

**Abstract:** A case study conducted at the University of Colorado Boulder examines a progressive approach to cell culture research that is highly efficient, resulting in substantial cost avoidance and a smaller environmental footprint. We chose to examine this core facility because it is a successful, long-lived example of space and equipment sharing by many labs and the equipment utilized is relatively inexpensive compared to other types of core facilities. The Biochemistry Cell Culture Facility (BCCF) at the University of Colorado Boulder (CU Boulder) is a shared scientific resource utilized by 16 labs from three departments. Studying the comparative costs to build and operate the shared BCCF versus a hypothetical scenario where 16 labs conduct cell culture in individualized spaces (the more common approach) allows us to understand the avoided costs for campus scientists and CU Boulder made possible through efficiencies of this shared facility. The BCCF provides a cost avoidance of over \$253,000 per year to CU Boulder with \$195,000 per year of those avoided costs realized directly by scientists and the Biochemistry Division and the remaining \$58,000 per year realized by building and campus management. With scientists experiencing intense competition for research funding, efficiency and costsaving measures are powerful tools to maximize the impact of research dollars and increase the sustainability of science while also optimizing research conditions.

**Methods:** To estimate whether a shared cell culture facility is more financially beneficial to CU Boulder and scientists than individual cell culture spaces, we compared the features of the BCCF with a hypothetical laboratory arrangement in the same building where 16 labs would conduct cell culture research independently without sharing space or equipment. The BCCF occupies 30 percent less square footage than the hypothetical scenario.



16 labs sharing space

and equipment

1,554 ft<sup>2</sup>



Hypothetical Scenario Independent cell culture in 16 labs; no sharing of equipment or space 2,220 ft<sup>2</sup>

#### Upfront construction and renovation cost avoidance as a result of the BCCF



#### <u>Upfront cost avoidance</u> from less equipment required in BCCF

Cost of new equipment for Hypothetical Scenario <b>\$504,000</b>	_	Cost of new equipment for BCCF <b>\$216,000</b>	=	Differer based c
--	---	---	---	---------------------

#### Acknowledgments

Natalie Ahn, Sesha Pochiraju, Ziyu Liu, Helina Ayalew, Sarah Vander Meulen, Wayne Northcutt, Rebecca Fell, Otha Barrow, Pamela Williamson, David Jacobs, Thomas Smith, Pieter Diebold, Jeremy Johnson, Shannon Horn, Stephanie Preo, Kate Daugherty, Holly Gates-Mayer, Mark Lapham, Joshua Lindenstein, Ellen Edwards, Theresa Siefkas, Joe Dragavon, Gretchen O'Connell, and Brenda Petrella

# A case study detailing avoided research costs and other benefits resulting from a large shared cell culture facility at the University of Colorado Boulder Theresa Nahreini, Christina Greever, and Kathryn Ramirez-Aguilar, Ph.D., University of Colorado Boulder









## **Total Annual Cost Avoidance: \$253,000/year**

#### **Benefits of a Facility Manager:**

- Standardized training of new users
- Mycoplasma testing
- Fetal bovine serum (FBS) lot testing
- In-house media preparation
- Connections & networking

#### **Benefits of managed, shared research equipment:**

- More efficient use of funding
- Cost avoidance
- Saves researchers time
- Attracts talent
- Promotes collaboration

#### **Environmental benefits of shared cell culture:**

- Reduced utility needs because equipment and space are shared Reduced ventilation needs due to less square footage required
- No over-purchasing of consumable supplies
- More efficient use of space, minimizing the need to construct new lab buildings

BCCF

- ence/ Cost Avoidance on equipment needs \$288,000

- Expertise
- Addressing equipment maintenance, repairs, & certifications
- Ordering & stocking of supplies
- Facility runs smoothly
- More efficient use of space & equipment
- In compliance with the
  - Code of Federal Regulations
- Supports campus sustainability goals

### Number of university labs required for cost effective implementation of a shared cell culture facility

Based solely on salary, benefits, and time, it becomes more cost effective to pay for a full time Facility Manager to run a shared facility if 6-8 labs are doing cell culture independently. If some of the cost savings from bulk purchasing of FBS and in-house media prep are also included, 5-6 labs are all that are needed to make a shared facility more affordable than individualized cell culture. The final cost analysis shown below includes everything mentioned above plus some of the lab space maintenance, operations, and utility cost avoidance from having a shared facility. In this instance, only 4-5 labs would need to come together in a shared facility to make it more cost effective to pay a Facility Manager.



BCCF Facility Manager serving 16 labs Salary & Benefits: \$82,620/year – 40 hours per week for cell culture

Postdoctoral Researcher serving a single lab Salary & Benefits: \$65,088/year – 9.4 hours per week for cell culture

Graduate Student serving a single lab Salary & Benefits: \$46,889/year – 9.4 hours per week for cell culture



\$48,096/year

#### **Overall user satisfaction with the BCCF** (43 of 70 users surveyed)



### **Broader Implications**

Managed, shared equipment level (see visual at right).

**Case study can be viewed at:** www.colorado.edu/ecenter/greenlabs/case-study-biochemistry-cell-culture-facility

