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A B S T R A C T

Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) are predominantly organic solid powders. Due to their bulk properties many APIs require processing to improve pharmaceutical formulation and manufacturing in the preparation for various drug dosage forms. Improved powder flow and protection of the APIs are often anticipated characteristics in pharmaceutical manufacturing. In this work, we have modified acetaminophen particles with atomic layer deposition (ALD) by conformal nanometer scale coatings in a one-step coating process. According to the results, ALD, utilizing common chemistries for Al2O3, TiO2 and ZnO, is shown to be a promising coating method for solid pharmaceutical powders. Acetaminophen does not undergo degradation during the ALD coating process and maintains its stable polymorphic structure. Acetaminophen with nanometer scale ALD coatings shows slowed drug release. ALD TiO2 coated acetaminophen particles show cytoxic compatibility whereas those coated with thicker ZnO coatings exhibit the most cytoxicity among the ALD materials under study when assessed in vitro by their effect on intestinal Caco-2 cells.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Primary drug particles in powder form which comprise active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) are typically solid organic particles. Drug powders are used to create enteral drug dosage forms (such as tablets, capsules, pellets and granules), inhalation powders, parenteral injectable preparations, topical transdermal patches and emulsions, as well as ophthalmic systems such as contact lenses and eye drops. Pharmaceutical manufacturing can benefit from improved surface characteristics of powders that optimize drug loading efficiency and improve powder processability (Ghoroi et al., 2013; Shi and Sun, 2011; Vanhoorne et al., 2014; Ehlers et al., 2009; Sauer et al., 2013; Jallino et al., 2015; Beach et al., 2010). Quite often there is a need to stabilize the desired solid state of the API. These can be amorphous or hydrate states, which make the pharmaceutical processing hard to perform unless the drug powders are coated (Airaksinen et al., 2005; Han and Suryanarayanan, 1999; Matsuo and Matsuoka, 2007; Wu et al., 2011).

Abbreviations: ALD, atomic layer deposition; API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; ATR–FTIR, attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared; DCF-DA, dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; DEZ, diethylzinc; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; DSC, Differential scanning calorimetry; EDTA-PBS, ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid–phosphate buffered saline; FIB-SEM, focused ion beam scanning electron microscope; HBSS, Hank’s balanced salt solution; HIFRS, heat inactivated fetal bovine serum; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; ICP-MS, inductively coupled mass spectrometry; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; PLGA, poly (lactid-co-glycolic acid); ROS, reactive oxygen species; SD, standard deviation; SEM-EDS, scanning electron microscope with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy; TGA, thermogravimetric analysis; TiCl4, titanium tetrachloride; TMA, trimethylaluminium; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor alpha; TTIP, titanium(V)isopropoxide; XRPD, X-ray powder diffraction; Wt, weight.
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coating may also provide chemical stabilization for the pharmaceuti-
cal (Wu et al., 2011) or control of the drug release (Chen et al.,
2006). There are many biological interfaces, such as between
nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes and APIs, where control of the
surface characteristics of pharmaceutical powders can improve
their therapeutic response and bioavailability (Terracciano et al.,
2015; Taylor et al., 2014; Schäfer et al., 2013; Chow et al., 2007).

Usually, drug particles in powder form are in the size range of 1–
200 μm. Current drug development pursuing improved bioavail-
ability of drug materials is based on the production of nanocrystals
and this has reduced the drug particle size to 200–800 nm (Peltonen
and Hirvonen, 2014; Sarnes et al., 2013). The most
frequently used coating technique on drug powders today is
spraying of atomized coating liquid into a fluidized powder bed
(Behzadi et al., 2008). The atomization is usually obtained with
high pressure air, electrostatics or ultra sound. Coating of small
particles can be difficult, because the size of the individual coating
liquid droplets is usually over 30 μm (Ehlers et al., 2009; Werner
et al., 2007). Spray-drying, a widely used operation in pharma-
cutical manufacturing, is also used for particle encapsulation
(Vanhoorne et al., 2014; Chow et al., 2007; Vehring, 2008; Dobry
et al., 2009), in an attempt to overcome poor tablestability of
pharmaceutical crystals (Shi and Sun, 2011; Vanhoorne et al.,
2014), or to mask the undesired taste of the API (Shi and Sun, 2011).
Spray drying is used to produce solid dispersions, which have
already been investigated for some time for increasing the drug
release rate and bioavailability of poorly water-soluble drugs, as
well for controlling the drug release rate (Dobry et al., 2009; Giri
et al., 2012; Huang and Dai, 2014). Dry-particle coating is an
alternative method to spray drying where the addition of excipient
particles is done by blending them with the API particles. Dry
particle coating is favourable, for example, for moisture sensitive
drugs since it does not involve the use of aqueous solvents. The
removal of solvents from the final formulation is energy-
consuming and complicates the process (Sauer et al., 2013; Jallo
and Dave, 2015; Beach et al., 2010; Hoashi et al., 2013).

The maximum drug loading has been used in particle
engineering to distinguish between particle coating and particle
encapsulation. Coated drug particles have a core-shell structure and
the maximum drug loading of the coated particles is usually
above 50 wt-%, preferably above 90 wt-% (Chow et al., 2007). In
order to increase the drug loading of conventional encapsulation
methods, Singh et al. used pulsed laser deposition to create
nanoscale ultra-thin films of poly(lactid-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)
on antiasthmatic budesonide particles. They did not report the film
thickness on particles due to an inability to perform thickness
estimation, resulting from the non-uniform nature of the particle
surfaces (Singh et al., 2002). A modified spray drying method,
which can be used both for drug particle synthesis and particle
coating by physical vapour deposition, has been developed and
studied for synthesizing drug particles (Eerikäinen et al., 2003), for
coating salbutamol sulfate particles with l-leucine (Raula et al.,
2008), for encapsulating indomethacin nanocrystals in manniitol
microparticles with an l-leucine coating (Laaksonen et al., 2011),
and for combining budesonide and salbutamol in microparticles
coated with l-leucine (Raula et al., 2013; Varttinen et al., 2016).
In this method, APIs, binders and coating material are dissolved in
water to form a precursor solution which is used in an aerosol
process. The atomized solution mixed with nitrogen gas forms an
aerosol which is introduced to a heated laminar flow reactor. In
the reactor the solvent will be evaporated forming particles from
the solute which will undergo further deposition by the evaporated
coating substance (l-leucine) in the gas phase.

