Preparing for the Moon with EDGES

Prof. Judd D. Bowman Arizona State University

judd.bowman@asu.edu

Network for Exploration and Space Science 11/30/2020

EDGES Team



Dr. Alan Rogers MIT / Haystack



Dr. Raul Monsalve McGill University



Nivedita Mahesh ASU (Ph.D. student)



Dr. Steven Murray ASU



Dr. John Barrett

MIT / Haystack



David Lewis

ASU



Akshatha Vydula ASU (Ph.D. student)



Dr. Tom Mozdzen ASU (Ph.D. '17)



Additional technicians/engineers

- Mark Derome
- Hamdi Mani
- Jim Traffie
- Ken Wilson

And on-site support from the CSIRO MRO team



<u>Undergraduate students</u>: Kali, Lauren, Leroy, Sarah, Hamdi, Breana, Jose, Delani, Ethan

Dr. Titu Samson ASU

EDGES

- Measurement: Sky-averaged radio spectrum below 200 MHz
- **Requirement:** Knowable instrument response at 0.01%
- Instrument design: Widefield, wideband radio spectrometer
 - Compact antenna and embedded receiver
 - Temperature controlled receiver with internal references to provide a time-invariant response through Dicke-switch scheme
- Instrument strategy now followed by other global 21cm experiments Well-matched to lunar surface or orbital missions
- Almost continuous remote operation since 2015 with three variations of antennas





Preparing core techniques

Absolute calibration and antenna modeling

Calibration

- Measure response to known inputs in the laboratory to solve for absolute temperature scale
 - Absolute accuracy to 1%
 - Relative accuracy in band to < 0.01%
- In-situ measurement of antenna impedance
- Now commonly used by global 21cm experiments (e.g. SARAS-3, REACH, LEDA)
- Key contributions through NASA support:
 - NASA Roman Technology Fellowship
 - LUNAR and DARE: Integrating instrumental effects into analysis (e.g. Tauscher et al. 2018)
 - NESS: Developing joint Bayesian estimation of calibration and science parameters (see Steven Murray's talk)



Monsalve et al. 2017, Rogers & Bowman 2012

Modeling Chromatic Antenna Beams

- Antennas are chromatic their properties depend on frequency. This couples angular sky structure into observed spectrum
- Cannot presently measure antenna beam with sufficient accuracy
- Need extremely accurate electromagnetic antenna models to capture these effects
- Developed modeling techniques for EDGES and verified across three numerical solvers. Validated by comparing EDGES data and simulated observations
- **Results**: Uncertainty from sky model and antenna environment







Example of Antenna Environment

- Many factors have significant impact
 - Multipath/reflections
 - Soil properties
 - Ambient weather conditions
 - Unmodelled imperfections in ground plane

2 K per division

Residuals to 5-term foreground model

15-min bins across 24 hr

Residuals increase toward GHA=0 hr (Galactic Center transit)

Studying structure seen at ~22 hr, which could correspond to scattering by object tens of meters from antenna

A. Rogers and R. Monsalve



Preparing knowledge of the sky

Three examples

Flux calibration of sky maps

- Accurate sky maps are critical for including antenna chromatic effects in analyses
- Existing maps are calibrated to only about 10% accuracy.
- Using EDGES observations to improve flux calibration of Guzman (45 MHz) and Landecker & Wielebinski (150 MHz) sky maps.

Correction factors

Scale:	+7.6 %	+/-	3.4 %	(2 <i>σ</i>)
Zero-level:	-160 K	+/-	78 K	(2 <i>σ</i>)





Monsalve et al. (submitted)

High resolution sky maps

- OVRO-LWA has created highresolution sky maps for northern hemisphere for 35-80 MHz (Eastwood et al. 2018)
- EDGES team pilot program using Murchison Widefield Array (MWA) in Australia to apply same imaging technique to fill in southern sky



Three-color composite image of the long wavelength radio sky. The 36.5, 52.2, and 73.2 MHz data are represented as red, green, and blue respectively. https://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov

New limits on Radio Recombination Lines

- Radio recombination lines (RRLs) are possible foregrounds for 21cm power spectra during Dark Ages and Cosmic Dawn
- Easily detected on Galactic plane, but poorly constrained off plane
- Using EDGES observations to place limits on these lines across the sky

Beam average across 0-4 hr LST

Carbon: >33 mK Hydrogen: 6-24 mK

David Lewis et al. (in prep, applying to grad schools!)





Applying lessons learned

Lunar surface and EDGES-3

Lunar Surface

- To minimize susceptibility to soil and nearby objects, need either:
 - Very uniform soil properties to 10s of meters depth
 - To use a large metal ground plane (ideally 100 meter diameter)
- Does a lunar surface dipole need a metal ground plane?
- Modeling effects of regolith to assess feasibility



EDGES-3

- Apply lessons learned to create nextgeneration instrument
- 50-meter (or no) ground plane to improve antenna beam effects
- Integrate receiver *into* antenna to minimize electrical path length
- Integrate calibration standards into system
- Combine calibration and science inference for full error propagation
- Open source software and public data
- Pathfinder for flight hardware
 - Replacing mechanical switches with solid state
 - Integrating into compact printed circuit board
 - Reducing size for better thermal management



EDGES-3 antenna



EDGES-3 test PCB

Summary

- EDGES has paved the way for lunar 21cm global missions
 - Established fundamental instrument design and calibration techniques
 - Developed accurate instrument and antenna modeling
 - Identified need for knowledge of environment and sky
- Preparing next-generation EDGES-3 and lunar instrument designs based on this validated foundation
- NASA supported collaboration has provided critical advances for EDGES and global 21cm experiments, including core calibration techniques and sophisticated analysis strategies