State College of Denver. Dr. Li worked 12 years as a research associate at the University of Colorado at Boulder. The focus of her research was the evaluation of pedagogical innovations in the teaching of physical sciences at the undergraduate and graduate levels, with an emphasis on student career development. Dr. Li was the co-director of Ethnography & Evaluation Research for 7 years and was the director for 3 years.

Dr. Li’s research interests are the Sociology of Thanatology (the study of death and dying) and the Sociology of Religion. Dr. Li has been teaching at the University of Colorado since 1985. She has taught 133 classes since then (as of January, 2019), the majority of which were at the University of Colorado. Of these, 58 classes were Sociology of Death and Dying classes and 37 were Sociology of Religion classes. At this time Dr. Li devotes full-time to teaching through the Sociology Department at the University of Colorado at Boulder.

**Communication with the Instructor**

*Students are expected to check both their individual CU email and the Desire2Learn course site a minimum of every two days, Monday through Friday.*

You may contact Dr. Li via email at: liane.gallegos@colorado.edu or by phone at: (303) 818 4839. Her preferred method of contact is email. The best time to reach her is during office hours (Mondays and Wednesdays 11:30 – 12:30). She will make every attempt to respond to questions/messages within 48 hours Monday through Fridays. Saturdays and Sundays, and after 9 PM every day are “off-line” times for Dr. Li when she will not be available.

# **Support and Technical Information**

This course includes embedded and streaming audio and video resources. Most modern browsers should be able to display this content. However, in some circumstances you may need to install the Flash or Quicktime media player. Should you have any difficulty accessing any of your course contents please contact the on campus IT help line at 303-735-HELP (4357) or online at: <http://www.colorado.edu/oit/>

No special technology is necessary for this class. If you can stream movies on your computer, it should be fast enough for this class. No special training or technical experience with computing is necessary for this class. Familiarity with word processing, navigating the web, and use of email should be adequate. If, however, you find that you need assistance, your best source of support is the IT help line (referenced above), or of course, contact the instructor for advice (email preferred, phone with more time-sensitive questions).

The “netiquette” promoted by CU generally is a good guide for this class as well. You can reference the CU policy at:

<http://www.colorado.edu/policies/student-e-mail-policy> and CU policy regarding student conduct in general can be found at:

<http://www.colorado.edu/osc/sites/default/files/attached-files/OSC_Handbook_2014-15.pdf>

# **Reading Assignments**

All of the readings and films are available online. The total amount of reading assigned each week is comparable, although it may be made up of several shorter, individual reading assignments or fewer, more in-depth assignments. Every student is expected to read all of the assignments.  ***It is necessary to keep up with the readings and the assignments in order to succeed in the class.*** While there is flexibility in the schedule for students to read and prepare the assignments up to a week ahead of time, the posting sites for each week will be “open” for a 40 hour period, closing at midnight on the day assignments are due. A suggested weekly assignment routine, which includes ***firm*** due dates for weekly posts is as follows:

Mondays: See you in class! The reading assignment for each week should be finished by class time on the Monday of each week.

Tuesdays: ***The first of two weekly posts is due by Tuesday 11:59 PM***. The Tuesday posts are to include a direct quote from each of the assigned readings (see full directions on the discussions page). No quotes are to be repeated, so you will need to make sure that you are not repeating those chosen by others who have posted ahead of you. ***No credit will be given for repeated quotes***.

Wednesdays: See you in class! Read all students’ Tuesday posts.

Thursdays: ***The second of two weekly posts is due by Thursday 11:59 PM.***

Fridays: Read all students’ Thursday posts. Fridays are a good day to begin the readings due on the following Monday.

