

Outline

1. Antiferromagnetism and quantum criticality in insulators
2. Onset of antiferromagnetism in metals, and d-wave superconductivity
3. Competing density wave order, and the pseudogap of the cuprate superconductors
4. Non-Fermi liquids

The Hubbard Model

$$H = - \sum_{i < j} t_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} + U \sum_i \left(n_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \sum_i c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{i\alpha}$$

$t_{ij} \rightarrow$ “hopping”. $U \rightarrow$ local repulsion, $\mu \rightarrow$ chemical potential

Spin index $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$


$$n_{i\alpha} = c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{i\alpha}$$

$$c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\beta} + c_{j\beta} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$c_{i\alpha} c_{j\beta} + c_{j\beta} c_{i\alpha} = 0$$

Will study on the square lattice

Fermi surfaces in electron- and hole-doped cuprates



Effective Hamiltonian for quasiparticles:


$$H_0 = - \sum_{i < j} t_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}$$

with t_{ij} non-zero for first, second and third neighbor, leads to satisfactory agreement with experiments. The area of the occupied electron states, \mathcal{A}_e , from Luttinger's theory is


$$\mathcal{A}_e = \begin{cases} 2\pi^2(1-x) & \text{for hole-doping } x \\ 2\pi^2(1+p) & \text{for electron-doping } p \end{cases}$$

The area of the occupied hole states, \mathcal{A}_h , which form a closed Fermi surface and so appear in quantum oscillation experiments is $\mathcal{A}_h = 4\pi^2 - \mathcal{A}_e$.

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism



+



The electron spin polarization obeys

$$\langle \vec{S}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \rangle = \vec{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$$

where \mathbf{K} is the ordering wavevector.

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism

We use the operator equation (valid on each site i):

$$U \left(n_{\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_{\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = -\frac{2U}{3} \vec{S}^2 + \frac{U}{4} \quad (1)$$

Then we decouple the interaction via

$$\exp \left(\frac{2U}{3} \sum_i \int d\tau \vec{S}_i^2 \right) = \int \mathcal{D}\vec{J}_i(\tau) \exp \left(- \sum_i \int d\tau \left[\frac{3}{8U} \vec{J}_i^2 - \vec{J}_i \vec{S}_i \right] \right) \quad (2)$$

We now integrate out the fermions, and look for the saddle point of the resulting effective action for \vec{J}_i . At the saddle-point we find that the lowest energy is achieved when the vector has opposite orientations on the A and B sublattices. Anticipating this, we look for a continuum limit in terms of a field $\vec{\varphi}_i$ where

$$\vec{J}_i = \vec{\varphi}_i e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i} \quad (3)$$

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism

In this manner, we obtain the “spin-fermion” model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_\alpha \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp(-\mathcal{S}) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta} e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i} \\ &\quad + \int d\tau d^2r \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_r \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{s}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \frac{u}{4} \vec{\varphi}^4 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism

In the Hamiltonian form (ignoring, for now, the time dependence of $\vec{\varphi}$), the coupling between $\vec{\varphi}$ and the electrons takes the form


$$H_{\text{sdw}} = \lambda \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \alpha, \beta} \vec{\varphi}_{\mathbf{q}} \cdot c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}, \beta}$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices, the boson momentum \mathbf{q} is small, while the fermion momentum \mathbf{k} extends over the entire Brillouin zone. In the antiferromagnetically ordered state, we may take $\vec{\varphi} \propto (0, 0, 1)$, and the electron dispersions obtained by diagonalizing $H_0 + H_{\text{sdw}}$ are

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\pm} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda^2 |\vec{\varphi}|^2}$$


This leads to the Fermi surfaces shown in the following slides as a function of increasing $|\vec{\varphi}|$.

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism




Metal with “large” Fermi surface

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism




Fermi surfaces translated by $\mathbf{K} = (\pi, \pi)$.

Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism



“Hot” spots


Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism



Electron and hole pockets in
antiferromagnetic phase with $\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$


Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping

← Increasing SDW order →




S. Sachdev, A. V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 14874 (1995).
A. V. Chubukov and D. K. Morr, *Physics Reports* **288**, 355 (1997).

Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping




S. Sachdev, A. V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 14874 (1995).
A. V. Chubukov and D. K. Morr, *Physics Reports* **288**, 355 (1997).

Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping



where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}$


Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping



Fermi surface breaks up at hot spots
into electron and hole “pockets”

Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping

← Increasing SDW order →




Fermi surface breaks up at hot spots
into electron and hole “pockets”

Square lattice Hubbard model with hole doping

$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$


and large



Metal with
hole pockets


$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$

and small



Metal with
electron and
hole pockets


$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$$



Metal with
“large” Fermi
surface


Square lattice Hubbard model with electron doping

$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$
and large




Metal with
electron pockets

$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$
and small



Metal with
electron and
hole pockets

$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$




Metal with
“large” Fermi
surface

Square lattice Hubbard model with no doping

$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$


and large



Insulator


$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$

and small



Metal with
electron and
hole pockets


$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$$




Metal with
“large” Fermi
surface

S


Fermi surface+antiferromagnetism



Fermi surfaces translated by $\mathbf{K} = (\pi, \pi)$.




