Conversion reactions in atomic layer processing with emphasis on ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ by trimethylaluminum
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ABSTRACT
Atomic layer processing such as atomic layer deposition (ALD) and thermal atomic layer etching (ALE) is usually described in terms of sequential, self-limiting surface reactions. This picture for ALD and thermal ALE leaves out the possibility that the metal precursor in ALD and thermal ALE can also convert the surface material to another new material. This perspective introduces the previous evidence for conversion reactions in atomic layer processing based on a variety of studies, including Al₂O₃ ALD on ZnO, growth of Zn(O,S) alloys, “self-cleaning” of III-V semiconductor surfaces, and thermal ALE of ZnO and SiO₂. The paper then focuses on the reaction of Al(CH₃)₃ [trimethylaluminum (TMA)] on ZnO as a model conversion system. A variety of techniques are utilized to monitor ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ using TMA at 150 °C. These techniques include FTIR spectroscopy, quadrupole mass spectrometry (QMS), x-ray reflectivity (XRR), gravimetric analysis, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurements. The various studies focus on ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ for both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO substrates. FTIR studies observed the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ and provided evidence that the conversion is self-limiting at higher TMA exposures. QMS studies identified the volatile reaction products during the TMA reaction with ZnO as CH₄, C₂H₆, C₂H₄, and Zn(CH₃)₂. The CH₄ reaction product preceded the appearance of the Zn(CH₃)₂ reaction product during the TMA reaction with ZnO at 150 °C after longer TMA exposures. A gravimetric analysis of the conversion reaction on ZnO nanoparticles with a diameter of 10 nm displayed a percent mass loss of ~49%. This mass loss is consistent with an Al₂O₃ shell of ~1 nm on a ZnO core with a diameter of ~6 nm. XPS studies revealed that ZnO ALD films with a thickness of 2 nm were almost completely converted to Al₂O₃ by large TMA exposures at 150 °C. QCM investigations then measured the mass changes for lower TMA exposures on hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO films. More mass loss was observed on ethyl-terminated ZnO films compared with hydroxyl-terminated films, because TMA does not have the possibility of reacting with hydroxyl groups on ethyl-terminated ZnO films. The mass losses also increased progressively with temperatures ranging from 100 to 225 °C on both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO films. The perspective concludes with a discussion of the generality of conversion reactions in atomic layer processing.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Sequential, self-limiting surface reactions are the basis of atomic layer deposition (ALD) and thermal atomic layer etching (ALE). Gas phase precursors are incident on surfaces during atomic layer processing. For ALD, most of these reactions are believed to be restricted to the surface species. For thermal ALE, these reactions are also thought to be confined to the surface species or the near surface region. However, missing from these assumptions is the possibility that gaseous precursors can also convert surfaces to new materials.

The most common surface reaction during the ALD of metal oxides is the reaction of a metal precursor with surface hydroxyl groups on the initial metal oxide. This reaction is given as

\[ \text{MOH}^+ + \text{Ni}_n \text{(g)} \rightarrow \text{MO} - \text{Ni}_{n-1}^- + \text{LH}(\text{g}). \] (1)

In this reaction, M is the metal of the initial metal oxide, N is the metal of the incoming metal precursor, and L is a ligand on the metal precursor. The asterisks denote the surface species. This
reaction is especially important during ALD nucleation. This simple picture for the ALD surface reaction ignores the possibility that the metal precursor could convert the initial metal oxide surface. Depending on the reaction thermochemistry, the metal precursor can also undergo a conversion reaction with the initial metal oxide.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\) This conversion reaction involves the exchange between the two metals and can be very generally written as

$$\text{MO}_n + \text{NL}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{NO}_n + \text{ML}_2(g).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

This conversion reaction may be possible if the new oxide being formed, \(\text{MO}_n\), is more stable than the original metal oxide, \(\text{MO}_n\). In addition, the metal of the original oxide needs to form a volatile species with the ligands of the incoming metal precursor. The metal of the incoming metal precursor can then exchange with the metal of the initial metal oxide and form a more stable new metal oxide. Thermochemical calculations can be utilized to measure the potential for various conversion reactions. Metal oxide conversion involves the exchange of metal cations. Other types of conversion reactions may involve the exchange of anions.

This perspective describes conversion reactions in atomic layer processing. Previous examples of conversion reactions will first be reviewed from many areas, including ALD and AL. The conversion of \(\text{ZnO}\) to \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) by trimethylaluminum (TMA) will then be described as a model conversion system. The \(\text{ZnO}\) conversion to \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) will be documented by a variety of experiments, including FTIR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, x-ray reflectivity (XRR), gravimetric measurements, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) studies. The perspective will close with a discussion of the pervasiveness and usefulness of conversion reactions during atomic layer processing.

II. PREVIOUS EXAMPLES OF CONVERSION REACTIONS

A. Atomic layer deposition of layered structures and alloys

Conversion reactions have been documented previously during the ALD of alloys and nanolaminates.\(^7\) One of the early observations of conversion was during the growth of \(\text{ZnO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) nanolaminates using \(\text{ZnO}\) ALD and \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) ALD.\(^6\) \(\text{ZnO}\) ALD was performed with \(\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) as the reactants. \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) ALD was conducted using \(\text{TMA}\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) as the reactants. In this study, \textit{in situ} QCM measurements showed a significant mass loss of \(\sim 62\ \text{ng/cm}^2\) during the nucleation of \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) ALD on \(\text{ZnO}\) when TMA was exposed to a hydroxyl-terminated \(\text{ZnO}\) surface.\(^8\) These \textit{in situ} QCM measurements are displayed in Fig. 1.\(^9\) This significant mass loss can be explained by the conversion of \(\text{ZnO}\) to \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) through the exchange of metal cations according to

$$3\text{ZnO} + 2\text{Al(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2(g) \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2(g).$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

In addition to the large mass loss when exposing TMA to the hydroxylated \(\text{ZnO}\) surface, there was a lower zinc content in the \(\text{ZnO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) nanolaminate films than expected from rule-of-mixture predictions. This lower zinc concentration was explained as resulting from the etching of ZnO by TMA.\(^9\) Subsequent mass spectrometric studies also identified \(\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2\) as a reaction product during TMA exposures on \(\text{ZnO}\) surfaces.\(^9\) Etching of \(\text{ZnO}\) by \(\text{CH}_4\) plasma also supports the removal of \(\text{Zn}\) by the formation of \(\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2\).\(^9\)