Discussion Posts

The purpose of the post assignments is two-fold: to demonstrate that the reading assignments have been done and to provide a forum for students to apply critical thinking to the topic at hand. Students are to select a direct quote—not already posted by another student-- from the reading assignment listed on the syllabus, along with student commentary on the quote. On days when there are more than one reading assignment, follow the specific directions on the Canvas Discussions page. Posts will ideally be comprised of three to five sentences to include: 1) the quote itself, which may be part of a sentence or a whole sentence, but should be no longer than one sentence, and must include an author and page reference so the readers can easily find the quote; 2) the student’s commentary that indicates that they understand the quote in context, having read the whole article from which the quote was chosen.

Posts are due by 11:59 PM on the respective day. The main criterion is that the post contributes to the ongoing discussion in a meaningful way, reflecting critical thought in response to the topic of the week. Posts should be brief, in consideration to the other students, all of whom are required to read every post. The ideal post will be between two and five sentences long, not to exceed 150 words each. The limits placed on the posts are intended to keep the workload manageable for all students, while ensuring everyone has a voice in the on-line discussion.

The tenor of the posts is to remain collegial. This is not to say that everyone must agree with what others post—in fact a range of ideas and opinions is ideal—it is to say that the tone of the interchange is to be considerate.

Grading Criteria for Discussion Posts

Both of the weekly posts will be worth 5 points. Credit is earned by adhering to the assignment guidelines: use of an original quotation (one not already chosen by another student) and placing the quote in context sufficient to demonstrate that one has read the whole assignment.

Developing good writing skills is a fundamental part of higher education. Correct grammar and spelling are also social courtesies writers extend to their readers, reflecting a level of investment the writer has in their own thinking and writing. The occasional misspelling and grammatical error will be overlooked, but points will be deducted if the quality of the post is diminished due to errors (3 or more errors, in a single posting).

Late posts will not be accepted, as the timeliness of the online discussion is key. The discussion pages will be open for most of two days, closing at 11:59 PM on the respective due dates. The Canvas system will close at that time, even if a student is in the process of typing a post. For this reason it is important to allow adequate time for typing one’s posts. If an occasion arises that you miss a deadline by a minute or two, please email the post to the instructor **within 30 minutes**, so that it may be considered for partial credit. Similarly, the instructor will make every effort to provide timely grading and feedback for weekly discussion posts, available to students via the online gradebook.

In summary, the posts will constitute an online “discussion” among the class members. Every student is to post two responses to each week’s reading assignments (in the event that students contribute additional posts, only the first post for a given post assignment will be graded). The instructor will provide guidance to facilitate discussions and students’ comprehension of the material as appropriate. Students’ responses to the readings should be about a paragraph in length—and limited to 150 words. Grades for discussion contributions will be based on the following: indication that the student has read and understands the readings for the week and contributions to the online discussion reflect insight (See *Bloom’s Taxonomy* on Canvas for more information regarding grading written work).

Points from the posts will be deducted for: lack of correct citation for quotes, three or more typos in the post, “thin” post that contains too little indication of comprehension or critical thinking, and no credit will be given for quotes already used by another student.

Students are expected to read all the posts for the week, including any instructor feedback. Instructor commentary provided on the discussion posts will be helpful in clarifying important concepts in the class.

An example of a good post (including quotation from assigned reading) from an earlier class follows:

*Sample Post*

**“The effects of death are strikingly portrayed in the medieval concept of death as an *equalizer,* or leveler. Since death was ever present then due to plague, epidemics, and wars, a uniquely social conception of death developed” (Charmaz, p 69).**

In our society, we often think of death as something "other", something unpleasant, and something unworthy of acknowledgment or review. However, as Charmaz writes, this was not always the case. This can help explain why our modern conception of death differs from that of the past. Death was much more ubiquitous in medieval societies, and of particular importance is the fact that it was not just the old who were dying. The infant mortality rate was astronomically high compared to today, thus both the young and old knew that death could come for them at any point.

# **Exams**

There will be two tests and one final during the semester, as noted in the course calendar: the final exam is scheduled for Monday, May 6 at 7:30 PM – 10:00 PM). Each exam is worth 30 points. The exams will be essay format. Answer questions as completely as possible, explaining your answers. Your explanation of your answers is the heart of your answer—points are awarded for complete answers, including context provided.

