

Intervention services across the nation -- are they enough?

Mallene Wiggin, PhD* Allison Sedey, PhD*, *** Christine Yoshinaga-Itano, PhD* Craig Mason, PhD**

*University of Colorado, Boulder **University of Maine ***Colorado School for the Deaf & Blind



This study was supported by the Disability Research and Dissemination Center (DRDC) through its Cooperative Agreement Number 5U01DD17-001 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Additional support was provided through 6 NU50DD000099-01-04 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the DRDC or the CDC.





Thanks to....

CDC & DRDC NECAP States ODDACE Grant Students & Team at CU Boulder Interventionists Children & families who participated



Today's Topics

General program demographic information

(a.k.a. What's happening around the country?)

o Amount & type of therapy?

• Types of providers?

- o Our study
- Our results



National Early Childhood Assessment Project: NECAP – States in Analysis





Part 1 -- What's happening around the country?





Who provides service?

Professional certification

- All teachers of the deaf/hoh
- All speech pathologists
- Primarily teachers of the deaf/hoh with one to two speech pathologists
- Primarily speech pathologists with one to two teachers of the deaf/hoh
- Some speech pathologists; some early childhood specialists

Areas of Expertise

- Deafness birth to 21
- Deafness birth to 3
- Birth to 6 all disabilities
- Children of all ages and all disabilities





How much service?





What other service?









Where is the service?











Research Questions

(1) Does a relationship exist between language scores and the amount of services a child receives?

(2) If there is a relationship, what is the causal direction of any such relationship?



Part 2 -- Study Design, Methods & Participants



Data Analyzed

National Early Chilchood Assessment Project University of Colorado - Boulder Department of Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences	
AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF AUDIOLOGIC INFORMATION	5
l give permission to:	
at:(Name of agency, hospital, or facility)	
(Address of facility include number, street, city, state, and zip code)	(
to release all audiologic information (audiograms, audiology reports, and other hearing test results)gathered on:	
(Child's name) (Child's date of birth)	
(Parent's or guardian's name) (Address: include number, street, city, state, and zip code) This audiologic information should be released and sent to:	
Dr. Allison Sedey, NECAP Coordinator University of Colorado - Boulder 409 UCB Boulder, CO 80300	A FIRST S Balana ak waanda aw
This release will continue to be in effect for one year from the date stated below. I understand that I may revoke this authorization in writing at any time.	1. Respire 2. Respire 3. Reserve
	B. PHRASI In the lat
(Signature) (Date)	Ann ann A Ann ann A Bharanna

	Birthdate Today's Date			
		MacArthur-Bates Words and Cestur Character and Cestur Annual Control of the Contr	es.	
Γ		ager Mark Version Wark		
		● ØØ₽₽@		
FIRST SIGNS OF UNDERSTA	NOING	● ØØ₽@		
Refere shiften begin to spaak. The	NONG	• • • • • • •	g to Sentile	No
Believe children begin to speak. They worth and phrases. Believe are early 1. Respond when some to called in	NDING store sk sorteter g. ty tur g starter	Rear I EARLY WORDS pro of understanding tanguage by support managlab. Date part difficult do any of taxes reag und taxets for a moment.	y 10 100100	2 000
Rolive children begin to speak. Hisp words will phrases. Boless are activ 1. Respond to "we rol" day strapping 2. Respond to "we rol" day strapping 3. Reset to "hereix maning statig PHRASES 1280	publicity public	ORE AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRA	y 10 100100	1 000
Bolow children begin to speak. Hop words and phrases. Bolow are activ 1. Required when some is called in 2. Required when sume is called in 3. Required to "ne set" day stopping 3. React to "thesis, memory dashing	ALDINAG anterna sig anterna si	ORE AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRA	10 tente 0	Nay O O O
Relevant Altern begin to speak. The second photons. But is a solution of 2. Response to "new on" for ymagon. 2. Response to "new on" for ymagon. 2. Response to "New Control (Statement PHEASES 128) UNEXES 128 UNEXES 128 UNEXES 128 Alter solution photons reach the pho- ses solution of the solution of the and solution of the solution of the Alter solution of the solution of the Alter solution of the solution of the Base solution of the solution of the solution of the control.	ALDINAG anterna sin anterna anterna anterna bartena ba	OR I LARLY WORDS PART I EARLY WORDS In al functional data by the association of the associatio of the associatio of the association of the association of the	to Senter No Zam you much Senten. Senten.	Nay O orderstands
Releve shiften begin to space, the work well phrases, form an and 3. Report of the same of the space 3. Report of the same of the space 3. Report of the same of the space 3. Report of the same of the same 3. Report of the same same same same same same same 3. Report of the same same same same same same same 3. Report of the same same same same same same same 3. Report of the same same same same same same same sam	ALDINAG anterna sin anterna anterna anterna bartena ba	O O O O	g to familier	Nay O enderstands