State-of-the-art drug processing requires well defined and
controlled surface modification techniques. Novel drug delivery
systems (Terracciano et al., 2015) are needed for the stabilization of
acetaminophen nanocrystals in aqueous medium (Das et al., 2013),
targeted drug delivery based on metal cation attachment to active
pharmaceutical ligand forming coordination compounds (Ledeti
et al., 2013), and biomedical imaging and molecular diagnostics
(Park et al., 2010).

Because of the inhomogeneous nature of the surfaces and
irregular shape of drug powder particles, current coating techniques
usually fail to achieve smooth conformal coatings around particles,
de spite the rather large size of the original particle (>100 μm in
diameter). At present, there is a real need to obtain thin conformal
coatings for small API particles. Novel ways to protect, modify and
functionalize drug particles potentially provide remarkable
improvements in the development and usage of pharmaceuticals.

Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) is a surface controlled, self-
limiting layer-by-layer method for depositing thin films onto solid
supports from the gaseous phase of the precursors. ALD is widely
considered as a superior method for coating three-dimensional
and porous substrates, and has gained an important and
established role in the semiconductor industry and its develop-
ment (George, 2010; Miikkulainen et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2007;
Johnson et al., 2014; Knez et al., 2006a, 2006b; Kääräinen et al.,
2013). The two most important advantages of ALD are excellent
conformality and film thickness control at the sub-nanometer
level. Each atomic layer formed in the sequential process is a result
of saturated surface controlled chemical reactions. Commonly, in
the growth of binary compounds, such as metal oxides, a reaction
cycle consists of two reaction steps. In one step the metal
compound precursor is allowed to react with the surface and
become chemically bonded to it. In the next step the metal reacts
with the oxygen precursor. Between the steps a purge is applied to
remove any excess of precursor and the reaction by-products. This
reaction cycle is repeated as many times as necessary to achieve
the desired film thickness. The precursors form stoichiometricfilms with large area uniformity and conformality even on complex
surfaces with deformities. Layer-by-layer growth allows one to
change the material composition abruptly after each step (George,
2010; Miikkulainen et al., 2013). ALD producing conformal
nanometer scale films is a well demonstrated method on various
particles with different size and composition (Ferguson et al.,
2000, 2004a, 2004b; Hakim et al., 2005; McCormick et al., 2007;
King et al., 2008, 2012; Nevalainen et al., 2009; Longrie et al., 2014).
Furthermore, ALD on powder substrates is scalable for manufactur-
ing. Early development of ALD on particles utilized fluidized bed
batch reactors which are well-established technologies in the
pharmaceutical industry. The latest development in particle ALD
has focused on continuous processing of particles following the
trends in industrial powder processing (Van Ommen, 2010). It is
suggested that pharmaceutical manufacturing can benefit from
capabilities of ALD. Potential applications can be e.g. particle
surface functionalization, stabilization of APIs, and improved bulk
properties such as better powder flowability.

In this work, we have studied ALD on solid pharmaceutical
particles by depositing conformal nanometer scale metal oxide
films on particles of the drug acetaminophen. Acetaminophen is
a widely used analgesic and antipyretic drug agent. In order to show
the proof-of-concept for the application of ALD on API we have
investigated the physicochemical characteristics after ALD coating,
the drug dissolution, and cytotoxicity of ALD oxide coated
acetaminophen.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Atomic layer deposition (ALD) on acetaminophen particles

ALD on acetaminophen particles was carried out in a rotary ALD
reactor as described by McCormick et al., 2007; shown in Fig. 1,
using static exposures of reactants at temperature around 100 °C. The reactor assembly consisted of a stainless steel vacuum sealed main chamber with heater, vacuum pump and pump line separated from the vacuum chamber by a gate valve. A multi-
ple-input flange for the dosing of reactants was connected to reactant sources controlled by pneumatic valves, and a magnetically coupled rotary manipulator rotated the porous reactor inside the main chamber to agitate the particles during the deposition. The main chamber volume was about 2.5 dm³. Acetaminophen in powder form (Sigma-Aldrich, 98–101.0%) was used as received. ALD films were grown using as precursors trimethylaluminum (TMA) (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄) (Sigma-
Aldrich, 98%), titanium(IV)isopropoxide (TTIP) (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.999%, trace metal basis), diethylzinc (DEZ) (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) and deionized water. TMA, TiCl₄, DEZ and water were vaporized from the source at a room temperature while TTIP was vaporized from the source at a temperature of 65–70 °C. TTIP–
water chemistry is a commonly used and studied process for ALD and allows the growth of TiO₂ at low temperatures suitable for organic and temperature sensitive materials (King et al., 2008; Ritala et al., 1993; Aarik et al., 2000; Knez et al., 2006a,b). TTIP–
water chemistry is also favorable for avoiding HCl production, which is a byproduct of TiCl₄–water ALD chemistry.

The self-limiting surface reactions taking place in the deposition of ALD metal oxides are described in the literature for TiO₂ using TiCl₄–H₂O chemistry (Ferguson et al., 2004a) or TTIP–H₂O chemistry (King et al., 2008), for Al₂O₃ using TMA–H₂O chemistry (George, 2010), and for ZnO using DEZ – H₂O chemistry (Elam and George, 2003). The reaction by-products other than isopropanol in the TTIP–H₂O process have included acetone, propane, water and hydrogen (Rahtu and Ritala, 2002).