**Course Calendar**

The course is designed for topics to be studied in sequential order. Readings can be started and/or finished up to two weeks ahead of time, but all posts must be submitted during the two days up to and including the due date and time (when the Canvas post site will be available), in the interest of keeping the discussions current.

Dr. Li reserves the right to adjust the course calendar and will alert students if there are any adjustments.

**1 Week of January 13 (the first day of class is Monday, January 14) Introduction**

Reading Assignment:

* “Lap Tops are Great. But not During a Lecture or a Meeting”

**2 Week of January 20 The Changing Meaning of Death**

Reading Assignment:

* Tolstoy, “*The Death of Ivan Ilych*” [[1]](#footnote-1)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, January 22

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, January 24

**3 Week of January 27 The Changing Meaning of Death**

 Reading Assignment:

* Aries, “*Western Attitudes toward Death*”[[2]](#footnote-2)
* Gorer, “*The Pornography of Death*”[[3]](#footnote-3)
* Life Expectancy Information[[4]](#footnote-4)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, January 29

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, January 31

**4 Week of February 3 Socialization**

 Reading Assignment:

* Charmaz, “*Death Conceptions and Concerns*”[[5]](#footnote-5)

Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, February 5

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, February 7

**5 Week of February 10 Dying in an Institution**

 Reading Assignment:

* Sudnow, “*Preparation of the Corpse and Pre-Corpse*”[[6]](#footnote-6)
* Carey “*Living Until Death: A Program of Service and Research for the Terminally Ill*”[[7]](#footnote-7)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, February 12

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, February 14

**Test #1 Wednesday, February 13**

**6 Week of February 17 Hospice**

 Reading Assignment

* Kastenbaum, “*Hospice and Palliative Care”[[8]](#footnote-8)*
* Hannon, *“A Spreading Appreciation for the Benefits of Hospice Care”[[9]](#footnote-9)*
* Song et al., *“Dying on the Streets: Homeless Persons’ Concerns and Desires about End-of-Life Care”[[10]](#footnote-10)*

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, February 19

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, February 21

**7 Week of February 24 Funerals**

 Reading Assignment:

* Whalen “*How Different Religions Pay their Final Respects*”[[11]](#footnote-11)
* Mitford, “*Fashions in Funerals”[[12]](#footnote-12)*
* Basler, “*Green Graveyards—A Natural Way to Go*” [[13]](#footnote-13)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, February 26

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, February 28

**8 Week of March 3 Grief and Bereavement**

 Reading Assignment

* Rando “*The Increased Prevalence of Complicated Mourning: the Onslought is Just Beginning” [[14]](#footnote-14)*
* Charmaz “*The Social Psychology of Grief and Mourning”[[15]](#footnote-15)*
* Doka, *“Disenfranchised Grief*”[[16]](#footnote-16)
* Corr, “*Enhancing the Concept of Disenfranchised Grief*” [[17]](#footnote-17)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, March 5

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, March 7

**9**  **Week of March 10 Suicide**

 Reading Assignment

* Kastenbaum, “*Suicide*”[[18]](#footnote-18)
* Liu, “*Suicide Rates in the World: 1950-2004*”[[19]](#footnote-19)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, March 12

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, March 14

**10 Week of March 17 Suicide Prevention**

Reading Assignment

* Murray, “*A Search for Death: How the Internet is Used as a Suicide Cookbook*”[[20]](#footnote-20)
* University of Colorado Suicide Prevention Materials[[21]](#footnote-21)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, March 19

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, March 21

**Test #2 Wednesday, March 20**

**Week of March 24 Spring Break**

**11 Week of March 31 Medical Ethics: End of Life Choices**

Reading Assignment

* + Shneidman, “*Criteria for a Good Death*” [[22]](#footnote-22)
	+ Orr and Meilander, “*Ethics and Life’s Ending: An Exchange*”[[23]](#footnote-23)
	+ Childress, “*Priorities in the Allocation of Health Care and Health Care Resources*”[[24]](#footnote-24)
	+ Collection of Lamm articles [[25]](#footnote-25)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, April 2

