

# Methodology to Assess the Human Factors Associa Teleoperated Assembly Tasks







University of Colorado

## New Era of Space Exploration

- NASA's Mission
  - Human on the moon by 2024
  - Sustainable human lunar presence by 2028

Image Credit: NASA

- Our Mission
  - Quantify various constraints of teleoperating rovers
  - Determine new ways to effectively and efficiently teleoperate rovers



Image Credit: Jack Burns

University of Colorado



## Telerobotic Simulation System (TSS)

- Armstrong
  - Commercial-off-the-shelf rover 0
  - Crustcrawler Pro-Series Robotic Arm 0
    - 6 Degrees of Freedom
  - Two Raspberry Pi Cameras Ο
  - Onboard Raspberry Pi and Arduino Ο
    - Handles drive, camera position, and arm
- Feedback GUI for Operator support













# Methodology

- 3 phases
  - Training
  - Local assembly
  - Remote assembly
- Objective Measurements
  - Number of failures
  - Time to completion
  - Antenna unit placement
- Subjective Measurements
  - Situational Awareness Rating Technique
  - NASA Task Load Index
  - System Usability Scale



University of Colorado





## Methodology



EED MARTIN /



## **Objective Results**

Number of Failures: Antenna Module

- Antenna Module vs. USB Module
  - More precise assembly task
  - Wire attached to USB module
  - P-value < 0.001
- Antenna Unit 1 vs. Antenna Units 2 & 3
  - Operator fatigue
  - P-value = 0.008
- No significant difference in number of failures between
  <u>remote and local assembly</u>



Number of Failures: USB Module



#### ■ 0 ■ 1 ■ 2 ■ 3 ■ 4 ■ 5



### **Objective Results**

- Local assembly is significantly faster than remote assembly
  - P-value = 0.003



University of Colorado

Boulder

LOCKHEED MARTIN

## **Subjective Results**

- Situational Awareness Rating Technique
  - One question showed significance
    - Wording of the questions may have been confusing for the user
- NASA Task Load Index
  - No significant results
    - Individual scale analysis may be useful
    - Again, wording may have been confusing
- System Usability Scale
  - Average SUS: 73.8
    - Users rated our system as "Just above average" (70~average)
    - Different experience levels should show different results



University of Colorado

### Next Steps

- Adjusted subjective assessment
  - Ensure validity of each test Ο
  - Re-word confounding questions Ο
  - Individual scale/question Ο assessment
- **Experiment Procedure** 
  - Guided training system Ο
  - New variables Ο















### Thank You and Questions

Special thanks to the following for providing help and guidance with our research:

NASA Solar System Exploration Research Virtual Institute

Jack Burns

Dan Szafir

**Michael Walker** 

Wendy Bailey

Midhun Menon

Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company

University of Colorado