The reactant exposure sequence for each ALD cycle was the following (McCormick et al., 2007): 1) exposure of metal precursor at a vapor pressure of 0.5–2 Torr, 2) hold for metal precursor reaction time, 3) pump out excess metal precursor and reaction products, 4) dose N₂ at 10–20 Torr for purging the reactor, 5) pump out N₂, 6) expose H₂O at I–2 Torr, 7) hold for H₂O reaction time, 8) pump out excess H₂O and reaction products, 9) dose N₂ at 10–
20 Torr for purging the reactor, and 10) pump out N₂. The reaction times were between 60 and 240 s. The pump out times used for the precursors were between 60 and 360 s. The N₂ exposure time was 60 s and pump out time for the N₂ was 60 s. After each reactant exposure and pump out 5 N₂ exposure – pump out purging steps were applied. Due to the low vapor pressure of TTIP it was exposed multiple times at 0.5 Torr separated by 360 s pump out during the TTIP exposure step to ensure enough reactant for the entire surface area to be coated. The needed amount of reactant can be estimated by using simple calculations based on the ideal gas law. The metal precursor partial pressure was varied based on the particle load, thus the surface area to be coated. The estimation for the specific surface area of acetaminophen was 1 m²/g.

2.2. Thermal analysis of the particles

The thermal stability of acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen was studied by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a Netzsch TG 209 F1 instrument under nitrogen atmosphere. The powder sample with an initial mass of 10–12 mg was placed in an alumina crucible and a thermograph was recorded within a temperature range of 26 °C (299 K)–1000 °C (1273 K) with the heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹. A TGA measurement was also used to estimate the composition of ALD oxide material in coated acetaminophen. Estimation for the composition was performed at around 390 °C where the uncoated acetaminophen sample showed a complete mass loss. The residual mass of ALD coated acetaminophen at 390 °C was considered to be ALD oxide. This information was used to estimate the composition of ALD oxide material in coated acetaminophen.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) Netzsch DSC 204 F1 was used to investigate the change in enthalpy within the temperature range of 25 °C–180 °C during the heating-cooling cycles. Two heating-cooling cycles were performed for each sample. Samples of around 10–12 mg were heated and cooled in an aluminum pan, which was first sealed with an aluminum lid prior to piercing the lid to avoid the pan deforming and losing proper physical contact between the pan bottom and the instrument thermometer. The heating and cooling rate used was 10 K min⁻¹ in a dynamic inert N₂ atmosphere with flow rates of 20 ml min⁻¹ for purging and 70 ml min⁻¹ for protective gas flow, respectively. The structure and the structural changes in acet-
aminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen prior to and after DSC analysis were studied with attenuated total reflectance Fourier transmittance infrared (ATR–FTIR) spectroscopy using a Nicolet 4700 FTIR spectrometer with a Smart Orbit ATR accessory with a diamond crystal internal reflection element.

2.3. X-ray powder diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) analysis was used to confirm the polymorphic form of the acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples. XRPD spectra of powder samples were collected by using a Bruker D2 Phaser X-ray diffraction desktop system with a CuKα anode (λ = 1.54184 Å) at room temperature. The powder sample was loaded on a sample holder within the cavities at the center part of the holder and pressed gently on the holder to form a packed round shaped powder bed sample.

2.4. Surface characterization of the particles

Untreated acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen surface morphology, particle size and conformality of ALD coating were analyzed by using a scanning electron microscope with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) (Hitachi S4800). The particle cross-section samples were prepared with a focused ion beam scanning electron microscope (FIB-SEM) (FEI Quanta 3D 200i). The samples were coated with Au-Pd alloy and carbon prior to loading them into the FIB-SEM to protect the interface against ion beam damage. The protection was completed with an ion beam deposited Pt layer just before the ion beam cutting. EDS elemental maps were measured across the cross-section surfaces with an Oxford INCA 350 EDS connected with the FEI Quanta microscope.
Beam energies were 5 keV for the Al2O3-coated acetaminophen sample and 10 keV for the TiO2-coated acetaminophen sample.

2.5. Drug stability and release profile

The acetaminophen degradation products and the drug release profile were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Agilent 1260, Agilent Technologies, USA) using a column Phenomenex Gemini (Nx, 3 μm, C18 110 Å). The mobile phase used for the detection of acetaminophen and possible degradation products was water at pH 3 and methanol (70:30, v/v) with a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ and UV-detection of products set at a wavelength of 230 nm at 25 °C. In order to investigate the drug release, 1500–10,000 μg of acetaminophen and ALD-coated acetaminophen were dispersed in 100 ml of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 6.8 and buffer pH 1.2, and kept under stirring at 300 rpm while keeping the temperature at 37 °C. Then, 200 μl of the PBS at pH 6.8 and buffer pH 1.2 were withdrawn at predetermined time points (2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 360 min and 24 h) for HPLC analyses. The volume of the release medium was retained constant by replacing 200 μl of the fresh pre-warmed release medium after each sampling. The samples were then centrifuged at 21,362 g for 3 min and the released amount of acetaminophen was measured in the supernatant by the HPLC method described above. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate.

2.6. Cell culture and viability assays

The in vitro studies with the ALD powders were carried out on the human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cell line (from American Type Culture Collection). The cells were cultured in 75 cm² culture flasks (Corning Inc. Life Sciences) using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, HyClone). The medium was supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (HIFBS, Gibco, Invitrogen), 1% sodium pyruvate (HyClone), 1% nonessential aminoacids, 1% L-glutamine, penicillin (100 IU/ml), and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) (all from EuroClones SpA). The cultures were maintained in a BB 16 model (Heraeus Instruments GmbH) gas incubator at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% relative humidity. The growth medium was changed every other day until the time of use. Caco-2 cells from passage numbers 35–40 were used in all the experiments.