Another example of conversion by the exchange of metal cations occurs during the ALD growth of \(\text{ZnIn}_2\text{S}_3\) alloys using alternating \(\text{ZnS}\) ALD and \(\text{In}_2\text{S}_3\) ALD cycles.\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\) During the alloy growth, \(\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2\) is able to convert \(\text{In}_2\text{S}_3\) to \(\text{ZnS}\) according to

$$\text{In}_2\text{S}_3 + 3\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2(g) \rightarrow 3\text{ZnS} + 2\text{In(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_3(g).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

This conversion reaction leads to \(\text{ZnIn}_2\text{S}_3\) alloy compositions that deviate dramatically from the expected predictions based on the rule of mixtures.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\)

The exchange of metal cations also affects the ALD growth of \(\text{Cu}_2\text{S/ZnO}\) layered structures using \(\text{Cu}_2\text{S}\) ALD and \(\text{ZnO}\) ALD.\(^13\) When \(\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2\) is incident on a \(\text{Cu}_2\text{S}\) substrate, the surface of the \(\text{Cu}_2\text{S}\) substrate can be converted to \(\text{ZnS}\) according to

$$\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{Zn(CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_2(g) \rightarrow \text{ZnS} + 2\text{Cu} + \text{Cu}_4\text{H}_10(g).$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Likewise, when the Cu precursor, \(\text{Cu}_2\text{(DBA)}_3\) [\(\text{bis(N,N'-disec-butylacetamidinato)dicopper(I)}\)] is incident on the \(\text{ZnS}\) substrate, the surface of the \(\text{ZnS}\) substrate can be converted to
at higher temperatures.\textsuperscript{14} For temperatures of 100, 150, and 225 $^\circ$C, at a ratio of 3:1 ZnO:ZnS ALD cycles, the $\%$ZnS in the Zn(O,S) alloy was 65%, 75%, and 90%, respectively.\textsuperscript{14}

\section*{B. Self-cleaning during atomic layer deposition}

Conversion has also been observed during the nucleation of ALD films on underlying substrates. This conversion has been used to remove unwanted oxides from the initial substrates. The conversion occurs during the initial metal precursor reaction during ALD, because the new metal oxide is more stable than the original metal oxide. There are many investigations of ALD “self-cleaning” on semiconductor surfaces, including GaAs, InGaAs, and other compound semiconductor substrates.\textsuperscript{17} Most of these studies have employed TMA for Al$_2$O$_3$ ALD or TEMA–Hf or TDMA–Hf for HfO$_2$ ALD. TEMA–Hf is tetrakis(ethylmethylamino)hafnium and TDMA–Hf is tetrakis(dimethylamino)hafnium.

Many investigations have explored the removal of As$_2$O$_3$ and Ga$_2$O$_3$ oxides from GaAs substrates. For example, the reaction of TMA or TEMA–Hf with As$_2$O$_3$ and Ga$_2$O$_3$ on GaAs can remove these oxides and deposit Al$_2$O$_3$ or HfO$_2$ ALD. An illustration of this oxide removal is given by the XPS results for As 2p and Ga 2p in Fig. 3.\textsuperscript{14} There is a dramatic reduction in both the arsenic and gallium oxide peaks after the Al$_2$O$_3$ ALD or HfO$_2$ ALD. Other XPS studies have also observed the removal of oxides on GaAs or In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As using TMA, TEMA–Hf, or TDMA–Hf.\textsuperscript{17,19-22} The Al 2p peak in the XPS spectrum also increased as the native oxides were removed from the substrate.\textsuperscript{20,21,23} The disappearance of the native oxide, together with the production of the Al 2p XPS signal from Al$_2$O$_3$, is consistent with a conversion reaction.

In addition to the XPS studies, high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) investigations have also revealed that the native oxides are removed by HfO$_2$ ALD on InGaAs using TEMA–Hf and H$_2$O as the precursors.\textsuperscript{15} Figure 4(a) shows the HRTEM image of a native oxide with a thickness of 2.4 nm on the InGaAs substrate.\textsuperscript{15} Figure 4(b) then displays the HRTEM image after depositing a HfO$_2$ ALD film with a thickness of 7.4 nm on the InGaAs substrate.\textsuperscript{15} There is a sharp interface between HfO$_2$ and InGaAs with no evidence for the original native oxide. The absence of the native oxide in Fig. 4(b) is attributed to “ligand-exchange” reactions between TEMA–Hf and the native oxides on InGaAs that convert the native oxides to HfO$_2$.\textsuperscript{15-17} These “clean up” reactions that lead to surface conversion have also been modeled using density functional theory calculations.\textsuperscript{17}

\section*{C. Atomic layer etching}

Surface exchange reactions and conversion are also important during some thermal atomic layer etching (ALE) processes. Similar to ALD, thermal ALE is based on sequential, self-limiting surface reactions.\textsuperscript{24} However, an atomic layer of material is removed during each thermal ALE cycle instead of an atomic layer of material being added during each ALD cycle. Thermal ALE can proceed by surface modification and then by the volatile release of the modified surface layer.\textsuperscript{2} One well established pathway for thermal ALE is fluorination to modify the surface and then ligand-exchange to produce volatile etch products.\textsuperscript{2,24,25}

\begin{equation}
\text{ZnS} + \text{Cu}_2\text{(DBA)}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{Zn(DBA)}_2(g).
\end{equation}

Other conversion reactions involving the exchange of anions have also been observed during the ALD of Zn(O,S) alloys using ZnO ALD and ZnS ALD.\textsuperscript{14-16} ZnO ALD was performed with Zn(CH$_2$CH$_2$)$_2$ and H$_2$O as the reactants. ZnS ALD was conducted using Zn(CH$_2$CH$_2$)$_2$ and H$_2$S as the reactants. For the Zn(O,S) alloys, the conversion occurs between the O and S anions. The Zn(O,S) alloys deposited using ZnO ALD and ZnS ALD have a much higher sulfur content than expected based on the fraction of ZnS ALD cycles used to deposit the Zn(O,S) alloy.\textsuperscript{14} The $\%$ZnS in the film as a function of the fraction of ZnS cycles used to grow the Zn(O,S) alloy growth is shown in Fig. 2.\textsuperscript{14}

The high sulfur content at a low fraction of ZnS cycles is attributed to the reaction of the H$_2$S (hydrogen sulfide) with the ZnO substrate.\textsuperscript{14,16} Typically, switching between ZnO ALD and ZnS ALD when growing the Zn(O,S) alloy will result in the reaction of H$_2$S with the Zn–CH$_2$CH$_2^+$ species from the previous ZnO ALD cycle. However, H$_2$S can also react with the underlying ZnO surface according to

\begin{equation}
\text{ZnO} + \text{H}_2\text{S}(g) \rightarrow \text{ZnS} + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g).
\end{equation}

This conversion reaction increases the S concentration in the Zn(O,S) alloy.\textsuperscript{14} This exchange between O and S leads to difficulty when trying to control the composition of the Zn(O,S) alloy. Figure 2 also shows that the O/S exchange reaction is more efficient
Because thermal ALE utilizes metal precursors for the ligand-exchange reaction, there is a possibility that the metal precursor could both react with the surface fluoride layer by ligand-exchange and then proceed to undergo a conversion reaction with the underlying substrate. One example of a conversion reaction during thermal ALE is ZnO ALE using HF and TMA as the reactants. A mass loss was observed by quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) studies when the ZnF₂ surface was exposed to TMA following HF exposure on ZnO. This mass loss was much higher than expected for only the ligand-exchange reaction. This additional mass loss was attributed to the reaction of TMA with the underlying ZnO substrate that converted the surface of the ZnO layer to Al₂O₃.

