

**Recreation Board Minutes
September 30, 2014**

I. Call to order- 5:44pm

II. Roll Call: Aleia Amaya, Richard Bateman, Hailey Bohannon, James Bradbury, Chelsea Canad, Gary Chadwick, George Hoey, Tim Jorgensen, Gabbie Krupp, Nan Lu, Patty McConnell, Spencer Murphy, Pauline Olivas, Alec Parkin, Dan Rummel, Lee Silbert, Ellese Spaeth, Kyle Suleski, Jessica Wagner

III. Public Forum: none

IV. Reading & Approval of Minutes:

- a. Mr. Parkin- motion to approve, Mr. Bateman- seconded, Ms. Amaya- acclamation, Ms. Spaeth- discussion, none

V. Changes/Approval of Agenda items: none

VI. Old business: none

VII. CUSG Report- Aleia is taking Andy's place as liaison.

VIII. New business- none

IX. Chair's report-

- a. Liaison sheet- Ms. Spaeth will send out a list and questions for liaisons to fill out. Each month, email your liaison checking in and asking for any agenda items to bring up in the next meeting. She will include their contact information in a Google Doc.
- b. By-law Policy Review- Mr. Bradbury's summer project was updating manual and by-laws, yellow portions have been changed in the new 2014 copy. Red portions are things the board needs to discuss. Language for scheduling requests will be included in the new by-laws, once approved.
 - Ms. Spaeth- Let's go through each copy page-by-page and check over highlighted items.
 - Mr. Bradbury- These may not have been wrong, just unclear.
 - Mr. Silbert - "Shall" has changed to "will".
 - Ms. Spaeth- RC has been removed, because we no longer use that.
 - Mr. Bateman- Eligibility for Rec Board membership is not defined at the bottom of page 1 or inside the document.
 - Mr. Bradbury- It says that students can join, voting members have other requirements.
 - Mr. Bateman- Requests a footnote, or referral for readers to find that information. "Members of the board" should specify voting members.
 - Ms. Spaeth- Area of discussion: #2- voting members- assumed that we would leave as is...
 - Mr. Chadwick- This used to be a subcommittee of Legislative council members who would have representatives fill the board with members, but it's hard to find people, and completion ended up being too late in the semester for much action.
 - Ms. Spaeth- Should we leave it in there? What do the CUSG by-laws say?
 - Ms. Canad- Majority of boards are recommended and approved by Legislative branch- looking into whether this is in the constitution or the bylaws
 - Mr. Parkin- The first sentence is confusing, "full students"?

Mr. Silbert- Terms of students are typically 2 years unless they resign, or graduate.

Ms. Spaeth- Should we re-interview maybe after two years?

Mr. Parkin- Unclear, if its from higher up and we can't change it.

Mr. Chadwick- This could have evolved...

Ms. Spaeth- It's easy enough to re-vote someone in, re-ratify.

Mr. Parkin- I see why it's in there, like a presidential election.

Mr. Silbert- Is there a reason there's no term length for non-student members?

Mr. Parkin- Could be because they're not ratified. Essentially, a non-student could get up and leave, there's nothing tying them here, no term requirement to fill.

Mr. Silbert- So it's up to them to decide how long to stay- is there a way to kick them out?

Ms. Amaya- Who's in charge of this?

Mr. Bradbury- I have access to the CUSG bylaws.

Ms. Spaeth- It's on the CU website.

Mr. Chadwick- I doubt if it has changed very much at all.

Ms. Amaya- Will check the new version.

Mr. Chadwick- What about "nonvoting"- what does that mean? Non-student voting members, community members, Rec center staff..? Not laid out.

Ms. Spaeth- We can figure this out next meeting.

Mr. Bateman- What about the call for elections- not referenced or defined. Please describe the "call for nominations"...

Ms. Spaeth- It's hard to define because we recruit people to be in meetings, not to vote.

Mr. Bradbury- This is referring just to make members voting members.

Ms. Spaeth- Doesn't necessarily need to be defined; it is the nature of the Board.

Mr. Bateman- It's been informal in the past.

Mr. Parkin- Differentiate between expressing interest in running or just asking.

Mr. Bateman- Official threshold you need to pass? Put names on a ballot? If two people are running, there's a process involved automatically.

Mr. Parkin- The chair goes about it their own way, emails whomever interested.

Ms. Spaeth- The way the chair sits now, members can campaign. Let's keep it open-ended since it could vary how many people are interested/eligible each term.

Mr. Chadwick- This would be an agenda item, whenever it comes up.

POLICY MANUAL

Ms. Lu- The first part mirrors bylaws.

Mr. Bradbury- Equal Opportunity is capitalized.

Mr. Bateman- It's a title, as in an Equal Opportunity employer.

Ms. Lu- Page 2, number 4- under board jurisdictions- do we need clarification because not all fees come to Rec Board- like offering a new karate class..?

