# 0.01–22-GHz Feedback-Stabilized Single-Supply GaAs Cascode Distributed Amplifiers

Akim A. Babenko<sup>(D)</sup>, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Gregor Lasser<sup>(D)</sup>, *Member, IEEE*, and Zoya Popović<sup>(D)</sup>, *Fellow, IEEE* 

Abstract—This letter presents the design of five-cell cascode distributed amplifiers (DAs) in the WIN Semiconductor PIH1-10 enhancement-mode GaAs pHEMT process. Single- and two-stage DA monolithic microwave integrated circuits (MMICs) are demonstrated, with die areas not exceeding 2.5 × 1.5 mm<sup>2</sup> and same-polarity supply and bias voltages. The cascode cells are stabilized using an *RC* drain-gate feedback network at the common-gate transistor of each cell, and a stability analysis is presented. All transistors have a gate width of 2  $\mu$ m × 75- $\mu$ m, with 750- $\mu$ m total common-source gate periphery per singlestage design. The measured small-signal gain is 11.5 dB with 2.6 dB flatness and 23.2 dB with 3.2 dB flatness for the oneand two-stage amplifiers, respectively, from 10 MHz to 22 GHz. The amplifiers produce over 20-dBm output power at 3-dB gain saturation across the band.

Index Terms—Distributed amplifier (DA), HEMT, monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC), stability.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**M**ONOLITHIC microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) distributed amplifiers (DAs) are often the architecture of choice for multioctave and multidecade bandwidth applications [1]–[3]. In this type of circuit, the input and output capacitances of the transistors or gain cells together with series inductors form artificial transmission lines at the input (gate-side) and output (drain-side), respectively. This approach is used for broadband small-signal gain stages and low-noise amplifiers [4], and nonuniform DAs (NDPAs) in GaN are shown to produce 10 W of output power over a 2–20 GHz decade [5].

A cascode amplifier as a gain cell improves the DA's gainbandwidth product. The cascode DA topology has inherently wider bandwidth due to reduction of the Miller effect [6], and higher output voltage swing for increased output power [7]. The common-gate (CG) transistor's input impedance at its gate node is a concern for possible instabilities, and a gate-degeneration resistor is most commonly used, as in some earlier cascode-based DAs [7]–[9]. Another stabilization method for a cascode cell in a DA is an *RC*-network between the drain and the gate of the CG transistor [10], which we, for the first time, validate experimentally in this work for a DA.

The authors are with the ECEE Department, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309 USA (e-mail: akim.babenko@colorado.edu).

Color versions of one or more figures in this letter are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/LMWC.2021.3111133.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LMWC.2021.3111133



Fig. 1. (a) Simplified circuit diagram of a single-stage five-cell DA with *RC*-feedback stabilization in the CG transistor. External bias tees are connected to the RF input and output. Each transistor in the cascode cells is of 2  $\mu$ m × 75- $\mu$ m gate periphery. (b) Block diagram of the two-stage DA. The arrows indicate wire bonds.

In [11], [12], the network had different configuration and purpose.

The single-stage DA circuit is shown in Fig. 1(a). Five cells provide at least 10 dB of small-signal gain in a reasonable footprint. Fig. 1(b) shows a block diagram of the two-stage DA consisting of two single-stage, five-cell amplifiers connected via an on-chip interstage capacitor  $C_{\rm IS} = 3$  pF. The conical inductor  $L_{\rm OFF} = 1.65 \ \mu \rm H$  provides the first-stage supply voltage  $V_{d_S1}$  and resistor  $R_{\rm OFF} = 50 \ \Omega$  damps bias-line resonances.