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, April 4

**12 Week of April 7 Physician-Assisted Suicide (PAS)**

Reading Assignment

* + Brock, “*How Much is More Life Worth*?” [[26]](#footnote-26)
	+ Oregon’s Annual Report re Physician-Assisted Suicide

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, April 9

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, April 11

**13 Week of April 14 Terror Management Theory**

Reading Assignment

* Becker, “*The Terror of Death*” [[27]](#footnote-27)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, April 16

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, April 18

**14 Week of April 21 Sociological Analyses of Near-Death Experiences**

Reading Assignment

* Betty, “*Are They Hallucinations or Are They Real? The Spirituality of Death-bed and Near-Death Visions*” [[28]](#footnote-28)
* Rinpoche, “*The Near-Death Experience: A Staircase to Heaven?”[[29]](#footnote-29)*
* Zaleski, “*Evaluating Near-Death Testimony”[[30]](#footnote-30)*
* Jung, Carl G. Memories, Dreams, Reflections.[[31]](#footnote-31)

 Initial Discussion Post due Tuesday, April 23

Second Discussion Post due Thursday, April 25

**15 Week of April 28 Synthesis**

 Reading Assignment Study Guide for Final Exam

**Final Exam Monday, May 6 7:30 – 10:00 PM**

# **Grading Criteria**

Letter grades are assigned only at the end of the semester as final grades for the course. Individual assignments and exams will receive points and the final letter grade will be based on points accumulated, as indicated below. The instructor will make every effort to grade assignments and exams within one week of the date submitted. The instructor reserves the right to curve the final grades in the event of lower-than-expected average points accumulated.

Discussion Postings 130 points 225 – 250 A

Test #1 30 points 200 – 224 B

Test #2 30 points 175 – 199 C

Final Exam 30 points 150 – 174 D

Engagement in Class 30 points

 *250 Total Points Possible*

Study guides will be provided prior to the exams, and the test questions will be based on the study guides, reading and film assignments, and lectures.

# **Class Policies**

**Student Responsibilities**

***It is necessary to keep up with reading and all other assignments to succeed in the class.*** In sum, this is made up of:

* weekly readings,
* participation in weekly online threaded discussions with the class, and
* three exams (including the final exam)

Students are responsible for keeping up with postings and other class announcements. ***Students are expected to check both their individual CU email and the Desire2Learn course material a minimum of every two days, Monday through Thursday.***

All cell phone ringers must be turned off during class. Use of electronics is limited to typing (not recording) lecture notes.

**Late and Missing Assignments**

Late posts are not accepted, as their value lies largely in their inclusion in class discussion. Missing assignments will receive zero points.

**Accommodations**

Exams/tests for students who qualify for accommodations through Disability Services can be administered by our student assistants (sociology@colorado.edu). Exams/tests must be scheduled through the student assistants at least one week in advance, with the request coming from the instructor. This semester we will have less availability to administer accommodations due to less student support as well as less space. We will do our best to assist when we can, but Disability Services is always available to proctor exams for these approved students. We can also help reserve a room if you are administering the exam/test.

***The student must be approved by the student’s Disability Access Coordinator*** to have accommodations provided. Please provide the student's accommodation letter to the staff (sociology@colorado.edu) along with the Sociology exam/test proctoring form. More information can be found at the following:

<https://www.colorado.edu/disabilityservices/faculty-staff/working-disability-services-students/providing-accommodations>

Students needing to cancel an exam/test scheduled with student assistants need to communicate with the professor and student assistants no less than 1 day before the scheduled exam/test. Students should expect that exams/tests will be proctored, and that they will be observed in person. A proctor is allowed to check materials that the student has in her/his possession. Items such as notes, books, and calculators cannot be used by the student unless confirmed by the professor prior to the exam. Personal items may not be taken into the testing area. Food, drinks, and gum (excluding water) are not allowed in the testing area unless it is an authorized accommodation. Students are responsible for bringing her/his own supplies and references, as have been permitted by the professor or per the student’s accommodations letter. If a student is suspected of academic dishonesty during an exam, Sociology staff will inform the professor who will determine any consequences.