Prior to each test, the cells were harvested using 0.25% (v/v) trypsin-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid- phosphate buffered saline (EDTA-PBS) and seeded at the desired density. For the toxicity assessment, 100 μl of a 2 x 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹ solution in DMEM were seeded in 96-well plates (PerkinElmer Inc.) and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was then aspirated and the wells were washed once with 100 μl of Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS). Then, 100 μl of a solution prepared with ALD coated acetaminophen powders in HBSS with concentrations of 200, 100, 50 and 15 μg ml⁻¹, HBSS as negative control and Triton X-100 as a positive control were added to the wells. After 3h, 6h and 24h of incubation for TiO₂ (TiCl₄) and ZnO, and 24h of incubation for Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ (TTIP), the wells were washed once with HBSS and 50 μl of fresh HBSS was then added to the wells along with 50 μl of the CellTiter-Glo™ reagent assay (Promega Corporation), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In this assay the number of viable cells in culture is quantified based on the amount of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) produced by metabolically active cells. Thus, the amount of ATP produced is directly proportional to the number of living cells presented in the culture. The plate was then measured for luminescence using a Varioskan Flash fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The luminescence of the sample wells was then compared with their time-paired controls.

The results are the averages of three independent experiments and error bars represent mean ± standard deviation (SD).

2.7. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) determination

The experiments were performed as described elsewhere (Bimbo et al., 2011). In brief, 100 μl of a 2 x 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹ solution in DMEM were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was then aspirated, and afterwards, 100 μl of 10 μM 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCF-DA, Sigma Aldrich) solution was added to the wells and allowed to incubate for 1 h at 37 °C. The solution was subsequently removed and the wells were washed with 100 μl of fresh HBSS. Then, the TiO₂ (TiCl₄) and ZnO ALD powder suspensions of concentrations of 200, 100, 50, and 15 μg ml⁻¹ were added to the wells, with hydrogen peroxide treated cells (H₂O₂, 0.09%) used as a positive control, and HBSS treated as a negative control. After incubating for 3 h and 6 h, the plate wells were washed with fresh HBSS and DCF fluorescence was measured in a Varioskan Flash (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with excitation and emission wavelengths of 498 and 522 nm, respectively. All the assays were conducted at least in triplicate and error bars represent ± SD.

2.8. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) assay

The assessment of inflammatory response by measuring TNF-α production was also carried out in Caco-2 cells incubated with the ALD powder. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was conducted using a commercially available kit (Human TNF-α ELISA kit, Thermo Scientific™). First, 100 μl of a 2 x 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹ solution in DMEM were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was then aspirated, and afterwards the wells were washed with 100 μl of fresh HBSS. Then, the ZnO ALD powder suspensions of concentrations of 200, 100, 50, and 15 μg ml⁻¹ were added to the wells, as well as HBSS as a negative control. After incubating for 3 h, 6 h and 24 h, the supernatants were collected in Eppendorfs and stored immediately at −80 °C for later analysis. Serial dilutions of human TNF-α were then prepared and added to the wells of a 96-well plate pre-coated with immobilized antibodies. The thawed supernatants were subsequently centrifuged at 13,362 g for 30 min and the top fraction (50 μl) was collected and pipetted into each well of the same 96-well plate and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The plate was then washed three times with wash buffer and 100 μl of Biotinylated Antibody Reagent was added to the wells and subsequently incubated for 1 h. The plate was further washed three times with wash buffer and 100 μl of Streptavidin Horse Radish Peroxidase (HRP) Reagent were added to the wells and incubated for 30 min. Then, the plate was again washed three times with wash buffer and 100 μl of 3,3′,5,5′-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) Substrate was added to each well and incubated for another 30 min. After the last incubation time, 100 μl of Stop Solution was added to the wells and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm and 550 nm in a Varioskan Flash (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The amount of TNF-α present in each sample was determined by subtracting the absorbance values at 550 from the absorbance values at 450 nm to correct from optical imperfection on the plate, and then calculating the total amount from the standard curve of known amounts of human TNF-α.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Results from the several tests are expressed as mean ± SD from at least three independent experiments. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Dunnnett’s multiple comparison test was used to analyze the cell viability, ROS levels and TNF-α
induction. The level of significance was set at a probability of $p < 0.05$ for *, $p < 0.01$ for **, and $p < 0.001$ for ***. The analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism v. 6.07 (GraphPad Software).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. DSC

In order to confirm the crystalline state, powder X-ray diffractograms (Fig. S1) were performed on pure acetaminophen and the ALD coated samples. The results (Fig. S1) indicate that the crystal structure in all samples is monoclinic polymorph I. The change in peak intensities is attributed to the preferred orientation (Shi and Sun, 2011). Temperature and enthalpy of fusion of acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples are summarized in Table S1. The DSC scans from a thermal cycle of acetaminophen and ALD $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{TiO}_2$ (TiCl$_4$) coated acetaminophen powders are shown in Fig. 2a. Thermal cycling of acetaminophen has been extensively reported in the literature, providing support for this analysis (Sacchetti, 2001; Rossi et al., 2003; Ledru et al., 2007; Trasi and Taylor, 2012; Klimová and Letner, 2012). The thermograph (a) in Fig. 2a represents typical behavior of the first heating and melting of the monoclinic form (polymorphic form I) of acetaminophen at 169 °C (onset value) with enthalpy of fusion of 168.3 J g$^{-1}$. Both 20 and 50 ALD $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ cycles coated acetaminophen powders melted at the same temperature as acetaminophen and with enthalpy of fusion of 167.4 and 162.5 J g$^{-1}$ respectively. Clearly different behavior can be seen in the 50 ALD TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) cycles coated acetaminophen DSC scan in Fig. 2a (thermograph d). First, an additional endothermic reaction can be seen at 159 °C with enthalpy of fusion of 2.761 J g$^{-1}$ followed by the melting of form I at 172.7 °C with enthalpy of fusion of 128.9 J g$^{-1}$. This enthalpy of fusion of form I is clearly lower than for any other samples in this study. It is evident that a perceptible part of the acetaminophen surface has transformed from form I to a different form or state. The system formed due to the ALD TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) layer has nearly the same melting temperature as the orthorhombic form (polymorphic form II) of acetaminophen. Considering the thermal cycle that acetaminophen undergoes during ALD coating process it is unlikely that the existing form I would transform into form II. According to literature this could happen if the form I is first melted, then cooled near room temperature and heated again to over 70 °C. A more likely explanation is that the TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) forms a binary system together with the surface layer of acetaminophen, where the coating acts as an impurity depressing the melting point of acetaminophen. Furthermore, it is known that binary systems of acetaminophen and excipients decrease the initial melting temperature of acetaminophen and reduce a degree of crystallinity of acetaminophen products (Rossi et al., 2003; Klimová and Letner, 2012; Kayaly et al., 2014). Since the form I of acetaminophen remains as the main component in the ALD TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) coated acetaminophen the formed system is not a simple eutectic system suggesting that only a surface reaction has taken place. Furthermore, it is proposed that by-products from the process, such as HCl, may transform some parts of the surface into amorphous via melting point