In this letter, we present one- and two-stage cascode DA MMICs fabricated in an enhancement (E)-mode GaAs pHEMT process. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a single-polarity supply and bias, full E-mode HEMT DA. Earlier similar works include a combined E-/D-mode DA [13] and an E-mode triple cascode low-noise

1531-1309 © 2021 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

Manuscript received August 20, 2021; accepted September 4, 2021. The work of Akim A. Babenko was supported by NIST through a NIST-PREP Fellowship. (*Corresponding author: Akim A. Babenko.*)



Fig. 2. (a) Schematic of stabilized DA cascode cell. Resistances and capacitances values are in ohms and picofarad, respectively. Gatewidths are in micrometer. The loop gain is evaluated at the "DS" and "G2" nodes in Fig. 3. (b) Input and output reflection coefficients of one-stage DA with (solid) and without (dashed) feedback stabilization circuitry. The Smith chart radius is 2, and markers indicate frequencies where input and output impedances cross the  $\Gamma = 1$  circle (green).

amplifier [11]. We present the design and *RC*-network stabilization of the single-stage DA evaluating the loop gain at different cells [14], followed by measurements of the singleand two-stage MMIC DAs.

## II. FIVE-CELL DA DESIGN

The amplifiers are implemented in the PIH1-10 WIN Semiconductors E-mode pHEMT process on a 100- $\mu$ m GaAs substrate [15]. A 150- $\mu$ m gate periphery for both common-source (CS) and CG devices [Fig. 2(a)] is chosen as a compromise between small input-output capacitance and sufficient peak dc  $g_m \approx 110$  mS. Each CS transistor is biased with a quiescent current of  $I_{dq} = 45$  mA with supply and bias voltages as in Fig. 2(a). Each stage is supplied and biased off-chip at "RF In" and "RF Out" (Fig. 1).

Although series gate resistors are used initially for both CS and CG transistors (Fig. 2,  $R_{ser}$  and  $R_{GND}$ , respectively) the electromagnetic (EM) simulations of the single-stage DA show potential instabilities. Input and output reflection coefficients with magnitudes > 1 are observed in the small-signal regime at frequencies above the operating range [dashed lines in Fig. 2(b)]. A series RC-network ( $R_{\rm fb}$  and  $C_{\rm fb}$ ) is therefore added between the drain and the gate of the CG transistor in each cell [10]. The network limits the cascode cell gain at high frequencies with no considerable degradation in the operating frequency range. Upon adding the RC-network to each cell of the amplifier, unconditional low- and high-frequency stability [solid lines in Fig. 2(b)] is achieved. The small-signal loop-gain stability criterion is evaluated at two nodes ("G2" and "DS" in Fig. 2) for each cascode cell and results for odd-number cells with and without feedback stabilization are shown in Fig. 3. Note that the stability criterion applied to different identical cascode cells within the DA gives different results, necessitating analysis of each cell.

A lossless gate artificial transmission line consists of the total shunt input capacitance  $C_{in}$  of the cascode cells and the series inductors  $L_g$ . Simulated small-signal equivalent-circuit parameters for a 150- $\mu$ m CS device are  $C_{gs} = 240$  fF,



Fig. 3. Loop gain plots for the first, third, and fifth cells of the single-stage, five-cell DA EM-simulated without (dashed) and with (solid) the *RC*-feedback network. Before stabilization, only the fifth cell is stable.



Fig. 4. Photograph of the  $2.5 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$  single-stage (top) and  $2.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$  two-stage (bottom) DA MMICs. Subscript "1" ("2") refers to the bonding pads of the first (second) stage. The  $V_{g2}$  voltage is shared on-chip between the two stages and is probed directly as in the top photograph. All dc pads except  $V_{g2}$  and  $V_{d\_S1}$  are wire-bonded to 6.8-nF capacitors ( $C_{OFF}$  in Fig. 1). The  $V_{d\_S1}$  pad is bonded to a 1.65- $\mu$ H conical inductor via a 50- $\Omega$  series resistor.

 $C_{\rm gd} \approx C_{\rm ds} = 40$  fF. Compared to feedback and output capacitances, the gate–source capacitance  $C_{\rm gs}$  of an FET is dominant [1], limiting the gate-line cutoff frequency and the overall gainbandwidth product, as well as making it difficult to design gate and drain lines with the same characteristic impedance. A series capacitor  $C_{\rm coup}$  at the input of each cell reduces the capacitive loading of the gate line (Fig. 2), providing  $C_{\rm in} = C_{\rm gs}/2$  for  $C_{\rm coup} = C_{\rm gs}$  [16]. A several k $\Omega$  shunt resistor  $R_{\rm coup}$  provides the gate bias for each CS transistor.