Conversion can be especially important when trying to etch materials that cannot be etched using the traditional fluorination and ligand-exchange etching method. For example, some materials do not have viable pathways for thermal ALE because they do not form stable and volatile etch products. The surface of these materials may be converted to a different surface layer that leads to stable and volatile etch products.

Thermal SiO₂ ALE is an example of surface conversion that enables the etching of SiO₂. During thermal SiO₂ ALE, TMA is able to convert the surface of SiO₂ to an Al₂O₃ surface layer. The Al₂O₃ layer can then be fluorinated to AlF₃ and subsequently removed by a ligand-exchange reaction using TMA. Note that TMA provides for both the ligand-exchange and conversion reactions. An illustration of the surface chemistry for thermal SiO₂ ALE is shown in Fig. 5. Thermal Si and Si₃N₄ ALE are based on thermal SiO₂ ALE and utilize oxidation to SiO₂ and then the conversion of SiO₂ to Al₂O₃.

Another example of a conversion reaction for thermal ALE is WO₃ ALE using BCl₃ and HF as the reactants. Conversion is important because the fluorination of WO₃ by HF is thermochromically unfavorable. Fortunately, BCl₃ can convert the WO₃ surface to a B₂O₃ surface layer, because B₂O₃ is a much more stable oxide than WO₃. Subsequently, HF can spontaneously remove the B₂O₃ surface layer. The etching of WO₃ by BCl₃ and HF can also be extended to W ALE after oxidizing W to WO₃ using an O₂/O₃ mixture.

III. EXPERIMENTAL FOR ZnO CONVERSION TO Al₂O₃

A. FTIR spectroscopy

In situ FTIR experiments were conducted to study ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ by TMA and the species on the initial ZnO surface and the resulting Al₂O₃ surface. These experiments were performed in a vacuum reactor reported earlier. To obtain adequate surface sensitivity, FTIR studies were performed in transmission mode using silicon nanoparticles that were 30–50 nm in diameter.

The silicon particles were pressed into a tungsten grid that was 2 × 3 cm², 50 µm thick with 100 grid lines per inch. The grid was resistively heated by a DC power supply (6268B, 20 V/20 A, Hewlett-Packard) to a temperature of 150 °C. The temperature was measured with a type K thermocouple that was attached to the tungsten grid using a nonconductive high temperature epoxy (Ceramabond 571, Areco).

ZnO ALE films were deposited on the silicon particles. ZnO ALE was performed at 150 °C using diethylzinc (Sigma Aldrich, 99.5% pure) and reagent grade water (Sigma Aldrich). FTIR spectroscopy studied the reaction of TMA (97%, Sigma-Aldrich) on the ZnO ALE films that were on the silicon particles at 150 °C. The TMA exposures were composed of multiple TMA doses. Each TMA dose was conducted for 1 s at 100 mTorr.

B. Quadrupole mass spectrometry

The volatile products during TMA exposures on ZnO were studied using quadrupole mass spectrometry. The experiments
In situ QMS analysis of the fluorination and ligand-exchange reactions was performed at 150 °C under static dosing conditions. For the exchange reaction, 4 Torr of TMA was held statically in the reaction chamber for 60 s before purging. Every precursor exposure was followed by a purging sequence of 120 s of static N₂ purge, 240 s of viscous N₂ purge, and final 120 s of static N₂ purge to prevent cross-contamination between reactant precursors.

QMS sampling was performed during the reactant exposures. At the onset of the reactant exposure, a pneumatic valve was opened between the reaction chamber and the QMS ionization region. QMS scans were repeated continuously for 60 s. Each QMS scan from m/z = 0 to 300 required 6 s. Ten scans were conducted during the 60 s exposure. The QMS spectra were largely unchanged after the second scan. The first scan could be affected by adsorbed gases on the powder sample and the chamber walls that are present after atmospheric exposures when loading the samples.

C. Rotary particle reactor

Experiments at high TMA exposure were performed on ZnO particles in a hot-walled static rotary particle reactor. This rotary particle reactor has been described previously. The rotary particle reactor is equipped with a magnetic feedthrough (UHV Transfer Systems) that extends into the reactor chamber. A motor powered by a DC regulated power supply (BK Precision 1670A) rotates an outer housing of SmCo magnets. These magnets rotate a rotary armature made of magnetic steel inside the vacuum system. As this armature rotates, the shaft extending into the reactor chamber connects to a porous stainless steel canister and rotates this canister.
The rotating canister houses the ZnO nanoparticles. The rotation provides agitation of the ZnO nanoparticles and allows the gas exposures to access the entire surface area of the ZnO nanoparticles. The reactor was pumped down and purged using a dual-stage rotary vane mechanical pump. Pressure was measured using a capacitance manometer (Baratron). Nitrogen (UHP Airgas) was used as a purging gas.

The ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized using ZnCl₂ (Sigma Aldrich) and NaOH in an ethylene glycol medium (Sigma Aldrich) at 150 °C and vacuum dried overnight. The nanoparticles were approximately 10 nm in diameter. ZnO films were also deposited on witness wafers. These ZnO ALD films were grown using diethylzinc (DEZ) (Sigma Aldrich, 99.5% pure) and deionized water using a Beneq TFS-200 ALD system. The DEZ and H₂O dose times were 3 s and 1 s, respectively.

The mass, thickness, and composition change were investigated after the exposure of the ZnO nanoparticles to TMA (Sigma Aldrich, 97% pure). TMA exposures ranged from 5 Torr s to 1200 Torr s. Each exposure consisted of multitudes of 1 Torr of TMA for 60 s. For example, the 1200 Torr s exposure consisted of 20 consecutive 60 s doses of TMA at 1 Torr. Each TMA exposure was followed by a 60 s static N₂ purge, a 90 s N₂ purge at 9 Torr, and another 90 s static N₂ purge to ensure all excess TMA and reaction products were removed from the chamber. The reactor temperature was varied between 150 and 225 °C.