![Fig. 2. DSC thermographs during the first heating cycle of pure acetaminophen and ALD oxide coated acetaminophen (a and b) and during the second heating cycle of pure acetaminophen and ALD oxide coated acetaminophen (c and d) with the heating rate of 10 K min$^{-1}$ in a dynamic inert N$_2$ atmosphere. The scans have been offset vertically for clarity.](image-url)
depression. It is possible that the endothermic reaction seen at 159 °C for the ALD TiO2 (TiCl4) sample is due to acetaminophen crystallization from amorphous to form II during the DSC measurement. This mechanism would mean that TiO2 coating prolongs higher energy amorphous acetaminophen crystallization. The thermograph of 20 ALD cycles Al2O3 and 50 cycles TiO2 (TiCl4) nanolaminate on acetaminophen in Fig. 2a (thermograph c) shows that Al2O3 coating protects acetaminophen from the formation of a mixed system between acetaminophen and TiO2 (TiCl4).

As a comparison, another TiO2 coating using TTIP and H2O was studied (marked as TiO2 (TTIP)). The TTIP and H2O chemistry is well studied for ALD TiO2 and can be used to avoid the formation of HCl by-products. A thermograph of an acetaminophen sample coated with TiO2 (TTIP) in Fig. 2b (thermograph d) does not show the endothermic reaction at 159 °C observed in TiO2 (TiCl4) sample indicating that the formation of a binary system detected by DSC can be avoided by using TTIP as the precursor. TiO2 (TTIP) grown sample melts at 168.7 °C with enthalpy of fusion of 195.7 J g−1. The thermograph of the ZnO coated acetaminophen sample in Fig. 2b (thermograph c) reveals similar behavior to uncoated acetamino- phen in Fig. 2b (thermograph a) and TiO2 (TTIP) coated sample, where it melts at 169.2 °C with the enthalpy of fusion of 181.9 J g−1. A decrease in melting point due to the ALD coating substance present in acetaminophen can be observed from the thermographs, and data of the 50 cycles Al2O3, 50 cycles TiO2 (TiCl4) and Al2O3 and TiO2 (TiCl4) nanolaminate samples shown in Fig. 2a and b and in Table S1. The thermograph of 50 cycles TiO2 (TTIP) in Fig. 2b (thermograph d) has the same melting temperature as uncoated acetaminophen where a slight peak broadening towards lower temperature is observable. The thermograph of ZnO coated acetaminophen in Fig. 2b (thermograph c) has the same melting temperature with uncoated acetaminophen and possess no peak broadening. In pure substances the melting curve give a symmetric peak while in impure substances the melting temperature decreases and the curve appears broader and asymmetric. The theory of thermodynamics of two-component systems allows the determination of the purity of eutectic mixtures (Höhne et al., 1996).

Fig. 2c and d show DSC thermographs after reheating the amorphous samples from the first heating-cooling DSC cycle. The temperature with enthalpy of crystallization and fusion are summarized in Table S2. A thermograph (a) in Fig. 2c depicts a typical thermograph of acetaminophen, where it crystallizes into the polymorph III at 79.4 °C under thermal cycling, then crystallizes to polymorph II before melting at 156.9 °C (peak at 163.6 °C) with enthalpy of fusion of 167.7 J g−1. Crystallization into polymorph II is immediate after the crystallization of polymorph III since no exothermic reaction can be seen in the thermograph. In the presence of ALD coating material the crystallization into the polymorph III is shifted to higher temperatures, which appears to increase with the coating thickness, i.e., amount of ALD material in the mixture. This can be seen as an increased crystallization temperature for the 50 cycles Al2O3 coated sample (thermograph c in Fig. 2c) compared to the 20 cycles Al2O3 coated sample (thermograph b in Fig. 2c). Crystallization into polymorph II is visible and measurable for the samples with Al2O3 and TiO2 (TiCl4), which is shown as an exothermic transition near 140 °C in thermographs (b–e) in Fig. 2c. Furthermore, the thermographs of both 50 cycles Al2O3 and TiO2 (TiCl4) clearly show the melting of the polymorph III at 137.5 and 142.1 °C, respectively. This is followed by the exothermic transition (crystallization into the polymorph II) before melting takes place at 161 °C and 160.8 °C, respectively. Similar behavior has been observed with mixed systems of acetaminophen and polymers, where the amount of polymer in the mixture has been 10–15 wt-% (Trasi and Taylor, 2012).

Fig. 2d presents a comparison between samples with 50 ALD cycles of Al2O3, TiO2, and ZnO. The thermograph of the samples have ZnO (thermograph e in Fig. 2d) and TiO2 (TTIP) (thermograph d in Fig. 2d) have slightly different behavior compared to samples with Al2O3 and TiO2 (TiCl4). The thermograph of the sample with ZnO shows exothermic transition at 79.4 °C attributed to the crystallization into polymorph III followed by the crystallization into polymorph II (seen as a small alteration in the thermograph at 135 °C) before melting of polymorph II at 156.8 °C with enthalpy of fusion of 176.1 J g−1. The thermograph of the sample containing TiO2 (TTIP) shows the exothermic transition at 81.6 °C attributed to the crystallization into polymorph III followed by crystallization into polymorph II at 136.7 °C before melting of polymorph II at 156.7 °C with enthalpy of fusion of 171.4 J g−1.

