TIER 2 GRANT PROPOSAL SCORING RUBRIC

Criterion	4 - Exemplary	3 - Adequate	1 - Needs Improvement	0 - Insufficient Evidence	Comments/Notes
Project description	Articulates the project including the roles/responsibilitie s of and clear benefits to all stakeholders. Rooted in research, teaching, or creative work. Involves communities with less access to university resources	Articulates the project including the roles/responsibilities of stakeholders with potential benefits specified. Involves external communities that do not clearly have history of exclusion. Rooted in research, teaching, or creative work.	Some stakeholder roles/responsibilities are articulated. Tangentially related to research, teaching, or creative work. Lacks substantial information about external community.	Roles and benefits are not articulated. Not related to teaching, research, or creative work. No mention of external community.	
Relevance and Justification	community and academic importance of the	Broadly illustrates community and academic importance with limited detail. References key stakeholders' perspectives. Addresses a clear community need, but unclear if project responds to community request.	Describes either academic or community importance of the project with limited detail. Stakeholder perspectives are not included or only briefly referenced. Community need is unclear.	Unconvincing or no evidence of academic/community importance presented.	

NAME

FUNDING REQUESTED

FUNDING REQU					
	community request.				
Alignment	Project outcomes or activities align PACES mission/vision, campus definition of outreach and engagement (OE), and goals of greater community.	Project elements align with PACES mission/vision or the greater community, but not both. Falls within the campus definition of OE.	not directly related to PACES mission/vision or community goals. Addresses part but not all of campus definition of OE.	definition of OE.	
Feasibility	project description	Deficiencies or overestimations exist in human resources, timeline, or budget within tolerable range, outcomes appear achievable despite gaps or leaps.		Insufficient information about human resources, project activities timeline, or budget expenditures to gauge feasibility.	
Communication Plan		General plan for communicating with partners/participants and, if applicable, disseminating findings.	Plan is missing key details, tangentially address disseminating findings.	Communication plans are mission or unusable.	

APPLICANT PROJECT

NAME

FUNDING REQUESTED

FUNDING REQU	ESTED				
Assessment/Evaluati on	how data will be collected and used to demonstrate the degree to which	5		Evaluation plans missing or unusable.	
Budget	calculations for all listed items and is limited to the proposal's scope. Justification clearly	calculations for all listed items and is limited to the	Justification does not adequately explain how items requested will	Budget includes no calculations for requested items and/or includes non-allowable expenses. No justification provided.	
Total Score					

APPLICANT PROJECT

NAME

FUNDING REQUESTED

Recommend	Yes	Partial \$	Not at this time	
	lies	Falual Ø		
Funding?				
Additional Comments	•			