Particle and film elemental compositions were obtained using a PHI 5600 x-ray photoelectron spectrometer. The ZnO ALD films were also characterized using witness wafers in the rotary particle reactor. Ex situ thickness measurements of the films on these witness wafers were performed with an x-ray reflectometer (Bede D1, Jordan Valley Semiconductors).

D. Quartz crystal microbalance measurements

The mass changes during ZnO ALD, Al₂O₃ ALD, and growth of ZnO/Al₂O₃ nanolaminates were monitored using quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurements. These experiments were conducted in a hot-walled viscous flow reactor. A nitrogen flow (UHP Airgas) of 150 SCCM entered the front of the reactor through two gas lines. The reactor pressure was maintained at ~1 Torr using this nitrogen flow coupled with pumping by a dual-stage rotary vane mechanical pump. Pressure was monitored using a capacitance manometer. The reactor temperature was varied between 100 and 225 °C.

ZnO films were grown using diethylzinc (Sigma Aldrich, 99.5% pure) and de-ionized water as precursors. Al₂O₃ films were grown using TMA (Sigma Aldrich, 97% pure) and de-ionized water. The precursor dose time was 0.3 s for growing all the films. The exposure of each precursor was varied by changing the pressure of the dose. Each exposure was maintained within the following limits: 0.4–0.5 Torr s for H₂O, 0.5–0.6 Torr s for DEZ, and 0.8–0.9 Torr s for TMA. Purge times following each precursor exposure were constant at 30 s. This purge time was sufficient to prevent chemical vapor deposition (CVD) at the lowest temperature of 100 °C.

Nanolaminates were grown using x cycles of ZnO ALD followed by x cycles of Al₂O₃ ALD. This notation is designated by x ZnO cycles: x Al₂O₃ cycles. For example, a value of x = 5 is consistent with a sequence of 5 cycles of ZnO ALD followed by 5 cycles of Al₂O₃ ALD. The conversion reaction was investigated by observing mass changes on the first TMA exposure during Al₂O₃ ALD on the underlying ZnO ALD film. Experiments showed that the mass changes during the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ by TMA varied with the number of previous ZnO ALD cycles. However, the mass changes were nearly insensitive to the number of Al₂O₃ ALD cycles prior to ZnO ALD.

QCM measurements were performed using a 6 MHz AT-cut crystal sealed within a BSH-150 (InSicon) bakeable sensor head. Growth rates during pure Al₂O₃ ALD and ZnO ALD were measured at 33 and 110 ng/cm², respectively. These mass changes per cycle during ALD agree well with previous literature values.

IV. RESULTS FOR ZnO CONVERSION TO Al₂O₃ BY TRIMETHYLALUMINUM

Trimethylaluminum (TMA) is one of the most well-known metal precursors for ALD and ALE. TMA is used in many atomic layer processes because of its exceptional reactivity and high vapor pressure. TMA is the source of aluminum for the flag-ship process of Al₂O₃ ALD. TMA is also a common metal precursor used for ligand-exchange during many thermal ALD processes. TMA has also been employed in surface cleaning to remove native oxides.

During Al₂O₃ ALD on ZnO, TMA can react with surface hydroxyl groups according to

\[ \text{ZnOH}^+ + \text{Al(CH}_3)_3\text{(g)} \rightarrow \text{ZnO} - \text{Al(CH}_3)_3^+ + \text{CH}_4\text{(g)} \]  

In addition, TMA also has the opportunity to convert ZnO to Al₂O₃ based on the reaction given in Eq. (3). This reaction is also illustrated in Fig. 6. This conversion reaction is likely to occur because the thermochemistry for the conversion to Al₂O₃ is favorable. Equation (3) has a standard free energy change of \( \Delta G^\circ \approx -165 \text{ kcal/mol} \) as determined by thermochemical calculations.

ZnO and Al₂O₃ are two of the most well-studied and understood oxide ALD systems. Al-doped ZnO (AZO) is an important transparent conducting oxide. Many studies have investigated the electrical properties of Al-doped ZnO ALD films. Other investigations have focused on the growth and characterization of ZnO/Al₂O₃ nanolaminates deposited using various numbers of ZnO ALD and Al₂O₃ ALD cycles.

This investigation will use a number of analytical techniques to characterize the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ using TMA. This case study will concentrate on the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ as measured by surface reactions, bulk reactions, and gas phase reaction products. In addition, the investigations will examine two different ZnO surfaces: hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated surfaces. The surface species may have an effect on the extent and the mechanism of the conversion reaction. The reaction of TMA with ZnO surfaces may involve competition between surface and bulk reactions. The idea of self-limiting surface reactions during ALD may need to be revisited if there is an opportunity for surface conversion.
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FIG. 6. Schematic of the proposed conversion reaction of ZnO to Al₂O₃ using TMA. Exposure to TMA results in the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ with Zn(CH₃)$_2$ as the gaseous by-product.

A. FTIR spectroscopy

In situ FTIR spectroscopy was used to understand the surface chemistry during TMA exposure to the ZnO surface. During these experiments, ZnO surfaces terminated with different species were exposed to TMA. Initially, ZnO films were grown using ZnO ALD with DEZ and H₂O as the reactants. Figure 7 shows the growth of ZnO ALD films at 150 °C versus the number of ZnO ALD cycles. The two sequential, self-limiting surface reactions during ZnO ALD are

(A) ZnOH$^*$ + Zn(CH₃CH₂)$_2$(g) → ZnO − ZnCH₂CH₂$^*$ + C₂H₆(g),

(B) ZnCH₂CH₂$^*$ + H₂O(g) → Zn − OH$^*$ + C₂H₆(g).

Figure 7 shows that there are two peaks related to Zn−O vibrational modes in the infrared region below 1200 cm$^{-1}$. The absorbance peak centered at 530 cm$^{-1}$ is characteristic of the bulk Zn−O vibrational mode. The peaks between 800 and 1050 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to ZnO silicate vibrational modes at the interface between the ZnO ALD film and the SiO₂ layer on the Si particles. This absorbance feature grows during the first few ZnO ALD cycles and is then relatively constant for thicker ZnO ALD films. There is an increase in the background absorbance versus the number of ZnO ALD cycles, which is apparent at ≥1100 cm$^{-1}$. This rising background absorbance is caused by free carrier absorption in ZnO and has been observed previously.

