

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Small Satellite Aerocapture for Increased Mass Delivered to Venus and Beyond

Adam Nelessen / Alex Austin / Joshua Ravich / Bill Strauss NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Ethiraj Venkatapathy / Robin Beck / Paul Wercinski / Mike Wilder / Gary Allen / Michael Aftomis NASA Ames Research Center

> Robert Braun / Michael Werner / Evan Roelke University of Colorado Boulder

> > June 14, 2018

© 2018. All rights reserved.

Overview

- A multi-organizational team is developing an aerocapture system for Small Satellites
	- Currently in year 1 of a 2-year effort
- Utilize drag modulation flight control to mitigate atmospheric & navigation uncertainties
	- Initially studied by Putnam and Braun in "Drag Modulation Flight Control System Options for Planetary Aerocapture"
	- Simplest form is the single event jettison
	- Ballistic coefficient ratio (β2⁄β1) provides control authority
- Study addresses key tall tent pole challenges
	- 1. Orbit targeting accuracy
	- 2. Thermal protection system feasibility
	- 3. Stability before, during, and after jettison event
- Technology development has so far been "mission-agnostic"
	- Pursue a notional flight system design and target orbit to demonstrate existence proof
	- Design and tools can be custom-tailored for a range of possible science missions

Mission Applicability

- Potential Destinations:
	- Venus
	- Earth
	- Mars
	- Titan
	- Ice Giants
- Vehicle Options:
	- Mechanical deployable drag skirt
	- Rigid drag skirt
- Delivery Schemes:
	- Dedicated launch & cruise
	- Delivery by host spacecraft

Mission Applicability

- Potential Destinations:
	- Venus
	- Earth
	- Mars
	- Titan
	- Ice Giants
- Vehicle Options:
	- Mechanical deployable drag skirt
	- Rigid drag skirt
- Delivery Schemes:
	- Dedicated launch & cruise
	- Delivery by host spacecraft

Initial Focus:

Chose Venus to bound the technology's capability. Can scale to "easier" destinations. Chose rigid drag skirt and host spacecraft delivery to minimize system complexity.

ConOps: Exo-Atmospheric

Potential Hosts:

- Dedicated carrier spacecraft
- Discovery or New Frontiers missions that target or fly by Venus

 β

Coast to Atmospheric Entry

Atmospheric Entry Entry Velocity = 11 km/s Flight Path Angle γ = -5.40 deg

 $\overline{\gamma}$

Deploy from host S/C

ConOps: Atmospheric

ConOps: Post-Aerocapture

Drop Heat Shield + Periapsis Raise Maneuver Nominal Time: Atm. Exit + ½ Period Trigger: Timer

ConOps: Post-Aerocapture

Drop Heat Shield + Periapsis Raise Maneuver Nominal Time: Atm. Exit + ½ Period Trigger: Timer

Periapsis: 200 km Apoapsis: 2000 km Period: 1.85 hr

Representative Flight System

Pre-Jettison Configuration Delivered Flight System

- Science Payload
	- ~1.5U available volume
- Telecom *(~2.5 kbps to 70m DSN)*
	- IRIS X-Band Radio
	- X-Band Patch Antenna
	- X-Band Circular Patch Array HGA
- ACS *(~10 arcsec pointing accuracy)*
	- BCT Star Tracker, Sun Sensors (x4), and Control **Electronics**
	- BCT Reaction Wheels (x3)
	- Sensonor IMU
- C&DH
	- JPL Sphinx Board
	- Pyro Control Board

Total Margined Mass = 69kg

- **Thermal**
	- Kapton Film Heaters
	- \bullet MLI
- Power *(~25 W with body mounted solar cells)*
	- Solar Arrays
	- Clyde Space EPS
	- 18650 Li-ion batteries (x11) (~180 Wh)
- Propulsion *(~70 m/s delta-V)*
	- 0.5 N Monoprop Thrusters (x4)
- **Mechanical**
	- Structure, TPS, Rails, Rollers, Separation **Hardware**

Drag Skirt Deployment System

-
- 3 separation bolts fire when triggered by the flight computer
• MSL-inspired rail & roller design reduces re-contact risk during drag skirt separation
• Drag skirt fabrication from MSL-style aluminum honeycomb with compo
-
-
-

Mass Efficiency Comparison

• The aerocapture-based orbit insertion system can deliver up to 85% more useful mass to orbit than a propulsive system, depending on target orbit

Orbit Delivery Accuracy

- 3DOF Monte Carlo runs in JPL's DSENDS trajectory tool used to assess orbit targeting accuracy
	- VenusGRAM atmospheric model with 3-sigma variability in density and wind speeds
- Options for improving orbit targeting accuracy are under investigation
	- Reduce EFPA error
	- Increase ballistic coefficient ratio
	- Improve G&C algorithm for drag skirt separation timing

Aerothermal & TPS

Stagnation Point Heating vs Time

Total heat-shield only TPS mass for pre-and post-jettisoned bodies combined:

C-PICA 5.49 kg (**Un-margined** engineering estimate) PICA 8.48 kg (**Un-margined** engineering estimate)

Aerodynamics

- CFD simulation
 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bullet & CFD & S_1 & \text{Simplification} \\
\bullet & C_1 & & C_2 & \text{Simplification}\n\end{array}$ development in Cart3D underway at CU Boulder
	- Currently troubleshooting coefficient errors vs. Newtonian aerodynamics
- Objectives
	- Analyze forces & moments during separation event
	- Generate 6DOF aerodynamic database

Ballistic Range Testing

- Ballistic range at NASA Ames has been modified to image the separation event
- Several exploratory test shots have been performed this year
- Ballistic range test articles based on final study design to be fabricated by end of FY18
- Multiple ballistic range shots planned for FY19

Shot 2799: P_∞ = 76 Torr (0.1 atm), ρ_{∞} = 0.121 kg/m³

Shot 2800: P_∞ = 50 Torr (0.067 atm), ρ_{∞} = 0.079 kg/m³

1 m 2 m 3 m 4 m^{*} 10.13 m from Muzzle

Conclusions and Future Work

This initiative addresses the following key challenges for drag modulation aerocapture at Venus:

- 1. Orbit targeting accuracy
	- 3DOF Monte Carlo simulations of the maneuver G&C algorithm improvements (Work to Go)
	-
- 2. Thermal protection systems
	- Preliminary aerothermal assessment and TPS design CFD detailed aerothermal assessment (In Progress)
	-
- 3. Stability before, during, and after jettison event
Preliminary 6 degree-of-freedom DSENDS simulations
	-
	- e-Danalysis of dynamics of drag skirt separation (In Progress)
CFD aerodynamic database generation (Work to Go)
Ballistic range testing (Work to Go)
	-
	-
- To improve mission accommodation options, investigating an ADEPT-based mechanical deployable drag skirt option

6DOF Trajectory Simulation CFD Separation Analysis Ballistic Range Model Design

Thank you!

Internal Flight System Configuration

TPS Material Selection

- Available volume in the nose of the spacecraft is important
	- Give space for components to keep the CG forward
	- Give space for the propulsion system to perform the PRM
- Required PICA thickness results in too little space, but C-PICA is much more flexible.
- Rough calculation: Every 1 cm increase in the spacecraft diameter requires ~8 cm increase in the drag skirt diameter to maintain the same beta ratio.
- To remain as compatible as possible with hosts, growing the drag skirt is not desirable, therefore we choose C-PICA.

C-PICA TPS PICA TPS