3.2. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermogravimetric curves and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves, presenting the rate of mass loss at certain temperature, of acetaminophen and ALD oxide coated acetamino- phen obtained during heating in N2 are shown in Fig. 3. The mass loss and mass loss rate during the thermogravimetric measure- ment of acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples at different temperatures are also summarized in Table S3. All the samples are stable up to around 270 °C. This onset temperature at which the mass loss starts to increase rapidly has been extrapolated from the thermographs. All the coated samples regardless of the coating material or thickness have slightly lower onset temperature compared to untreated acetaminophen, being near 265 °C. It seems that at this thickness range ALD coating has a negligible effect on the thermal stability of acetaminophen. A closer look at the thermogravimetric curves (Fig. 3 insets) reveals a difference in mass loss between the samples below the onset temperature of 265 °C. The mass loss at 150 °C for bare acetaminophen is approximately 0.03%, indicating that acetamin- ophen itself has very low content of volatile species, such as absorbed water. All the ALD coated acetaminophen samples (besides ZnO) have higher mass loss at 150 °C than untreated acetaminophen attributed to desorption of volatile species from the coating. Negative residual mass of ZnO at 150 °C is due to an error in thermogravimetric data based on the buoyancy effect, caused by the decrease of the gas density when heated. Considering the initial sample mass to the point where the mass is the highest due to the buoyancy effect (at 55 °C for the ZnO sample), the mass loss for the ZnO coated sample at 150 °C is 0.14%. By applying the same consideration to the rest of the samples the mass losses for the untreated acetaminophen, 20 cycles Al2O3, 50 cycles Al2O3, 50 cycles TiO2 (TiCl4), 20 + 50 cycles Al2O3 and TiO2 (TiCl4), TiO2 (TTIP) are 0.19%, 0.26%, 0.30%, 0.59%, 0.44% and 0.24%, respectively. This assumes that the initial sample weight to the highest point of the gained mass due the buoyancy effect does not change the order of the mass losses between the samples. The ZnO coated sample has the lowest mass loss at 150 °C indicating least volatile species in the sample. The highest mass loss of TiO2 (TiCl4) coated acetaminophen at 150 °C may be due to desorption of a binary system component detected also in the DSC measurement (in addition to desorption of impurities from the coating). This eutectic system has a lower melting point and may start to decompose the TiO2-acetaminophen complex around the eutectic onset temperature of 153.7 °C found in DSC. This is clearly observable from the thermogravimetric curve of TiO2 (TiCl4) deposited acetaminophen sample as a sudden decrease in residual mass (Fig. 3a and b insets), and as a sudden increase in the mass loss rate at around 152 °C (Fig. 3c and d insets). The lower mass loss of the nanolaminate sample having 20 cycles Al2O3 underneath the


TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) indicates the protecting characteristics of 20 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$ from the effect of TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$). As found in DSC the formation of a binary system between acetaminophen and TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) is not completely blocked with 20 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$. This is also observable in the DTG curve for the nanolaminate sample, where the increase in the mass loss rate is shown around 152 °C. Similar increase in mass loss rate is not observable for the 20 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$ sample. TiO$_2$ (TTIP) has lower mass loss at 150 °C than TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$) suggesting a lower amount of volatile species in the sample. The mass loss increases for all of the samples at the melting temperature of acetaminophen (the onset temperature is 169 °C and the peak temperature is 175 °C) still is less than 1%. At the onset temperature in TGA the mass loss for all of the samples is around 20%. All the ALD coated samples have a slightly lower decomposition temperature than untreated acetaminophen denoted by the inflection point (the greatest mass loss rate of the sample) in the derivative thermogravimetric curves in Fig. 3c and d. The inflection point from DTG for the untreated acetaminophen is at 310 °C, while for the ALD coated acetaminophen samples it is around 300 °C. After the inflection point the mass loss rate decreases rapidly for all the samples except the 50 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$ coated acetaminophen by levelling off close to zero after around 320 °C. The 50 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$ coated acetaminophen continues the mass loss with higher rate compared to the other samples indicating more stable decomposable carbonaceous species in the samples. The mass loss of the acetaminophen sample at 388.5 °C becomes zero, which has been used as an observation point for further analysis. The mass loss at 388.5 °C for acetaminophen, acetaminophen coated with 20 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$, 50 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$, 50 cycles TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$), nanolaminate of 20 cycles Al$_2$O$_3$ and 50 cycles TiO$_2$ (TiCl$_4$), 50 cycles TiO$_2$ (TTIP) and 50 cycles ZnO are 99.53%, 98.48%, 93.05%, 94.62%, 95.38%, 95.72% and 93.62%, respectively. Subtracting the mass loss from the initial sample weight results in the residual masses of 0%, 6.64%, 4.83%, 4.16%, 3.87% and 6.02%, denoting the residual sample masses of 0.11, 0.67, 0.53, 0.49, 0.49, and 0.83 mg, respectively. By assuming that the residual mass of the samples at 388.5 °C contains mostly ALD oxide material, the ratio of the active pharmaceutical ingredient to the total content of the drug formulation, i.e. drug loading, can be defined. The assumption that the residual mass at 388.5 °C contains mostly ALD oxide material is reasonable since the DTG values and the mass loss rate of ALD coated samples are smaller or

---

**Fig. 3.** Thermogravimetric curves of acetaminophen and ALD oxide coated acetaminophen obtained during the heating in N$_2$ (a and b), and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves of the same samples, presenting the mass loss rate at certain temperature (c and d).
at least the same as the mass loss rate of acetaminophen sample at this temperature. The drug loading estimated based on the residual mass for all of the ALD coated samples is above 90%.