FTIR studies were then performed on the ZnO ALD films terminated by either ZnOH$^*$ or ZnCH₂CH₂$^*$ species. These two different surface terminations were prepared by ending the ZnO ALD reaction sequence with either an H₂O or Zn(CH₂CH₂)$_2$ exposure. Figure 8 shows difference spectra after a hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface was exposed to the first TMA dose at 150 °C. The reference spectrum was the previous spectrum of the hydroxylated ZnO surface. The surface reaction of TMA with a hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface is given by Eq. (8). Consistent with the change expected from Eq. (8), Fig. 8(a) displays an absorbance loss for the O–H stretching vibration at 3670 cm$^{-1}$ and an absorbance gain for the C–H stretching vibration at 2900 cm$^{-1}$. These changes are in agreement with the loss of surface ZnOH$^*$ species and a gain of surface AlCH₂$^*$ species.

Figure 8(b) shows the FTIR difference spectrum at lower frequencies between 400 and 1100 cm$^{-1}$ for TMA exposure on the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface. As expected from Eq. (3), conversion leads to an absorbance loss of the Zn−O vibration and absorbance gain of Al−O vibrational features. The two distinct Al−O vibrational modes are observed that are assigned to Al−O−Zn and Al−O−Al vibrations located at 715 and 810 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The TMA dose also leads to the appearance of an Al−CH₂ deformation peak at 1215 cm$^{-1}$ that is consistent with Al−CH₂$^*$ species on the surface.

The hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface was then exposed to additional TMA doses. Figure 9 displays difference spectra after the
third TMA dose referenced to the spectra after the second TMA dose. Figure 9(a) shows that the third TMA dose leads to no change in the O–H or C–H vibrational stretching region. The TMA surface reactions have reached completion. There is no additional loss of ZnOH\(^+\) species and gain of AlCH\(_3\)\(^+\) species, as observed in Fig. 8(a). In contrast, Fig. 9(b) reveals that the conversion from ZnO to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) continues with additional TMA doses. Figure 9(b) shows the absorbance loss of the Zn–O mode and the absorbance gain of Al–O–Zn and Al–O–Al vibrations. The ZnO conversion to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) continues after the initial surface reactions reach saturation.

Figure 10 displays the progressive absorbance loss of the Zn–O vibrational mode and the absorbance gain of Al–O–Zn and Al–O–Al vibrations with the number of TMA doses for the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface at 150 °C. The largest conversion of ZnO to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) occurs during the first several TMA doses. The amount of additional conversion progressively decreases with each TMA dose. However, there is still some additional conversion even after 10 TMA doses. This behavior is expected if the converted Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer on the ZnO surface acts as a diffusion barrier for additional conversion. The kinetics of this conversion may be similar to the Deal–Grove model for silicon oxidation where the SiO\(_2\) layer acts as a diffusion barrier for additional oxidation.\(^5\)

Figure 11 displays the difference spectra after the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface was exposed to the first TMA dose. Figure 11(a) displays only a small absorbance loss in the C–H stretching vibration region at \(\sim 2900 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). This small absorbance...
loss is consistent with some exchange between \(-\text{CH}_3\) on TMA and \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\) on the ethyl-terminated surface. In contrast, there are large absorbance changes observed at lower frequencies in Fig. 11(b). Similar to Fig. 8(b), there is an absorbance loss of the Zn–O vibrational mode at 535 cm\(^{-1}\) and an absorbance gain of Al–O–Zn and Al–O–Al vibrations located at 715 and 810 cm\(^{-1}\). The conversion of ZnO to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) occurs for both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces.

The ethyl-terminated ZnO surface was then exposed to additional TMA doses. Figure 12 displays difference spectra after the third TMA dose is referenced to the spectra after the second TMA dose. Figure 12(a) shows that the third TMA dose leads to no change in the C–H vibrational stretching region. There is no additional exchange between TMA and the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface. In contrast, Fig. 12(b) reveals that the conversion from ZnO to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) continues with additional TMA doses. Figure 12(b) shows the absorbance loss of the Zn–O vibrational mode and the absorbance gain of Al–O–Zn and Al–O–Al vibrations. Similar to Fig. 9, the ZnO conversion to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) can proceed after the initial surface reactions reach saturation.

Figure 13 displays the progressive absorbance loss of the Zn–O vibrational mode and the absorbance gain of Al–O–Zn and Al–O–Al vibrations with the number of TMA doses for the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface at 150 °C. Similar to Fig. 10, the largest conversion of ZnO to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) occurs during the first several TMA doses. The amount of additional conversion progressively decreases with each TMA dose. However, there is still some additional conversion even after 10 TMA doses. As mentioned above to explain the behavior in Fig. 10, this behavior is expected if the converted Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer on the ZnO surface acts as a diffusion barrier for additional conversion.

B. Mass spectrometry

To understand the mechanism of conversion, mass spectrometry studies were employed to identify the gaseous species produced during TMA exposures on the ZnO surface. For these experiments, ZnO ALD films were deposited on high surface area Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles. By ending the ZnO ALD reaction sequence with either a H\(_2\)O exposure or DEZ exposure, the ZnO ALD films were left with either hydroxyl termination or ethyl termination. According to Eq. (8), TMA is expected to react on a hydroxyl-terminated
ZnO surface to produce ZnO-Al(CH$_3$)$_3$ species and gas phase CH$_4$.

Figure 14 shows the evolution of gaseous species during TMA exposures at 4 Torr onto the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surfaces at 150 °C. The first TMA exposure yields a large amount of CH$_4$ at m/z = 16 as the primary reaction product. CH$_4$ is the expected reaction product from Eq. (8). There is also a small amount of Zn(CH$_3$)$_2$ [dimethylzinc (DMZ)] at m/z = 94, 76, and 64. The appearance of DMZ is consistent with some ZnO conversion to Al$_2$O$_3$ on the first TMA exposure as anticipated by Eq. (3).

The gaseous products are very different during the second TMA exposure. Figure 14 shows a dramatic reduction of the CH$_4$ reaction product and an increase in the initial TMA reactant. In addition, the DMZ conversion product is much larger than during
the first TMA exposure. The growth of DMZ indicates that more conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ occurs after the initial reaction between TMA and the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface. The results for the subsequent TMA exposures reveal that the conversion reaction has saturated because only TMA is observed for larger numbers of TMA exposures.

