

**Department of Applied Mathematics**  
**PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION**  
**August 2022**

Instructions:

Do two of three problems in each section (Stat and Prob).  
Place an **X** on the lines next to the problem numbers  
that you are **NOT** submitting for grading.

Prob

1. \_\_\_\_  
2. \_\_\_\_  
3. \_\_\_\_

Please do not write your name anywhere on this exam.  
You will be identified only by your student number.  
Write this number **on each page** submitted for grading.  
Show all relevant work.

Stat

4. \_\_\_\_  
5. \_\_\_\_  
6. \_\_\_\_  
Total \_\_\_\_

Student Number \_\_\_\_\_

---

## Probability Section

1. Probability: Problem 1

Suppose that a random vector  $(X, Y)$  taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is uniformly distributed within the region enclosed by the curve  $y = x^2$  and the line  $y = 1$ .

- (a) What is the probability density function of  $Y$ ?  
(b) Compute the covariance between  $X$  and  $Y$ .  
(c) Are  $X$  and  $Y$  independent? Justify your answer!
- 

2. Probability: Problem 2

In oncology, there are three main states of an individual. Initially, one is by default in the “healthy” state (denoted by  $H$ ), but subject to the potential threat of a cancer. In the next “outbreak” state (denoted by  $O$ ), a cancer is diagnosed and one goes through medical treatments. In the third “rehabilitation” state (denoted by  $R$ ), one survives the cancer and gradually returns to activities of daily living. The state of an individual at time  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X(t)$ , is modeled as a continuous-time Markov chain with the infinitesimal generator (or rate matrix)

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -\eta & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & \lambda \\ 0 & \gamma & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta, \lambda, \gamma > 0$  are given constants with  $\gamma > \eta$ . We assume that  $X(0) = H$ .

- (a) Explain in words the meaning of “ $\gamma > \eta$ ”.
- (b) Given  $t > 0$ , find the probability that  $X(t) = O$  and the state  $R$  has not yet been visited, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(X(t) = O \text{ and } X(u) \in \{H, O\} \forall u \in [0, t]).$$

- (c) Given  $t > 0$ , what is the probability that an individual has exactly *two* cancer outbreaks during the period  $[0, t]$ ?
- (d) Suppose that there are  $N > 0$  individuals in a population. Each individual is healthy at time 0 and subject to the thread of a cancer as in (1) *independently of other individuals*. As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , what are the limiting fractions of the population that are in the “healthy”, “outbreak”, and “rehabilitation” states?

### 3. Probability: Problem 3

In a casino, a gambler with initial wealth \$1,000 plans to participate in a game. In each round of the game, the gambler either loses \$1,000 (with probability  $p \in (0, 1)$ ) or wins \$1,000 (with probability  $1 - p$ ). For convenience, we express this as

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = -1000) = p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(X_n = 1000) = 1 - p,$$

where  $X_n$  represents the payoff from the  $n^{\text{th}}$  round of the game. We assume that every round of the game is carried out independently. Suppose that the gambler follows the following stopping strategy: he will leave the game once he is bankrupt (i.e., his wealth becomes \$0) or his wealth reaches \$4,000, whichever comes first. Let  $N$  denote the total number of rounds the gambler participates in. Note that  $N$  is a random variable depending on the stopping strategy of the gambler.

- (a) The wealth of the gambler after the  $n^{\text{th}}$  round, denoted by  $W_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , can be described using a Markov chain with finite states. Write down the transition matrix  $P$  of this Markov chain. Which states are recurrent? Which states are transient?
- (b) Find  $\mathbb{E}[N]$ .
- (c) Show that  $\mathbb{E}[X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N] = \mathbb{E}[X_1]\mathbb{E}[N]$ .
- (d) Suppose that the gambler (still with initial wealth \$1,000) follows a slightly different strategy: he will leave the game once he is bankrupt (i.e., his wealth becomes \$0) or his wealth reaches \$5,000. In terms of maximizing his expected wealth when leaving the game (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[W_N]$ ), which strategy (the new one or the original one) is better? Justify your answer!  
**(Hint:** Use part (c)).

## Statistics Section

### 4. Statistics: Problem 4

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the continuous distribution with probability density function (pdf)

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{2\theta(1-x)}{(2x-x^2)^{1-\theta}} I_{(0,1)}(x).$$

Here,  $\theta > 0$  and  $I_{(0,1)}(x)$  is the indicator function that takes on the value 1 when  $0 < x < 1$  and is 0 otherwise.

- Find the distribution of  $Y_i = -\ln(2X_i - X_i^2)$ .
  - Find the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for  $\theta$ . Show that it is an asymptotically unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ .
  - Find the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) for  $\theta$ .
  - Is the UMVUE an efficient estimator of  $\theta$ ? Justify.
- 

### 5. Statistics: Problem 5

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent random variables with  $X \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \text{exponential}(\mu)$ . (Recall that if  $Z \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$ , the density is  $f(z) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda z)$  and the CDF is  $F(z) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda z)$ .)

It is impossible to obtain direct observations of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Instead, we only observe the random variables  $Z$  and  $W$  where:  $Z = \min\{X, Y\}$  and  $W = 1$  when  $Z = X$ , and 0 otherwise. (This situation arises frequently in medical trials, where we say that the variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are censored.)

- Find the joint distribution function of  $Z$  and  $W$ .
  - Prove that  $Z$  and  $W$  are independent.
  - Assume that  $\{(Z_i, W_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  are  $n$  independent and identically distributed bivariate observations. Find the MLE of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . (For partial credit, outline every step in the process of finding the MLE.)
- 

### 6. Statistics: Problem 6

The jackknife is a general technique for reducing bias in an estimator (Quenouille, 1956; Miller, 1974). A one-step jackknife estimator is defined as follows. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample, and let  $T_n = T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be some estimator of a parameter  $\theta$ . In order to "jackknife"  $T_n$ , we calculate the  $n$  statistics  $T_n^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $T_n^{(i)}$  is calculated just as  $T_n$  but using the  $n - 1$  observations with  $X_i$  removed from the sample. The jackknife estimator of  $\theta$ , denoted by  $T_n^*$  is given by

$$T_n^* = nT_n - \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_n^{(i)}$$

(In general,  $T_n^*$  will have a smaller bias than  $T_n$ .)

Now to be specific, let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and identically distributed Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) random variables. The object is to estimate  $\theta^2$ .

- (a) Find the MLE of  $\theta^2$ , and show that it is a biased estimator of  $\theta^2$ .
  - (b) Derive the one-step jackknife estimator based on the MLE.
  - (c) Show that the one-step jackknife estimator is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$ . (In general, jackknifing only reduces bias; in this special case, however, it removes it entirely.)
  - (d) Is this jackknife estimator the best unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$ ? If yes, prove it. If no, find the best unbiased estimator.
-