

# **DISCUSSION PAPERS IN ECONOMICS**

Working Paper No. 03-20

## **The Export Skill Content, Learning By Exporting and Economic Growth**

Galina An

*Department of Economics, University of Colorado at Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado*

Murat F. Iyigun

*Department of Economics, University of Colorado at Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado*

November 2003

**Center for Economic Analysis**

Department of Economics



University of Colorado at Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado 80309

© 2003 Galina An and Murat F. Iyigun

November 2003

**THE EXPORT SKILL CONTENT, LEARNING BY EXPORTING  
AND  
ECONOMIC GROWTH**

Galina An  
galina.an@colorado.edu  
University of Colorado, Boulder

Murat F. Iyigun  
murat.iyigun@colorado.edu  
University of Colorado, Boulder

**Abstract**

*We empirically investigate whether countries' export mix influences their economic growth. Using panel data from 86 countries covering the period between 1970 and 1990, we identify a statistically significant positive relationship between export skill content and economic growth. The evidence supports the idea that, after controlling for the levels of GDP per capita, education, openness to foreign trade, and political and macroeconomic stability, a higher export content of skill-intensive goods generates higher per-capita GDP growth rates.*

Keywords: learning-by-doing, human capital, trade.  
JEL Classification Numbers: I20, J24, O11, O31, O40.

## 1. Introduction

The empirical literature on the role of foreign trade in long-run economic performance mostly focuses on the relationship between openness and the subsequent rates per-capita GDP growth<sup>1</sup>. A salient feature of these cross-country empirical studies is the emphasis on openness to foreign trade, however broadly that may be defined.<sup>2</sup>

In contrast, the relevant theoretical literature identifies various *explicit* channels through which international trade influences economic growth. Specifically, there exist a number of papers that emphasize how the ability to produce and export goods with higher skill content supports long-run economic performance. For instance, Young (1991) develops a dynamic model of bounded learning by doing in which production of goods that still have a scope for learning contributes to productivity increases in other goods. According to his model, the country that tends to specialize in high-technology, skill-intensive goods experiences rapid technological progress and growth at the expense of a country that tends to specialize in low-technology goods.<sup>3</sup> Chuang (1997) emphasizes the importance of learning by exporting. According to his framework, the skill-content of exports influences the degree to which exports augment learning and help to sustain higher rates of economic growth. An and Iyigun (forthcoming) find empirical evidence to support the notion that the skill/technology content of exports are influenced by learning by exporting.

---

<sup>1</sup> For a comprehensive survey, see Harrison (1991).

<sup>2</sup> The standard definitions of openness to foreign trade emphasize the volume of trade and indices of trade liberalization or exchange rate based price distortions.

<sup>3</sup> Stokey (1991) also comes to a similar conclusion: "If the industries in which the less-developed country has a static comparative advantage are industries in which there are limited opportunities for learning, then the effect of free trade is to speed up learning in the more-developed country and to slow it down in the less-developed one."

Despite the empirical implications of the theoretical literature on this topic, here exist only a handful of empirical studies that have attempted to explore more explicitly the channels through which foreign trade specialization influences economic growth. For instance, Rauch and Weinhold (1999) define a Herfindahl index of production specialization for the manufacturing sector in 39 countries and show that for less-developed countries the index of specialization is positively and significantly correlated with manufacturing productivity growth. Feenstra and Rose (2000) develop a procedure to order countries according to how soon they export “sophisticated” commodities to the U. S. market. They find that countries that export sooner to the United States tend to grow faster. Bensidoun, Gaulier, and Unal-Kesenci (2002) show that countries that specialize in goods whose share in international trade has increased grew faster than those that maintain comparative advantages in goods whose share in world trade has been stable or falling.<sup>4</sup>

In this paper, we explore a specific channel through which specialization in foreign trade can influence economic growth. Consistent with the idea that the skill content of exports influences learning and induces growth, we investigate whether the export content affects economic growth even after controlling for openness to foreign trade. Using panel data from 86 countries between the period 1970 and 1990, we find that it does.

---

<sup>4</sup> As these empirical and theoretical papers emphasize, our focus will be primarily on learning by *exporting* rather than learning by *importing* or, more generally, learning through *foreign trade*. That noted, we also examined whether the skill content of imports play a significant role in subsequent economic performance. Our results, which we do not report here, did not reveal any significant role for the skill content of imports in economic growth.