TMA exposures were also performed on an ethyl-terminated ZnO surface at 150 °C. Figure 15 shows the evolution of the reaction products versus the number of TMA exposures at 4 Torr on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface. The main products during the first TMA exposure are CH₄ at m/z = 16, C₂H₄, and/or C₂H₆ at m/z = 28, and a small amount of Zn(CH₃)₂ at m/z = 94, 76, and 64. The production of these reaction products suggests that the first TMA exposure may react with ZnC₂H₅⁺ surface species according to

\[
3\text{ZnC}_2\text{H}_5^+ + 4\text{O}^+ + 4\text{Al(CH}_3)_2 \rightarrow 3\text{O}_3\text{AlCH}_3^+ + 0\text{Al(CH}_3)_2^+ + 3\text{Zn(CH}_3)_2 + 2\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{CH}_4. \tag{11}
\]

This reaction assumes that the ethyl ligands on ZnC₂H₅⁺ species undergo β-hydride elimination to produce C₂H₄ and ZnH⁺ species. The hydrogen ligands on ZnH⁺ can then combine with either a methyl ligand from TMA to release CH₄ or with an ethyl ligand from ZnC₂H₅⁺ to produce C₂H₆. Extra oxygen sites on the ZnO surface are also needed to bind the Al(CH₃)₂⁺ species. This reaction is speculative but can explain the various mass spectrometry signals. The CH₄ mass signal in Fig. 15 is larger than expected given Eq. (11). Hydroxyl groups on the ethylated ZnO surface would produce additional CH₄. However, multiple Zn(CH₃CH₂)₂ exposures were employed to produce a fully ethylated ZnO surface.

During the second and third TMA exposures, Fig. 15 shows that CH₄ remains the main reaction product with DMZ progressively increasing relative to CH₄. The CH₄ signal intensity decreases dramatically during the fourth TMA exposure as DMZ becomes the main reaction product. DMZ then decreases and the TMA reactant is the main signal during the fifth DMZ exposure. This behavior indicates that most ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ occurs during the second, third, and fourth TMA exposures. The ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ is almost complete by the sixth TMA exposure.

The detection of DMZ with no evidence of Zn(CH₃CH₂)(CH₃) (ethylmethylzinc) suggests that the ethyl-terminated species are removed prior to the ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion reaction. A comparison of the ion currents in Figs. 14 and 15 reveals that the ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion by TMA on the ethylated ZnO surface produces more DMZ than the conversion reaction on the hydroxylated ZnO surface. This behavior may be explained by the different TMA reactions on ethyl-terminated and hydroxyl-terminated ZnO. On the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO, the TMA reacts with hydroxyl groups prior to the ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion reaction. The resulting Zn-O-Al(CH₃)₂⁺ species may then act as a partial barrier for the conversion reaction.

C. High TMA exposures

The previous FTIR and mass spectrometry results are consistent with the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃. In addition, these results indicate that the Al₂O₃ surface layer may act as a diffusion barrier that slows additional conversion. High exposure TMA experiments were performed to determine the extent of the conversion reaction. These experiments were performed on both ZnO nanoparticles and ZnO thin films on witness wafers. The TMA exposures ranged from 5 Torr s to 1200 Torr s.

XRR was utilized to measure the thickness of the Al₂O₃ surface layer on the ZnO thin film. Figure 16 shows XRR scans before and after a TMA exposure of 1200 Torr s. The Kiessig fringes of the original XRR scan before TMA exposures are further apart after the TMA exposure. The greater distance between the fringes corresponds to a thinner ZnO film. As illustrated in Fig. 17, the initial ZnO film thickness is 10.0 nm. The XRR scan after the TMA exposure displays features consistent with a thin Al₂O₃ layer with a thickness of 1.0 nm on the ZnO film. The thickness of the ZnO film is reduced to 8.2 nm.

ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ also produces a mass reduction. According to Eq. (3), the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ corresponds to a mass loss of 140.26 g/mol. This mass loss can be used as another measurement of ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion. Mass loss experiments were conducted using ZnO nanoparticles with an initial diameter of 10 nm. Figure 18 shows the ZnO percent mass loss as a function of the number of TMA exposures at 1 Torr for 60 s. Measurements at 150 and 220 °C show self-limiting behavior for the conversion reaction. The percent mass loss reaches 49% for the highest TMA exposure of 1200 Torr s at 150 °C. These results are consistent with an Al₂O₃ conversion layer on the ZnO particles that acts as a diffusion barrier.
A 49% mass loss for a ZnO particle with a 10 nm diameter corresponds to ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ to yield an Al₂O₃ shell with a thickness of ~0.75 nm on the underlying ZnO particle. This Al₂O₃ thickness is smaller than the Al₂O₃ thickness of 1.0 nm observed by the XRR scans. However, this calculation only considers the ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃. The reactions of TMA with surface hydroxyl species are not included in this treatment. The reaction of TMA with surface hydroxyl species would increase the mass. Additional mass loss by ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion would be needed to reach a mass loss of 49%. Therefore, the Al₂O₃ thickness on the ZnO nanoparticles may be closer to 1 nm. This TMA reaction with the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO nanoparticles would create a 10 nm ZnO core–shell nanoparticle as a result of ZnO conversion via TMA. The mass loss of the ZnO nanoparticles observed in Fig. 18 is consistent with an Al₂O₃ shell with a thickness of 1.0 nm around the ZnO core particle.
a core–shell composite with an Al₂O₃ shell on a ZnO core, as depicted in Fig. 19.

The extent of ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ can also be measured using XPS depth profiling. Experiments were performed on an initial ZnO ALD film with a thickness of 2.0 nm on a silicon wafer. This ZnO film was then exposed to a TMA exposure of 1200 Torr s at 150 °C. Figure 20 shows the XPS depth-profiling results for this film. The XPS results are consistent with an almost complete conversion of the ZnO thin film to Al₂O₃. The profile shows Al and O in the film with a negligible amount of Zn at ~1.5 at% deep in the film. The absence of Zn in the film is expected from ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion. The XRR measurements were consistent with 1.0 nm of Al₂O₃ added for each 1.8 nm of ZnO lost during conversion. The almost complete conversion of the ZnO film with an initial thickness of 2.0 nm is in agreement with the previous XRR results.

D. Quartz crystal microbalance measurements

The conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ can also be observed by QCM measurements. As discussed earlier, QCM studies of ZnO/Al₂O₃ nanolaminate growth were the first to document the reaction of TMA with ZnO. Additional experiments of ZnO/Al₂O₃ nanolaminate growth were performed in the current study to evaluate the TMA reaction with hydroxyl-terminated or ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. Compared with the high TMA exposure studies, these QCM experiments were conducted at lower TMA exposures of 0.8–0.9 Torr s.