Fig. 5. Simulated (solid) and measured (dashed) small-signal response of one- and two-stage DAs. The  $S_{21}$  dip around 5 GHz for the two-stage design is due to the damped resonances in the off-chip first-stage supply network (" $V_{d_S1}$ " in Fig. 4). The isolation is better than 32 and 60 dB for one- and two-stage designs, respectively. The bias point is  $V_g \approx 0.7$  V and  $I_{dq} \approx 190$  mA for one-stage design and for both stages of the two-stage circuit, with  $V_d = 8$  V.



Fig. 6. Measured gain compression of one-(solid) and two-stage (dashed) DAs. The bias conditions are as in Fig. 5.

The output capacitance of the plain cascode cell is much smaller than  $C_{\rm gs}/2$  [17], also complicating the phase and impedance matching between the gate and drain lines. The *RC*-feedback stability network reduces the output impedance of each cascode cell, e.g., from (114 – *j*601  $\Omega$ ) to (81 – *j*215  $\Omega$ ) at 3 GHz, making gate and drain lines of the same characteristic impedance possible within fabrication process limitations. The equal gate and drain-line phase velocity condition gives initial estimates for inductances of  $L_g =$ 500 pH and  $L_d = 650$  pH. The inductors are implemented as  $Z_g = 94 \Omega$  and  $Z_d = 83 \Omega$  high-impedance microstrip lines [Fig. 1(a)].

# **III. MEASURED PERFORMANCE**

Photographs of the measured one- and two-stage cascode DAs are shown in Fig. 4. The two-stage design consists of two identical five-cell DAs with an interstage capacitor  $C_{IS}$ . The chips are mounted on oxygen-free copper heatsinks.

The simulated and measured small-signal performance of the one- and two-stage DAs shown in Fig. 5 are in reasonable agreement. The bias conditions are given in the caption.



Fig. 7. Relative gain magnitude (top) and phase (bottom) measurements of the one-stage DA referenced to the response for  $P_{\rm in} = 7$  dBm. The bias conditions are as in Fig. 5.

TABLE I Comparison of Multidecade GaAs Amplifiers. Power and Gain Are Averaged Across Frequency. Underlined Metrics

ARE THE BEST AMONG THE COMPARED

<b>Ref.</b> [#]	Topology -	Mode E, D, E/D	BW (GHz)	$\overline{ \mathbf{P}_{\max} } \\ \textbf{(dBm)}$	$\overline{ \mathbf{S}_{21} }_{\textbf{(dB)}}$	GBW (GHz)
[7]	NDPA	D	0-22	<u>33.5</u>	12	88
[8]	Cascode DA	D	0-40	19	13	179
[13]	Cascode DA	E/D	0-33	9	10	104
[11]	Triple Cascode	Е	<u>0.1-52</u>	10	20	<u>520</u>
[12]	Cascode DA	D	0.1-40	23	17	283
This Work	Two-Stage Cascode DA	Ε	0-22	21	<u>23</u>	311

Large-signal characterization of the amplifiers is performed using a vector network analyzer, with its source and receivers power-calibrated to the on-wafer reference plane from 1 to 20 GHz. The available input power is swept from 0 to 15 dBm and from -5 to 5 dBm for the single- and two-stage circuits, respectively. The measured gain compression curves are shown in Fig. 6. The compressed gain and phase over frequency versus input power are presented in Fig. 7. We compare this work to previous results in Table I.

# IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we present one- and two-stage five-cell cascode DAs operating at 0.01–22 GHz. The E-mode GaAs process allows a single-polarity dc biasing network. A simple *RC*-feedback network in the CG transistor of the cascode pair provides stability in both simulations and measurements. The over-a-decade bandwidth MMIC DAs produce at least 20 dBm ( $\approx$ 30 mW/mm<sup>2</sup>) of output power across the operating frequency range.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank WIN Semiconductors and D. Danzilio for chip fabrication as a part of the ECEN 5014 graduate class at the University of Colorado, Boulder. Zoya Popović acknowledges support through a Lockheed Martin Endowed Chair of RF Engineering at the University of Colorado.

