## PACES Tier 3 and 4 Grant Proposal Scoring Rubric

Scoring Key

	0	1	2	3	4
Criterion/ category is	missing, not addressed.	partially addressed or underdeveloped.	adequately addressed in a plausible manner.	well thought out in a fairly thorough manner.	extensive and addressed in a very compelling manner.

Alignment with Campus Definition of Outreach and Engagement

	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Reciprocity and mutual benefit</b> Partners and participants have appropriate, meaningful opportunities to contribute and benefit from project process and outcomes. Identifies how relationships will be fostered and sustained.					
Rooted in scholarship, creative work and teaching Engagement activities connect to and advance faculty-led research, creative work, and/or teaching.					
<b>Public need(s)</b> Evidence of the public need as well as the rationale for CU Boulder involvement has been informed by relevant research, partner consultation and/or a direct request. Engages with communities with less access to university resources.					

Project Design and Proposal Elements

	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Project overall goals/objectives</b> Goals/objectives are well-defined and clearly connected to the problem or issue being addressed.					
<b>Project plan and timeline</b> Outlines plan to achieve goals and provides detailed and realistic timeline.					
<b>Participant engagement and inclusion</b> Provides details that demonstrate how the program is inclusive and accessible for all participants.					

<b>Student Involvement</b> Details of student involvement in the project and how students will be supported, trained and/or mentored is well defined.			
<b>Contingency plan</b> Contingency plan for how the program could be modified if in-person activities or travel is not permissible is well thought out.			

## Evaluation and Budget

	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Evaluation</b> Detailed evaluation plan that includes appropriate benchmarks and approaches used to collect evidence to monitor quality and impact of outreach work.					
<b>Budget narrative</b> Clearly explains how the budget will support the activities and people described in proposal. Justifies why funds are needed in addition to other funding and/or how grant funding will be leveraged to obtain other funding (if applicable).					

Additional Considerations - For Faculty Group/Interdisciplinary Proposals

	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Collaboration</b> Interdisciplinary/interdepartmental collaboration is clearly defined, and participating faculty work is synthesized under a single theme or topic.					

Additional Considerations - For Continuing Project Proposals

	0	1`	2	3	4
<b>Continuing Projects</b> Project's impact to date and rationale for continued funding is clear and compelling.					

## **Total Scores**

Tier 3 (Individual Faculty) Proposal - New Proposal Score (Total/Overall): \_\_\_\_\_/40

Tier 3 (Individual Faculty) Proposal - Continuing Proposal Score (Total/Overall): \_\_\_\_\_\_/44

Tier 4 (Faculty Group) Proposal - New Proposal Score (Total/Overall): \_\_\_\_\_/44

Tier 4 (Faculty Group) Proposal - Continuing Proposal Score (Total/Overall): \_\_\_\_\_/48