3.3. ATR-FTIR

The IR spectra shown in Fig. 4 indicate that all the same assignments of the bands to acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples can be attributed to the monoclinic form (polymorph I) of acetaminophen. The assignments of the bands associate to the functional groups including the monoclinic band at 806 cm\(^{-1}\), NH bending at 1562 cm\(^{-1}\), aromatic C=O stretching at 1608 cm\(^{-1}\), C=O amide stretching at 1651 cm\(^{-1}\), phenolic OH stretching between 3000–3500 cm\(^{-1}\), and NH amide stretching at 3322 cm\(^{-1}\) (Trasi and Taylor, 2012; Kaialy et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2008; Zimmerman and Baranovic, 2011; Zhao et al., 2014). The IR spectra of ALD coated acetaminophen do not reveal any structural changes in acetaminophen when compared to uncoated acetaminophen. This does not rule out the possibility of chemical reactions between the acetaminophen and nanoscale ALD coating since the penetration depth of ATR-FTIR is typically higher than 0.5 μm. However, the sensitivity of ATR-FTIR is improved by higher surface area of the particle samples compared to a single planar sample. This benefit can be seen especially from the IR spectra of 50 cycles TiO\(_2\) (TTIP) and ZnO samples between 3000 and 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4e and f), where the increase in intensity of OH stretching vibration attributed to the OH in ALD oxide coating is observable.

3.4. SEM/EDS analysis

Scanning electron microscopy images of uncoated and ALD coated acetaminophen particles in Fig. 5 confirm the irregular shape and size distribution of the particles. Deposition on acetaminophen does not induce any apparent change in particle shape or size distribution or in surface properties, which is revealed in the higher magnification images in Figs. 5b, d, f, h and j. To confirm the existence and uniformity of ALD oxide coating on acetaminophen, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping and point spectrum collection were performed on the ALD coated acetaminophen samples. The elemental mapping from images shown in Fig. 6 confirms the uniform distribution of metal elements (Al, Ti, Zn) on the acetaminophen particle samples, indicating uniform ALD metal oxide deposition over the particles. The presence of ALD oxide coating on individual particles was further demonstrated by the EDS point analysis on the particle surfaces and elemental mapping across the particle cross-section surface. The images in Fig. 7 show points where EDS point analysis were done. The EDS point analysis confirmed the existence of the metal element from ALD oxide in each point measured except the point for spectrum 5 in Fig. 7c indicating absence of ALD coating. The difference between the areas on the individual particle showed by spectra 5, 1 and 6 is evident. The area pointed to by spectrum 5 is very smooth representing plane acetaminophen surface similarly to images in Fig. 5a and b for acetaminophen without ALD coating, while the areas on the same particle pointed to by the spectra 1 and 6 appear rougher. EDS elemental mapping across the particle cross-sections shown in Fig. 25 reveals that the X-rays originating from the coating material (Al Ka in Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), and Ti Ka in TiO\(_2\)) are localized on areas close to the top surface of the ACTM particle, thus confirming the presence of the film on the surface of the particle. Al or Ti signals were not observed in the interior of the particles, showing that the average composition of the ACTM particle is not modified by the coating. The area under spectrum 5 in Fig. 7c is attributed to a fracture surface caused by splitting along the crystal planes resulting in a very smooth surface. A higher resolution image of Fig. 7c (point spectrum for TTIP) shown in Fig. 8 can be used as a reliable means to study the morphological difference between the uncoated and the ALD oxide coated acetaminophen surface. The fracture surface is very smooth as can be expected for a split surface of the crystal, whereas the ALD coated surface appears to be relatively rough. Since ALD films tend to grow conformally and uniformly regardless of surface topography, this rougher surface may indicate changes on the acetaminophen due to the reaction during the initial ALD film growth. A closer look at the images shown in Fig. 5b, d, f, h and j reveals localized changes on the otherwise smoothly appearing surface. These changes indicated inside the black circles appear as attached crystals growing from the surface and can be distinguished from the smaller looser particles attached on the surface. In earlier work where acetaminophen was mixed with sodium caseinate and lecithin in distilled water and then spray dried at 130 °C (spray outlet temperature 75 °C), the attached crystals on the particle surface were attributed to the crystallization of the drug onto the surface (Thi et al., 2012). In our study, the crystallization of acetaminophen on the particle surface would require amorphization of crystalline drug during the deposition. Based on XRD, the acetaminophen remains crystalline during the deposition so the possible amorphization takes place on the surface of the crystalline particles, which is not detected by XRD (Fig. S1). Change in acetaminophen particle surface roughness has also been observed after leucine dry powder coating (Ghoroi et al., 2013).

3.5. Stability after ALD coating

HPLC chromatograms of the working solution of acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples are presented in Fig. 9. The elution time for acetaminophen detected from all the samples by UV–vis at the wavelength of 230 nm was 2.30 min. The process-related organic impurities of acetaminophen have been studied and identified by using an HPLC method; they can result from the poor quality of starting materials, reagents and solvents, the reaction conditions and the design of the process equipment (Kamberi et al., 2004; Calinescu et al., 2012). The chromatograms of uncoated acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen in Fig. 9 show that there are no ALD initiated degradation products.

![Fig. 4. ATR-FTIR spectra of powder samples of a) untreated acetaminophen, b) 50 cycles ALD Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) on acetaminophen, c) 50 cycles ALD TiO\(_2\) (TTIP) on acetaminophen, d) 20 cycles ALD Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) + 50 cycles TiO\(_2\) (TTIP) on acetaminophen, e) 50 cycles TiO\(_2\) (TTIP) on acetaminophen, and f) 50 cycles ZnO on acetaminophen.](image-url)
Fig. 5. SEM images of a and b) untreated acetaminophen powder sample, c and d) 50 cycles ALD Al₂O₃ coated acetaminophen sample, e and f) 50 cycles ALD TiO₂ (TiCl₄) coated acetaminophen sample, g and h) 50 cycles TiO₂ (TTIP) coated acetaminophen sample, and i and j) 50 cycles ZnO coated acetaminophen sample.
Fig. 6. Elemental mapping of a–b) 50 cycles ALD Al₂O₃ coated acetaminophen sample, c–d) 50 cycles ALD TiO₂ (TiCl₄) coated acetaminophen sample, e–f) 50 cycles TiO₂ (TTIP) coated acetaminophen sample, and g–h) 50 cycles ZnO coated acetaminophen sample by SEM with EDS.
identifi
ced for acetaminophen on any of the ALD coated samples studied.