**Plagiarism Policy**

Class policy regarding plagiarism is in keeping with the university policies. Assignments turned in via Desire2Learn are routinely submitted to an anti-plagiarism soft-ware program. Appropriate citation of all sources used is required on all assignments. Failure to accurately and adequately cite sources is considered plagiarism.

# University Policies

# Accommodation for Disabilities

If you qualify for accommodations because of a disability, please submit your accommodation letter from Disability Services to your faculty member in a timely manner so that your needs can be addressed. Disability Services determines accommodations based on documented disabilities in the academic environment. Information on requesting accommodations is located on the [Disability Services website](http://www.colorado.edu/disabilityservices/students). Contact Disability Services at 303-492-8671 or dsinfo@colorado.edu for further assistance. If you have a temporary medical condition or injury, see [Temporary Medical Conditions](http://www.colorado.edu/disabilityservices/students/temporary-medical-conditions) under the Students tab on the Disability Services website.

# Classroom Behavior

Students and faculty each have responsibility for maintaining an appropriate learning environment. Those who fail to adhere to such behavioral standards may be subject to discipline. Professional courtesy and sensitivity are especially important with respect to individuals and topics dealing with race, color, national origin, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, creed, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, veteran status, political affiliation or political philosophy. Class rosters are provided to the instructor with the student's legal name. I will gladly honor your request to address you by an alternate name or gender pronoun. Please advise me of this preference early in the semester so that I may make appropriate changes to my records. For more information, see the policies on [classroom behavior](http://www.colorado.edu/policies/student-classroom-and-course-related-behavior) and the [Student Code of Conduct](http://www.colorado.edu/osccr/).

# Honor Code

All students enrolled in a University of Colorado Boulder course are responsible for knowing and adhering to the Honor Code. Violations of the policy may include: plagiarism, cheating, fabrication, lying, bribery, threat, unauthorized access to academic materials, clicker fraud, submitting the same or similar work in more than one course without permission from all course instructors involved, and aiding academic dishonesty. All incidents of academic misconduct will be reported to the Honor Code (honor@colorado.edu); 303-492-5550). Students who are found responsible for violating the academic integrity policy will be subject to nonacademic sanctions from the Honor Code as well as academic sanctions from the faculty member. Additional information regarding the Honor Code academic integrity policy can be found at the [Honor Code Office website](https://www.colorado.edu/osccr/honor-code).

# Sexual Misconduct, Discrimination, Harassment and/or Related Retaliation

The University of Colorado Boulder (CU Boulder) is committed to fostering a positive and welcoming learning, working, and living environment. CU Boulder will not tolerate acts of sexual misconduct (including sexual assault, exploitation, harassment, dating or domestic violence, and stalking), discrimination, and harassment by members of our community. Individuals who believe they have been subject to misconduct or retaliatory actions for reporting a concern should contact the Office of Institutional Equity and Compliance (OIEC) at 303-492-2127 or cureport@colorado.edu. Information about the OIEC, university policies, [anonymous reporting](https://cuboulder.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0PnqVK4kkIJIZnf), and the campus resources can be found on the [OIEC website](http://www.colorado.edu/institutionalequity/).

Please know that faculty and instructors have a responsibility to inform OIEC when made aware of incidents of sexual misconduct, discrimination, harassment and/or related retaliation, to ensure that individuals impacted receive information about options for reporting and support resources.

# Religious Holidays

Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty make every effort to deal reasonably and fairly with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled exams, assignments or required attendance. In this class, please notify the instructor a minimum of one week before the requested excused absence.

See the [campus policy regarding religious observances](http://www.colorado.edu/policies/observance-religious-holidays-and-absences-classes-andor-exams) for full details.
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