Figure 21 depicts QCM measurements highlighting the first TMA exposure on a ZnO ALD film with a thickness of 10 nm grown using 50 cycles ZnO ALD cycles. This ZnO ALD film was terminated with hydroxyl groups remaining after the last H₂O exposure during ZnO ALD. The first TMA exposure during Al₂O₃ ALD at 150 °C leads to a small mass gain of about 12 ng/cm². The mass loss expected for the conversion reaction is not dominant.

Figure 22. QCM measurements of mass vs time showing ZnO ALD and then Al₂O₃ ALD at 150 °C. The arrow highlights the mass gain during the first TMA exposure on a hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface after 50 cycles of ZnO ALD.
because TMA adds mass by reacting with the hydroxyl groups and masks the conversion reaction.

To eliminate the reaction of TMA with hydroxyl species, the ZnO ALD during ZnO/Al2O3 nanolaminate growth was stopped after the DEZ exposure. This procedure leaves an ethyl-terminated ZnO surface. Figure 22 shows the QCM measurements highlighting TMA exposure to an ethyl-terminated ZnO surface at 150 °C. This TMA exposure leads to a large mass loss of approximately 50 ng/cm². Without the competing reaction of TMA with hydroxyl groups on ZnO, the ZnO to Al2O3 conversion reaction produces a pronounced mass loss. Previous QCM studies have also explored TMA exposures on ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces during the growth of Al-doped ZnO.

QCM measurements were then conducted for various ZnO/Al2O3 nanolaminates grown using sequences of x ZnO ALD cycles and x Al2O3 ALD cycles. Figure 23 shows mass changes after the first TMA exposure on both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces when growing the ZnO/Al2O3 nanolaminate using sequences of x ZnO ALD cycles and x Al2O3 ALD cycles. The mass loss on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface is much larger than the mass loss on the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface. The difference in the mass loss between the ethyl-terminated and hydroxyl-terminated surfaces is relatively constant at about 95–100 ng/cm² for the various x values.

There are also changes in the mass loss versus x in the sequences of x ZnO ALD cycles and x Al2O3 ALD cycles. The mass losses increase versus x, reach a maximum at x = 6–8, and then decrease for larger x before leveling off at x > 25–30. These changes in mass loss versus x may be explained by the nucleation of ZnO ALD on the Al2O3 surface. The initial ZnO films on the Al2O3 surface may be easier to convert than the thicker ZnO films. Perhaps the initial ZnO films are more amorphous and the amorphous structure facilitates conversion to Al2O3. The thicker ZnO films become crystalline and may be harder to convert to Al2O3 at x > 15.

The mass change for the ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces is 95–100 ng/cm² more negative than the mass change for the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. The larger mass loss during the TMA exposures on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface can be
partially attributed to the loss of the ethyl groups on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface. The loss of these ethyl groups was confirmed by the FTIR studies. The smaller mass loss during the TMA exposures on the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface is also explained by the reaction of TMA with the hydroxyl groups. This reaction adds ZnO-Al(CH₃)₃⁺ species to the surface and offsets the mass loss caused by conversion.

Figure 24 shows the measured mass changes after the first TMA exposure on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces versus x in the sequences of x ZnO ALD cycles and x Al₂O₃ ALD cycles. In comparison, the predicted mass loss is also shown based on a model that assumes that all the Zn and ethyl groups from the previous DEZ exposure during ZnO ALD leave as DMZ, C₂H₆, and C₂H₄. In addition, the remaining ZnO after removing the Zn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion reaction</th>
<th>ΔG(150 °C) (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Referene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Trimethylaluminum—Al(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 23, 61–63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃ + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3As(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga₂O₃ + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3Ga(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>18, 20, 21, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃ + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3In(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>40, 64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SnO₂ + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3Sn(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>28, 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3ZnO + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3Zn(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>40, 64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₃ + 3Al(CH₃)₃ → Al₂O₃ + 3Sb(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>9, 26, 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tetrakis(ethylmethylamino)hafnium—Hf[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄ (or Tetrakis(dimethylamino)hafnium)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2As₂O₃ + 3Hf[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄ → 3HfO₂ + 4As[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄</td>
<td>17–19, 22, 65, 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Ga₂O₃ + 3Hf[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄ → 3HfO₂ + 4Ga[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄</td>
<td>17–19, 22, 65, 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SnO₂ + 3Hf[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄ → 3HfO₂ + 4Sn[NCH₃C₂H₅]₄</td>
<td>17, 19, 65, 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Titanium tetrachloride—TiCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃ + 3/2TiCl₄ → 3/2TiO₂ + 2AsCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃ + 1/2TiCl₄ → 1/2TiO₂ + Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃ + 3/2TiCl₄ → 3/2TiO₂ + 2FeCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge₂O₃ + TiCl₄ → TiO₂ + GeCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₂O₃ + 1/2TiCl₄ → 1/2TiO₂ + MoO₂Cl₂</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₃ + 3/2TiCl₄ → 3/2TiO₂ + 2SbCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂ + TiCl₄ → TiO₂ + SnCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃ + 3/2TiCl₄ → 3/2TiO₂ + 2AsCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Boron trichloride—BCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + 2AsCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + 2AuCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → 1/3B₂O₃ + Cr₂O₃Cl₂</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + 2FeCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + 2GaCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + GeCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfO₂ + 2BCl₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + HfCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → 1/3B₂O₃ + MoO₂Cl₂</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + NbCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + 2SbCl₅</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅ + 10/3BCl₃ → 5/3B₂O₃ + 2TaCl₅</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₃ + 4/3BCl₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + VCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + VOCl₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCl₃ + 2BCl₃ → B₂O₃ + WCl₆</td>
<td>31, 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrCl₄ + 4/3BCl₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + ZrCl₄</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Trimethylborane—B(CH₃)₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃ + 2B(CH₃)₃ → B₂O₃ + 2As(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge₂O₃ + 4B(CH₃)₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + Ge(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃ + 2B(CH₃)₃ → B₂O₃ + 2In(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂O₃ + 4B(CH₃)₃ → 2/3B₂O₃ + Sn(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and ethyl groups from the previous DEZ exposure was assumed to behave similar to a hydroxylated ZnO film after x−1 ZnO ALD cycles.

The following example illustrates this prediction. Figure 24 shows a mass loss of 145 ng/cm² at x = 6 during the first TMA exposure on the ethyl-terminated surface. The mass gain of 95 ng/cm² from the previous DEZ exposure during ZnO ALD is assumed to be lost during the first TMA exposure. ZnO is assumed to be converted to Al₂O₃ by TMA according to the results for the first TMA exposure on the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface at x = 1 = 5 ZnO ALD cycles. Experiments on the hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface yielded a mass loss of 50 ng/cm² for the first TMA exposure at x = 1 = 5. The loss of the 95 ng/cm² mass gain from the previous DEZ exposure, together with the mass loss of 50 ng/cm², yields a total mass loss of 145 ng/cm². This total predicted mass loss of 145 ng/cm² is in excellent agreement with the 145 ng/cm² mass loss measured at x = 6 in Fig. 24.