## 2. The Data, Estimation Strategy, and Empirical Results

Our empirical estimates of the effect of the export skill content on economic growth is obtained by estimating the following equation with panel data:

$$\begin{aligned} GROWTH_{j,t} = & \beta_1 + \beta_2 EXPORTS_{j,t-1} + \beta_3 GDPCAP_{j,t-1} + \beta_4 PRIM_{j,t-1} \\ & + \beta_5 SECM_{j,t-1} + \beta_6 INVSHR_{j,t-1} + \beta_7 OPEN_{j,t-1} + \beta_8 INVPP_{j,t-1} \\ & + \beta_9 GOV_{j,t-1} + \beta_{10} REV_{j,t-1} + \mu_j + \lambda_t + v_{j,t} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The variables in equation (1) are defined as follows:

$GROWTH_{j,t}$  : the average growth rate of country  $j$ 's per capita GDP,

$EXPORTS_{j,t-1}$  : country  $j$ 's skill content of exports at the beginning of each period (as measured below),

$INVSHR_{j,t-1}$  : investment to GDP ratio,

$PRIM_{j,t-1}$  : percentage of “primary school complete” in the male population,

$SECM_{j,t-1}$  : percentage of “secondary school complete” in the male population,

$GDPCAP_{j,t-1}$  : country  $j$ 's per-capita income at the beginning of each period,

$OPEN_{j,t-1}$  : openness to foreign trade (as measured by the ratio of exports plus imports to GDP),

$INVPP_{j,t-1}$  : the price level of investment, as measured by the average over the previous period,<sup>5</sup>

$GOV_{j,t-1}$  : the average ratio of government expenditures to GDP over the previous period,

---

<sup>5</sup> As in Barro (1991), we include this variable to proxy for the extent to which market distortions generate artificially high or artificially low investment prices.

- $REV_{j,t}$  : the number of revolutions over each period (as a proxy for political and social stability),
- $\mu_j$  : country-specific effect,<sup>6</sup>
- $\lambda_t$  : time specific effect.

We assume that the error term  $v_{j,t}$  is uncorrelated with the regressors and that it is distributed normally with a mean of zero and a variance of  $\sigma_{j,t}$ .<sup>7</sup>

As a proxy for the skill content of exports, *EXPORTS*, we rely on The National Science Foundation (NSF) data on the U. S. industry-wide R&D spending as a share of gross sales revenue.<sup>8</sup> We use the U. S. as the benchmark with which to determine the skill content of industries based on the idea that, regardless of local R&D, exporting goods that are skill intensive in the frontier advanced economies helps to enhance learning and promote growth.<sup>9</sup> Then using industry-wide exports as weights, we aggregate to determine the average skill content of a country's exports:

$$EXPORTS_{j,t} = \sum_i [(R\&D/Sales)_i e_i]_j , \quad (2)$$

where  $i$  denotes the manufacturing industry index and  $e_i$ ,  $e_i = EXP_i / \sum_i EXP_i$ , represents the share of industry  $i$ 's exports in country  $j$ 's total manufacturing exports.

---

<sup>6</sup> In what follows, we utilize *fixed* country-specific effects. While the validity of the fixed-effects method is supported by the Hausman test for random effects, empirical results we generated using a random effects model yielded similar results.

<sup>7</sup> In addition to adopting this assumption on the distribution of errors because of its intuitive appeal for cross-country data, we also confirmed it with a Cook-Weisberg test for heteroscedasticity.

<sup>8</sup> The NSF data is accessible at <http://caspar.nsf.gov/nsf/srs/indrd>.

<sup>9</sup> In constructing our index, we match the data on R&D, which is compiled at the 3-digit SIC level, with the 2-digit U. S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) code data on exports.

Our panel spans the years 1970 to 1990, and we divide it into four sub-periods: 1970-1974, 1975-1979, 1980-1984, 1985-1990.<sup>10</sup> The data we use to estimate (1) come predominantly from Summers and Heston Penn World Tables, Mark 5.6. Those for *EXPORTS* are constructed from Feenstra, Lipsey, and Bowen (1997) and those for *PRIM* and *SECM* are from the Barro and Lee (1993) data set. Table 1 presents the summary statistics of our sample.

Table 2 presents our main findings. Columns (1), (4) and (7) show the most parsimonious specification in which we include the independent variables *EXPORTS*, *GDPCAP* and education variables *PRIM* and *SECM*. Columns (2), (5) and (8) add controls for foreign trade exposure *OPEN* and *PPP*. And columns (3), (6) and (9) also control for the share of government spending, *GOV*, and the degree of social and political stability, *REV*.

In all specifications, the skill content of exports has a positive and significant effect on subsequent rates of per-capita GDP growth. The impact of exporting products with higher skill content is quite large: for instance, exporting products that are manufactured by industries with one percentage point higher R&D to sales ratio leads to growth rates that are on average a half percentage higher per year. In addition, most of the other control variables that we include have significant and plausible effects on economic growth: *ceteris paribus*, countries with higher secondary enrollment rates and more open economies grew faster, while those that were rich initially, had larger governments, and those that had more social and political instability grew more slowly.