3.6. Drug release studies

Release profiles of bare acetaminophen and ALD coated acetaminophen samples determined in PBS at pH of 6.8 and buffer solution at pH of 1.2 are shown in Fig. 10. The inset graphs in both Figures show the drug release during the first 30 min of dissolution. Bare acetaminophen dissolved in the solution at pH 6.8 after 2 min dissolution time, while all the ALD coated acetaminophen samples appear to sustain the drug release for longer. ZnO coated acetaminophen seems to have the most prolonged drug release profile at pH 6.8 with around 83% released drug content after a dissolution time of 6 h. The release profiles in Fig. 10b reveal very fast release of acetaminophen for all samples at pH 1.2. The first measurement points after 2 min dissolution (Fig. 10b) suggest some degree of sustained drug release for both Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ coated samples. Al₂O₃ coated acetaminophen samples prolong the drug release up to 15–20 min at which point the release profile reaches a plateau. This is attributed to a thicker Al₂O₃ film.

3.7. Cell viability and ROS production

Conferring new surface properties to APIs can modify their flowability, dispersibility and aqueous dissolution profile, but can also alter their compatibility towards living tissues. In the case of metal oxides, which are currently used in many fields such as consumer products and biomedical applications and, therefore, prone to exposure to human tissue, it is of paramount importance to investigate the biological effects of such exposure. The incubation of TiO₂ (TiCl₄) ALD acetaminophen powder with the human intestinal Caco-2 cells showed that these powders did not induce any statistically significant toxicity in the concentrations and incubations times tested (Fig. 11a). In contrast, ZnO ALD
acacetaminophen powder induced a very pronounced toxic effect across all tested concentrations and incubation times, with the exception of 15 µg·ml⁻¹ at 24 h (Fig. 11b). In addition, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ (TTIP) ALD acetaminophen powders were also assessed for cellular viability and displayed moderate to high toxicity (between 46% and 88% cell viability) in Caco-2 cells at 24 h (Fig. S3). The viability results indicate that the ALD coatings with the most cytocompatibility are, in descending order, TiO₂ (TiCl₄), Al₂O₃, TiO₂ (TTIP) and ZnO. Metal oxides, especially in the form of nanoparticles, have been found to lead to the formation of ROS in cells with deleterious effects on cell viability. Usually the first marker of oxidative aggression, ROS can lead towards inflammation and ultimately cytotoxicity (Nel et al., 2006). As such, we subsequently investigated ROS generation in Caco-2 cells by TiO₂ (TiCl₄) and ZnO at 3, 6 and 24 h and found that none of these ALD acetaminophen powders induced any statistically significant ROS across all concentrations and time points tested (Fig. 10c and d). It is worth mentioning that ROS generation induced by ZnO nanoparticles has been found to be surface- and cell-type dependent (Hanley et al., 2009; Jeong et al., 2013; Moos et al., 2010) and that ZnO-induced toxicity has also been suggested not to be dependent on either the classical extrinsic or mitochondrial signaling pathway, but rather, a caspase-independent alternative apoptosis signaling mechanism, in which ROS is not a major contributor (Buerki-Thurnherr et al., 2013).

Finally, the inflammatory response measured by TNF-α release from Caco-2 cells incubated with the ALD powder which gave the highest toxicity (ZnO) was also investigated. No statistically significant TNF-α induction was detected in the concentrations and incubation times tested (Fig. 12), which is corroborated by several reports that have shown that cytotoxic concentrations of ZnO do not elicit a broad inflammatory response, measured by increased TNF-α expression levels (Moos et al., 2011; Roselli et al., 2003).

4. Conclusion

Atomic layer deposition (ALD) was used to demonstrate the feasibility of the coating method directly onto solid drug particles, and provide the proof of concept by using acetaminophen as a model API. The results show that the initial polymorph of acetaminophen (form I) remains as the main polymorph during and after the ALD oxide deposition. ALD-TiO₂ using TiCl₄ and water chemistry resulted in the transformation of the acetaminophen surface to the mixed system of acetaminophen and ALD-TiO₂, while keeping the main component of the coated acetaminophen as monoclinic form I. HPLC analysis indicated no degradation products of acetaminophen for the ALD coated samples for the ALD chemistries studied. The different metal oxides deposited on acetaminophen powders displayed different cytocompatibility profiles, with TiO₂ (TiCl₄) being cytocompatible and ZnO eliciting the most cytotoxicity among the ALD materials under study. Oxidative stress induced by TiO₂ (TiCl₄) and ZnO ALD powders and inflammatory response measured for ZnO was found not to be statistically significant across all concentrations and time points tested. The results presented herein show that, under controlled conditions, ALD is a sensitive surface driven method, providing conformal surface modification and ultrathin encapsulation of solid active pharmaceutical particles. ALD coatings can therefore be used to improve drug release profile, optimize drug loading efficiency, stabilize active pharmaceutical particles prior to further processing and control their responsive behavior. ALD is an enticing coating technology to be used in development of advanced drug delivery systems and platforms for oral drug delivery applications.
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Fig. 11. Cell viability (a and b) and intracellular ROS assessment (c and d) of the human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells after exposure to ALD oxide coated acetaminophen powders with the concentration of 200, 100, 50 and 15 µg ml⁻¹ in HBSS for 3, 6 and 24 h. Statistical analysis was made by ANOVA, followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. The level of significance was set at a probability of p < 0.05 for *, p < 0.01 for **, and p < 0.001 for ***. Error bars represent SD (n ≥ 3).

Fig. 12. TNF-α assessment of the human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells after 3, 6 and 24 h incubation with the different concentrations of ALD ZnO coated acetaminophen powder samples. Statistical analysis was made by ANOVA, followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. All the data sets were compared with a positive control of HBSS. The level of significance was set at probabilities of *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. Error bars represent mean ± SD (n ≥ 3).
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