## References

- G. Nikandish, R. B. Staszewski, and A. Zhu, "The (r)evolution of distributed amplifiers: From vacuum tubes to modern CMOS and GaN ICs," *IEEE Microw. Mag.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 66–83, Jun. 2018.
- [2] C. F. Campbell, "GaN non-uniform distributed power amplifier MMICs—The highs and lows," in *Proc. IEEE Compound Semiconductor Integr. Circuit Symp. (CSICS)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 1–4.
- [3] C. F. Campbell, "Evolution of the nonuniform distributed power amplifier: A distinguished microwave lecture," *IEEE Microw. Mag.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 18–27, Jan. 2019.
- [4] E. L. Ginzton, W. R. Hewlett, J. H. Jasberg, and J. D. Noe, "Distributed amplification," *Proc. IRE*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 956–969, Aug. 1948.
- [5] M. Roberg, M. Pilla, S. Schafer, T. R. M. Kywe, R. Flynt, and N. Chu, "A compact 10W 2-20 GHz GaN MMIC power amplifier using a decade bandwidth output impedance transformer," in *IEEE MTT-S Int. Microw. Symp. Dig.*, Aug. 2020, pp. 261–264.
- [6] R. A. Larue, S. G. Bandy, and G. A. Zdasiuk, "A 12-dB high-gain monolithic distributed amplifier," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. MTT-34, no. 12, pp. 1542–1547, Dec. 1986.
- [7] K. Fujii, "A DC to 22 GHz, 2W high power distributed amplifier using stacked FET topology with gate periphery tapering," in *Proc. IEEE Radio Freq. Integr. Circuits Symp. (RFIC)*, May 2016, pp. 270–273.
- [8] L. Diego, B. Haentjens, C. A. Mjema, I. Barrutia, A. Herrera, and Y. Haentjens, "A DC to 40 GHz, high linearity monolithic GaAs distributed amplifier with low DC power consumption as a high bitrate pre-driver," in *Proc. 15th Eur. Radar Conf. (EuRAD)*, Sep. 2018, pp. 497–500.

- [9] K. W. Kobayashi, D. Denninghoff, and D. Miller, "A novel 100 MHz–45 GHz input-termination-less distributed amplifier design with low-frequency low-noise and high linearity implemented with A 6 Inch 0.15 μm GaN-SiC wafer process technology," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 2017–2026, Sep. 2016.
- [10] K. Benson, "Distributed amplifier with improved stabilization," U.S. Patent 8 786 368, Jul. 22, 2014.
- [11] J. Hu and K. Ma, "A 0.1–52-GHz triple cascode amplifier with resistive feedback," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 538–540, Aug. 2019.
- [12] G. Du, Q. Li, and S. Zhang, "Design of a 0.1–40 GHz distributed broadband power amplifier," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Microw. Millim. Wave Technol. (ICMMT)*, Sep. 2020, pp. 1–3.
- [13] S.-H. Chen, C.-C. Shen, S.-H. Weng, Y.-C. Liu, H.-Y. Chang, and Y.-C. Wang, "Design of a DC-33 GHz cascode distributed amplifier using dual-gate device in 0.5-μm GaAs E/D-mode HEMT process," in *Proc. Asia–Pacific Microw. Conf. Proc. (APMC)*, Nov. 2013, pp. 728–730.
- [14] C. Cardente, P. Colantonio, F. Di Paolo, F. Giannini, and R. Giofre, "Multi-octave high efficiency power amplifier in GaAs technology," in *Proc. Workshop Integr. Nonlinear Microw. Millimetre-Wave Circuits*, Nov. 2008, pp. 137–140.
- [15] D. Danzilio, "Advanced GaAs integration for single chip mmWave frontends," *Microw. J.*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 148–156, 2018.
- [16] Y. Ayasli, S. W. Miller, R. Mozzi, and L. K. Hanes, "Capacitively coupled traveling-wave power amplifier," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-31, no. 12, pp. 1937–1942, Dec. 1984.
- [17] I. J. Bahl, Fundamentals RF Microwave Transistor Modeling. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2009, ch. 11.