These results suggest that the skill content of exports influences subsequent economic performance, but there are at least three issues related to empirical robustness:

---

<sup>10</sup> The time span can be extended to cover 1995, albeit at the cost of reducing the country sample size.

First, it is important to establish that these results are not artifacts of a few outliers. To this end, we employ robust regression techniques to help deal with concerns that results might be heavily influenced by an individual country or an observation in our data.<sup>11</sup> As shown in columns (7), (8) and (9) of Table 2, outliers do not heavily influence our main results. Second, it is plausible that countries that grow faster tend to export more skill-intensive products. To test whether our results are plagued by reverse causality, we also explore the relationship between the initial rate of per-capita GDP growth and subsequent skill content of exports. Our results are shown in Table 3. As can be seen, the effect of the initial growth rate of per-capita GDP on the subsequent skill content of exports is not significant. Finally, it is important to point out that the relationship we identify between export contents and economic growth is a medium-run one. The reason for this is that, while the panel data estimation approach provides additional controls for fixed effects that can vary by country, it also restricts the time horizon over which we can average per-capita GDP growth (which in our estimations equals five years).

### **3. Conclusion**

Using a panel dataset covering 86 countries and the period between 1970 and 1990, we examine whether exports with higher skill content help to sustain higher rates of economic growth. After controlling for differences in the initial levels of per capita income, levels of education, various measures of openness to foreign trade, as well as indices of macroeconomic and political stability, we find that they do.

---

<sup>11</sup> The robust regression techniques eliminate outliers—observations for which Cook's  $D > 1$ —and iteratively select weights for the remaining observations to reduce the absolute value of the residuals.

#### 4. References

- An G. and M. F. Iyigun.** (forthcoming). "The Technology Content of Exports, Learning by Doing and Specialization in Foreign Trade," *Journal of International Economics*.
- Barro R. J.** (1991). "Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 2(May), 407-433.
- Barro, R. J.** (1996). "Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 5698, August.
- Barro R. J. and J. W. Lee.** (1993) "International Comparisons of Educational Attainment," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32(3), 363-94.
- Bensidoun I., Gaulier G. and D. Unal-Kesenci .** (2002). "The Nature of Specialization Matters for Growth: An Empirical Investigation," CEPII, n.13, December.
- Chuang, Y.** (1997). "Learning By Doing, The Technology Gap, and Growth," *International Economic Review*. 39(3), August, 697-721.
- Feenstra, R. C., R. E. Lipsey, and H. P. Bowen .** (1997). "World Trade Flows, 1970-1992, with Production and Tariff Data," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 5910, January.
- Feenstra, R. C. and A. K. Rose.** (2000). "Putting Things in Order: Trade Dynamics and Product Cycles," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, v. 82(3), August, pp. 369-82.
- Rauch J. E. and D. Weinhold.** (1999). "Openness, Specialization, and Productivity Growth in Less Developed Countries," *Canadian Journal of Economics*, v. 32(4), August, pp. 1009-27.
- Stokey, N.** (1991). "Human capital, Product Quality, and Growth," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 587-616.
- Summers, R. and A. Heston.** (1991). "The Penn World Table: An Expanded Set of International Comparisons, 1950-1988," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 327-443.
- Young, A.** (1991). "Learning by Doing and the Dynamic Effects of International Trade," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2), May, 369-405.

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics

Correlation Matrix													
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>S.D.</i>	<i>GROWTH</i>	<i>EXPORTS</i>	<i>LGDP</i>	<i>INVEST</i>	<i>PRIM</i>	<i>SECM</i>	<i>OPEN</i>	<i>PPP</i>	<i>TOT</i>	<i>GOV</i>	<i>REVOL</i>
<i>GROWTH</i>	0.0146	0.0356	1.00	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
<i>EXPORTS</i>	1.140	.782	-.090	1.00	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
<i>LGDP</i>	.9099	1.0442	-.151	.495	1.00	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
<i>INVEST</i>	16.461	8.834	.189	.447	.688	1.00	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
<i>PRIM</i>	16.411	11.547	-.206	.275	.491	.506	1.00	..	..	..	..	..	..
<i>SECM</i>	6.755	7.364	.171	.395	.637	.473	.094	1.00	..	..	..	..	..
<i>OPEN</i>	66.827	43.696	.094	.262	.154	.290	.032	.112	1.00	..	..	..	..
<i>PPP</i>	.914	.548	-.275	-.017	-.072	-.206	-.204	-.071	.086	1.00	..	..	..
<i>TOT</i>	-.0067	.0655	-.073	-.153	-.107	-.144	-.158	-.105	.035	.070	1.00	..	..
<i>GOV</i>	19.489	8.33	-.265	-.214	-.467	-.305	-.386	-.201	.057	.168	-.002	1.00	..
<i>REVOL</i>	.193	.329	-.155	-.167	-.265	-.216	-.072	-.149	-.172	-.055	.026	.009	1.00