	d Assessment Project: NECAP I OGRAPHIC FORM
NOTE: To be completed by the parent and & child is assessed with NECAP	or the early intervention provider the first time the
GENERAL INFORMATION:	Today's date:// mon day year
Child's Name:	
Parents' names:	Phone:
Address:	City:
State: Zp Code:	
Parents' e-mail address:	
Binthdate of child: /////	Gender of child: Boy Girl
 Family <u>qualifies</u> for Medicaid or state equi (Qualifies based on income; if qualifies but of 	valent:yesnounknown loe <i>s not receive assistance, still check "yes"</i>)
2. Ethnicity of child: Hispanic Alatino	NOT Hispanic/Latino
3. Race of child (check all that apply):	
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
	American Indian or Alaska Native
	ase specify:)
4. Languages used at home with the child:	(Please check <u>all</u> that apply)
Spoken English Sp	
	ner (Specify:)
HEARING INFORMATION:	
1. Did the child fail a newborn hearing scree	ning?yesnodid not receive
2. Onset of hearing loss: Present at birt	h Acquired after birth Don't know
If acquired , at what a ge?n	nonths of age
3. Age at which hearing loss was confirmed	by an audiologist: months of age
4. Age at which $\underline{\text{first}}$ received amplification:	months of age



Participants Included in Analysis



BILATERAL HEARING LOSS -ONSET OF HEARING LOSS: 97% CONGENITAL, 3% ACQUIRED (ALL PRIOR TO 8 MONTHS OF AGE) ENGLISH WAS THE PRIMARY WRITTEN LANGUAGE OF THE HOME NO ADDITIONAL DISABILITIES



Characteristic	Percentage of Participants	
Gender		
Male	46%	
Female	54%	
Ethnicity		
Non-Hispanic	80%	
Hispanic	20%	
Race		
White	87%	
African American/black	2%	
Asian	2%	
Native American	1%	
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1%	
Mixed race	7%	
Communication mode used with the child		
Primarily spoken language	79%	
Spoken language only	29%	
Spoken with very occasional use of sign	50%	
Sign language + spoken language	19%	
Sign only	2%	
Hearing status of the parent		
Both parents hearing	81.5%	
One or both parents deaf/hard of hearing ^a	18.5%	
Mother's highest educational degree		
Less than high school	7%	
High school	34%	
Vocational	5%	
Associates	16%	
Bachelor's	26%	
Graduate	12%	

 Table 1. Participant and Family Demographic Characteristics

^aOf the parents who were deaf or hard of hearing, 55% used sign language when communicating with their child.



Participants





Participants



74% Met

26% Did Not Meet



Participants

1st Assessment

Type of Amplification



Final Assessment

Type of Amplification



- None (9%)
- Hearing Aids (63%)
- CI (23.7%)
- Bone Conduction (3.3%)



Model



Structural equation model predicting MacArthur performance and number of sessions over time





Part 3 -- Analysis & Results



Results of Structural Equation Model







Findings

Hearing Loss:

- Having a mild/moderate hearing loss, rather than moderate/severe to profound hearing loss, was associated with fewer sessions at both Time 1 and Time 3, and was marginally significant at Time 2.
- A mild/moderate hearing loss, rather than moderate/severe to profound, was also associated with higher MacArthur scores at Time 2.

Maternal Education:

- Maternal education was negatively related to the number of sessions at Time 1, with children of more educated mothers initially receiving fewer sessions.
- Finally, maternal education was positively related to MacArthur scores at Time 2, reflecting higher language skills for children of more educated mothers.



Findings

Language Scores – Intensity of Intervention

- increased number of sessions at Time 1 predicting future MacArthur scores at Time 2,
- and number of sessions at Time 2 predicted future MacArthur score at Time 3

Additionally –

 In contrast, language scores at Time 1 were not associated with the subsequent number of sessions at Time 2; however, higher language scores at Time 2 were predictive of subsequently fewer sessions at Time 3.



Findings



University of Colorado Boulder

To the providers... To the Part C supervisors... To the pediatricians... To the parents...

The message.



Our work continues...

ODDACE (Outcomes and Developmental Data Assistance Center for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention)



Thank you for attending!

<u>Mallene.wiggin@Colorado.edu</u> <u>Allison.sedey@Colorado.edu</u> <u>Craig.mason@maine.edu</u> <u>Christie.Yoshi@Colorado.edu</u>



References

Baker, E. (2012). Optimal intervention intensity in speechlanguage pathology: Discoveries, challenges, and unchartered territories. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, *14*(5), 478-485.

Baker, E. (2012). Optimal intervention intensity. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, *14*(5), 401-409.

Warren, S. F., Fey, M. E., & Yoder, P. J. (2007). Differential treatment intensity research: A missing link to creating optimally effective communication interventions. *Mental retardation and developmental disabilities research reviews*, *13*(1), 70-77.

Barnett, W. S., & Escobar, C. M. (1990). Economic costs and benefits of early intervention.