Ms. Spaeth- Responsible for setting rates for membership, locker, and rental fees.

Mr. Parkin- Are we responsible for setting all rates?

Mr. Chadwick- It's up to you. Every time we start a new class and want to charge a fee, we could run it by you...

Ms. Lu- The use of "shall" suggests that we have to-- this is very extensive.

Mr. Parkin- We could leave it as an option, in case it's controversial down the line. Important for the Board to still have the power to choose.

Mr. Bradbury- We could make it "may", rather than "shall"/will.

Mr. Chadwick- I like the binding shall- we should be able to bring these fees to you.

Mr. Jorgensen- We could add something about the right to oversee fees- some classes are all over though, or go through the budget.

Ms. Lu- Class fees can be established at the beginning of the semester.

Mr. Chadwick- What about new classes in the middle of the semester?

Ms. Lu- That's the exception.

Mr. Parkin- Let's leave the vote then, we can email votes if a class needs it right away.

Mr. Chadwick- If you start mid-semester, you're probably flexible enough to get Board approval, same goes for the facility request.

Ms. Lu- Service ops does this create any problems for you?

Ms. McConnell- We're trying to get people to use the scheduling process.

Mr. Chadwick- Anything outside of the budget process should have consistency.

Mr. Jorgensen- Intramurals will set their prices; outdoor & fitwell like trying new things.

Mr. Chadwick- How often? If the Board's in charge of setting fees, let's keep it consistent- we do or we don't.

Mr. Jorgensen- Does that mean the Board sets fees for all the clubs?

Mr. Chadwick- Those are dues.

Mr. Bradbury- Both versions (may & shall) have the same strength- cover all

Mr. Silbert- Let's make it so we can review and approve the fees.

Mr. Chadwick- We need direction on what to bring to you and what not to.

Ms. Lu- Lockers are generally a big profit, classes not quite as significant for the board to decide. Are individual prices worth debating? Or could we just have a price list to review and approve at the beginning of a certain term?

Mr. Chadwick- We don't want to get into club sports.

Ms. Spaeth- Dues are separate than fees. Group members pick dues, and fees are based on different tiers...

Ms. McConnell- Budget fees given to teams are set by club sports and allocated accordingly

Mr. Chadwick- When did that start?

Ms. McConnell- 16 or 17 years ago, John Meyer brought it in.

Mr. Bradbury- Would club sports fees be included in "all other charges for dept services"

Ms. Amaya- You could add language to clarify.

Mr. Chadwick- Make it an exception.

Ms. Spaeth- moving on

Ms. Lu- (Page 3) Voting by proxy- item c- the last sentence in new copy is missing the 3 hours rule. (Page 4) Old policy says Rec Board members, new one says student members? We can talk to non-student members.

Mr. Bradbury- Will take that out. Regarding #4- what does this mean?

Mr. Bateman- You can set a policy, but it's important to review it for applicability-- whether or not it's performing how it was meant to.

Ms. Canad- When, though? Is there a set term?

Mr. Bateman- It's like unreviewed CO statutes, they just stay in there.

Ms. Spaeth- Isn't that this 2 year bylaw review? Could that count as the review?

Mr. Bradbury- Change the word review, not fitting for interpretations. Change to consider.

Mr. Bateman- There's discrepancy between the 2nd and 3rd point then- advocate for a way to combine those sentences. Does continuous make sense?

Mr. Chadwick- Don't you do this under the rule of how often you review manuals and bylaws?

Ms. Spaeth- We can put in every two years.

Mr. Bradbury- Don't want to say scheduled review, maybe regular review?

Ms. Amaya- Based on our discretion?

Ms. Spaeth- Can we leave the language, although it's ambiguous.

Mr. Bradbury- Review board policies at a minimum of every two years. What about #3?

Mr. Bateman- Let's cut it.

Ms. Lu- It seems like most of the rest of this review I can do individually with James.

Mr. Bradbury- What about scheduling, though? (page 8) & adding new facility requests?

Ms. Spaeth- As we talked about, we'll vote via email with time restrictions.

Mr. Bateman- What's "while in session"?

Ms. Spaeth- The school term; we pass authority to staff over the summer. Otherwise this would leave everything to Patty who would have to email everyone all summer.

Mr. Parkin- Isn't this saying if we're not physically in the meeting, we can email our votes?

Mr. Bradbury- Changing to "voted on by the Board during a meeting, while in session".

Ms. Spaeth- Is everyone comfortable with that language? Until next time, we'll keep it.

X. Directors report:

a. Mr. Chadwick- Tim is retiring December 1st.

b. Tennis courts opened on Monday!

XI. Executive Team Reports: none

XII. Announcements:

Ms. Spaeth- After this meeting, we're going to see the year end report for Finance Board at 7:15 in the UMC.

XIII. Executive session: tabled for next meeting

XIV. Adjournment:

Motion- alec, Seconded- richard, alexis, Discussion- none

Adjournment- 6:40pm