**Table 2.**Main Results

<b>Dependent Variable: Real per-capita GDP Growth (1970 – 1990, p.a.)</b>									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Robust Errors			Robust Regressions			Anderson-Hsiao Estimation		
$EXPORTS_{j,t-1}$	0.00690* (0.00273)	0.00708* (0.00258)	0.01568** (0.00821)	0.00533** (0.00286)	0.00658* (0.00273)	0.02151* (0.00627)	0.03583* (0.01397)	0.03054* (0.01447)	0.02444** (0.01295)
$LGDP_{j,t-1}$	-0.04480* (0.01098)	-0.04127* (0.01119)	-0.06445* (0.01587)	-0.02504* (0.00902)	-0.03375* (0.00963)	-0.06193* (0.01065)	-0.28007* (0.07671)	-0.26919* (0.07469)	-0.25956* (0.06675)
$PRIM_{j,t-1}$	-0.00004 (0.00026)	-0.00007 (0.00031)	-0.00009 (0.00049)	-0.00017 (0.00024)	-0.00039 (0.00026)	-0.00035 (0.00034)	0.00036 (0.00067)	0.00071 (0.00065)	0.00065 (0.00062)
$SECM_{j,t-1}$	0.00070* (0.00033)	0.00073* (0.00032)	0.00053 (0.00044)	0.00035 (0.00044)	0.00026 (0.00041)	0.00019 (0.00044)	0.00119 (0.00100)	0.00117 (0.00092)	0.00098 (0.00082)
$OPEN_{j,t-1}$	..	0.00001 (0.00015)	-0.00001 (0.00021)	..	0.00006 (0.00013)	0.00016 (0.00013)	..	0.00060* (0.00037)	0.00064** (0.00035)
$PPP_{j,t-1}$	..	-0.02293* (0.00807)	-0.01358 (0.00993)	..	-0.02326* (0.00740)	-0.00653 (0.00671)	..	0.00195 (0.01285)	0.00123 (0.01190)
$TOT_{j,t-1}$	..	0.04637* (0.01948)	0.05382* (0.02404)	..	0.05378* (0.02183)	0.05851* (0.02020)	..	0.09325** (0.04323)	0.11102* (0.04220)
$INVEST_{j,t-1}$	..	..	-0.00072 (0.00057)	..	..	-0.00092* (0.00041)	0.00009 (0.00307)	0.00014 (0.00262)	-0.00003 (0.00079)
$GOV_{j,t-1}$	..	..	0.00028 (0.00071)	..	..	0.00053 (0.00048)	..	..	-0.00059 (0.00106)
$REV_{j,t}$	..	..	-0.01687* (0.00808)	..	..	-0.01364* (0.00633)	..	..	-0.03115* (0.01267)
<i>Observations</i>	338	332	253	337	332	253	168	167	165
<i>Adjusted R-squared</i>	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.55	0.56	0.70			
<i>Chi-squared</i>							37.02(6)	42.44(9)	49.33(11)

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses

\*\* significant at 10%; \* significant at 5%

Country-specific and time-specific fixed effects estimate.

**Table 3.** Reverse Causality

<b>Dependent Variable: Skill Content of Exports (1970-1990)</b>		
	(1)	(2)
	Robust Errors	Robust Regressions
$GROWTH_{j,t-1}$	-0.014 (0.011)	-0.003 (0.004)
$LGDP_{j,t-1}$	0.623* (0.211)	0.314* (0.077)
$PRIM_{j,t-1}$	-0.002 (0.004)	0.003 (0.002)
$SECM_{j,t-1}$	-0.005 (0.006)	0.001 (0.003)
$OPEN_{j,t}$	0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.001)
$PPP_{j,t-1}$	-0.333** (0.169)	-0.138* (0.044)
$TOT_{j,t}$	-0.139 (0.394)	0.235** (0.133)
$INVEST_{j,t-1}$	0.001 (0.008)	0.001 (0.003)
$GOV_{j,t-1}$	-0.007 (0.005)	-0.005 (0.003)
$REV_{j,t}$	0.107 (0.099)	0.087* (0.041)
<i>Observations</i>	247	247
<i>Adjusted R-</i>	0.90	.98

<i>squared</i>		
----------------	--	--

Note: Standard errors in parentheses.  
 \* significant at the 5 percent level; \*\* significant at the 10 percent level.  
 Country-specific and time-specific fixed effects estimate.