This model was used to predict the mass change versus x for the other x values in Fig. 24. There is excellent agreement between the measured mass loss and the predicted mass loss for all the x values. The differences start to become noticeable at x > 6. However, the differences are never more than 10 ng/cm². This agreement supports the mechanism where TMA exposures on the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface lead to the loss of Zn and ethyl groups from the previous DEZ exposure during ZnO ALD. Subsequently, the TMA converts the remaining ZnO to Al₂O₃ similar to TMA on a hydroxyl-terminated ZnO surface.

The temperature dependence of the ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ by TMA was also observed for the hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. Figure 25 shows the mass change after the first TMA exposure on both a hydroxylated and ethylated ZnO surface at various temperatures following 15 cycles of ZnO ALD. The mass changes are compared after 15 cycles of ZnO ALD, because Fig. 23 shows that the mass changes start to level out versus x at x = 15. Figure 25 reveals that higher temperatures lead to a greater conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃.

The mass changes become increasingly negative for both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated surfaces at higher temperatures. The increase in mass change is less for the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface at higher temperatures. This behavior may reflect the limiting nature of the ZnO to Al₂O₃ conversion reaction. Because the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface has converted more to Al₂O₃ at lower temperatures, the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface allows less additional conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ at higher temperatures. In contrast, very little temperature dependence was observed for the percent mass loss measurements in Fig. 18 for Zn nanoparticles after much higher TMA exposures. These higher TMA exposures were able to reach the saturation limit for the conversion reaction at the two temperatures investigated in Fig. 18.

VI. GENERALITY OF CONVERSION REACTIONS

Conversion reactions in atomic layer processing are expected to be ubiquitous if the reactions are thermochemically favorable and the reaction products are volatile. The appreciation of conversion reactions is not widely acknowledged because the conversion can be limited to very thin thicknesses. These thicknesses may be negligible relative to the thickness of the deposited ALD film. However, during the growth of ALD alloys or layered structures based on alternating ALD cycles, the consequence of conversion reactions can be considerable. In addition, the effects of conversion reactions cannot be ignored as atomic layer processing continues to demand thinner films.

Using metal oxides as an example, the observation of conversion should be very likely if the metal oxide being formed is thermodynamically favored compared with the original metal oxide on the surface. This generality assumes that the metal in the original metal oxide is stable and volatile with the ligands from the incoming metal precursor. Table I lists various conversion reactions for several metal precursors. These reactions have either been implied by results in the literature or predicted based on thermochemical calculations. Other reactions may also compete with these conversion reactions. For example, TiCl₄ may react with As₂O₃ to produce gaseous TiOCl₂ and AsCl₃. There is also evidence that TDMA-Hf may remove native oxides on InAs surfaces by an etching process prior to forming HfO₂.

Although conversion reactions are a complication, they can provide many benefits. The “self-cleaning” of the oxides from GaAs surfaces is one prominent example of the value of conversion reactions. Another example is conversion reactions in thermal ALD that allow some materials to be etched that are not etched using other etching mechanisms. Conversion reactions should be actively considered as a strategy in atomic layer processing. Conversion should become a tool by which materials can be transformed to be compatible with the subsequent processing step or to achieve the desired structure.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This perspective has reviewed conversion reactions in atomic layer processing including ALD and ALE. These conversion reactions may involve the exchange of either the metal cation or the oxygen or sulfur anion in the thin film. If the conversion reactions are thermochemically possible, then they should be considered a viable possibility. However, these conversions may not be fully appreciated because they may self-limit at the Angstrom level. Although these exchange processes may be ubiquitous, only a few experimental and theoretical results at the atomic scale have recognized their importance.

The perspective first reviewed some key previous examples of exchange processes, including ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ by TMA, cleaning of GaAs surfaces by TMA and TEMA-H₂ or TDMA-H₂, and ZnO conversion to ZnS by H₂S. The paper then focused in detail on the conversion of ZnO to Al₂O₃ by TMA. This model conversion reaction was documented using a variety of techniques, including FTIR spectroscopy, quadrupole mass spectrometry (QMS), x-ray reflectivity (XRR), gravimetric analysis, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurements. These techniques all revealed different signatures of ZnO conversion to Al₂O₃ using TMA.

The FTIR spectroscopy, QMS, and XPS studies confirmed the conversion reaction as 3ZnO + 2Al(CH₃)₃(g) → Al₂O₃ + 3Zn(CH₃)₂(g). The FTIR studies determined that the conversion
reaction continues to proceed after completion of the initial surface reaction. The QMS investigations revealed that the initial TMA exposures yielded CH₄ as a reaction product from both hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. The appearance of CH₄ and C₂H₄/C₂H₂ from the ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces suggested that the ZnCH₂CH₃⁺ species undergo β-hydride elimination to produce C₂H₄ followed by H transfer from ZnH⁺ species to produce CH₄ and C₂H₂ from TMA and ZnCH₂CH₃⁺, respectively. The XRR investigations, gravimetric analysis, and XPS measurements established that the Al₂O₃ film formed on ZnO resulting from conversion after large TMA exposures at 150 °C had a film thickness of approximately 1 nm. The Al₂O₃ film thickness was similar on flat ZnO films on silicon wafers and ZnO nanoparticles.

The conversion occurred for both hydroxyl-terminating and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. The QCM studies revealed that the mass changes were different for the hydroxyl-terminated and ethyl-terminated ZnO surfaces. The difference was explained by the reaction of TMA with the hydroxyl surface species on ZnO in addition to the conversion reaction. The mass loss for the ethyl-terminated ZnO surface was accurately predicted by assuming that the TMA removes the Zn and ethyl groups from the previous DEZ exposure and then converts the ZnO surface similar to a hydroxylated ZnO surface. Although the conversion reaction was self-limiting using higher TMA exposures, temperature-dependent QCM studies at lower TMA exposures revealed that higher temperatures led to more conversion.

Conversion reactions should be expected during ALD and thermal ALE processing. Conversion reactions are expected to be most noticeable during the nucleation of ALD processes. Conversion may also be very useful for cleaning surfaces or for converting one material that may not easily etch into a different material that may have accessible etching pathways. Many other possible applications of conversion reactions in areas such as area selective deposition or area selective etching are likely. Conversion should be considered as a tool to expand the possibilities for atomic layer processing.
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