“Hot” spots




Low energy theory for critical point near hot spots


Theory has fermions $\psi_{1,2}$ (with Fermi velocities $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$)
and boson order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$,
interacting with coupling λ



$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha}$$


Order parameter: $\mathcal{L}_\varphi = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_r \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{s}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \frac{u}{4} \vec{\varphi}^4$

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha}$$

Order parameter: $\mathcal{L}_\varphi = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_r \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{s}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \frac{u}{4} \vec{\varphi}^4$


“Yukawa” coupling: $\mathcal{L}_c = -\lambda \vec{\varphi} \cdot \left(\psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{2\beta} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{1\beta} \right)$

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha}$$



Fermion dispersions: $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}1} = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}2} = \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}$

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{1\alpha} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - i\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla_r) \psi_{2\alpha} - \lambda \vec{\varphi} \cdot \left(\psi_{1\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{2\beta} + \psi_{2\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{1\beta} \right)$$




Fermion dispersions:

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\pm} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}2}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}2}}{2} \right)^2 + \lambda^2 |\vec{\varphi}|^2}$$

Hertz action.

Upon integrating the fermions out, the leading term in the $\vec{\varphi}$ effective action is $-\Pi(q, \omega_n) |\vec{\varphi}(q, \omega_n)|^2$, where $\Pi(q, \omega_n)$ is the fermion polarizability. This is given by a simple fermion loop diagram



$$\Pi(q, \omega_n) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d\epsilon_n}{2\pi} \frac{1}{[-i(\epsilon_n + \omega_n) + \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})][-i\epsilon_n + \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}]}.$$

We define oblique co-ordinates $p_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}$ and $p_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}$. It is then clear that the integrand is independent of the $(d - 2)$ transverse momenta, whose integral yields an overall factor Λ^{d-2} (in $d = 2$ this factor is precisely 1).

Also, by shifting the integral over k_1 we note that the integral is independent of q . So we have

$$\Pi(q, \omega_n) = \frac{\Lambda^{d-2}}{|\mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2|} \int \frac{dp_1 dp_2 d\epsilon_n}{8\pi^3} \frac{1}{[-i(\epsilon_n + \omega_n) + p_1][-i\epsilon_n + p_2]}.$$

Next, we evaluate the frequency integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(q, \omega_n) &= \frac{\Lambda^{d-2}}{\zeta |\mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2|} \int \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{4\pi^2} \frac{[\text{sgn}(p_2) - \text{sgn}(p_1)]}{-i\zeta\omega_n + p_1 - p_2} \\ &= -\frac{|\omega_n| \Lambda^{d-2}}{4\pi |\mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have dropped a frequency-independent, cutoff-dependent constant which can be absorbed into a redefinition of r . Inserting this fermion polarizability in the effective action for $\vec{\varphi}$, we obtain the Hertz action for the SDW transition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_H &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} T \sum_{\omega_n} \frac{1}{2} [k^2 + \gamma|\omega_n| + s] |\vec{\varphi}(k, \omega_n)|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{u}{4} \int d^d x d\tau (\vec{\varphi}^2(x, \tau))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Perform a tree-level RG rescaling on \mathcal{S}_H . Now we rescale co-ordinates as $x' = xe^{-\ell}$ and $\tau' = \tau e^{-z\ell}$. Here z is the dynamic critical exponent. Show that the gradient and non-local terms become invariant for $z = 2$ (previous theories considered here had $z = 1$). Then show that the transformation of the quartic term is $u' = ue^{(2-d)\ell}$. This led Hertz to conclude that the SDW quantum critical point was described by a Gaussian theory for the SDW order parameter in $d \geq 2$.

Spin-fluctuation exchange theory of d-wave superconductivity

***d*-wave pairing near a spin-density-wave instability**

D. J. Scalapino, E. Loh, Jr.,* and J. E. Hirsch†

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106





(Received 23 June 1986)

We investigate the three-dimensional Hubbard model and show that paramagnon exchange near a spin-density-wave instability gives rise to a strong singlet *d*-wave pairing interaction. For a cubic band the singlet ($d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$) channels are enhanced while the singlet (d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}) and triplet *p*-wave channels are suppressed. A unique feature of this pairing mechanism is its sensitivity to band structure and band filling.


Physical Review B 34, 8190 (1986)

Spin-fluctuation exchange theory of d-wave superconductivity

← Increasing SDW order →



Spin-fluctuation exchange theory of d-wave superconductivity



Fermions at the *large* Fermi surface exchange fluctuations of the SDW order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$.

Spin-fluctuation exchange theory of d-wave superconductivity

We now allow the SDW field $\vec{\varphi}$ to be dynamical, coupling to electrons as

$$H_{\text{sdw}} = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \alpha, \beta} \vec{\varphi}_{\mathbf{q}} \cdot c_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{q}, \beta}.$$

Exchange of a $\vec{\varphi}$ quantum leads to the effective interaction

$$H_{ee} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \gamma, \delta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha, \beta} V_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta}(\mathbf{q}) c_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \beta} c_{\mathbf{p}, \gamma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, \delta},$$

where the pairing interaction is

$$V_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta}(\mathbf{q}) = \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\delta} \frac{\chi_0}{\xi^{-2} + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K})^2},$$

with $\chi_0 \xi^2$ the SDW susceptibility and ξ the SDW correlation length.

Spin-fluctuation exchange theory of d-wave superconductivity


BCS Gap equation

In BCS theory, this interaction leads to the ‘gap equation’ for the pairing gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto \langle c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \rangle$.

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = - \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{3\chi_0}{\xi^{-2} + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K})^2} \right) \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^2}}$$


Non-zero solutions of this equation require that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ have opposite signs when $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{K}$.

$$\langle c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}\beta}^\dagger \rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \Delta (\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$$



Unconventional pairing at and near hot spots

Pairing “glue” from antiferromagnetic fluctuations




V. J. Emery, *J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq.* 44, C3-977 (1983)

D. J. Scalapino, E. Loh, and J. E. Hirsch, *Phys. Rev. B* 34, 8190 (1986)

K. Miyake, S. Schmitt-Rink, and C. M. Varma, *Phys. Rev. B* 34, 6554 (1986)


S. Raghu, S. A. Kivelson, and D. J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 224505 (2010)

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism



Hot spots in a single band model

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism




E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Hot spots in a two band model

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Faithful realization of the *generic* universal low energy theory for the onset of antiferromagnetism.




E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Hot spots in a two band model

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Sign problem is absent as long as K connects hotspots in distinct bands




E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Hot spots in a two band model

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Sign problem is absent as long as K connects hotspots in distinct bands



E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Requires only time-reversal symmetry. Particle-hole or point-group symmetries or commensurate densities *not* required !

Hot spots in a two band model

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Electrons with dispersion $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$
interacting with fluctuations of the
antiferromagnetic order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp(-\mathcal{S})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \\ & + \int d\tau d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{r}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \dots \right] \\ & - \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_i} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta} \end{aligned}$$

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Electrons with dispersions $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp(-\mathcal{S})$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)}$$

$$+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)}$$

$$+ \int d\tau d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{r}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \dots \right]$$

$$- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_i} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.}$$

E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

No sign problem !

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

Electrons with dispersions $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp(-\mathcal{S}) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)} \\ &+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{r}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \dots \right] \\ &- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_i} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned}$$

E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Applies without changes to the microscopic band structure in the iron-based superconductors

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism


Electrons with dispersions $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)}$ interacting with fluctuations of the antiferromagnetic order parameter $\vec{\varphi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(x)} \mathcal{D}c_{\alpha}^{(y)} \mathcal{D}\vec{\varphi} \exp(-\mathcal{S}) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(x)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(x)} \\ &+ \int d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(y)} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{(y)} \\ &+ \int d\tau d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x \vec{\varphi})^2 + \frac{r}{2} \vec{\varphi}^2 + \dots \right] \\ &- \lambda \int d\tau \sum_i \vec{\varphi}_i \cdot (-1)^{\mathbf{x}_i} c_{i\alpha}^{(x)\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}^{(y)} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned}$$

E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Can integrate out $\vec{\varphi}$ to obtain an extended Hubbard model. The interactions in this model only couple electrons in separate bands.


QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism



E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Hot spots in a two band model


QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism




E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).



QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism




E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).

Now hot spots are at Fermi surface intersections


QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism

E. Berg,
M. Metlitski, and
S. Sachdev,
Science **338**, 1606
(2012).




Expected Fermi surfaces in the AFM ordered phase

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism




Electron occupation number $n_{\mathbf{k}}$
as a function of the tuning parameter r

QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism



AF susceptibility, χ_ϕ , and Binder cumulant as a function of the tuning parameter r


QMC for the onset of antiferromagnetism



s/d pairing amplitudes P_{+}/P_{-}
as a function of the tuning parameter r


E. Berg, M. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, *Science* **338**, 1606 (2012).

Quantum phase transition with onset of antiferromagnetism in a metal



$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$

Metal with electron
and hole pockets




$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$$

Metal with “large”
Fermi surface


r

Quantum phase transition with onset of antiferromagnetism in a metal



$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$

Metal with electron
and hole pockets




Metal with "large"
Fermi surface

Find new instabilities
upon approaching
critical point

r


Quantum phase transition with onset of antiferromagnetism in a metal



$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$$

Metal with electron and hole pockets

d-wave superconductivity



$$\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle = 0$$

Metal with "large" Fermi surface

r