### The Question

How to maximize the growth rate of one's wealth when **precise covariance structure** of the underlying assets **is not known**?

The Set-up

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open connected set, and  $\mathbb{S}^d$  be the set of  $d \times d$  symmetric matrices.

- X: price process of d assets taking values in E.
- $\theta, \Theta: E \mapsto (0, \infty)$  are functions in  $C^{0,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(E)$ , and satisfy  $\theta < \Theta$  in E.
- $\mathcal{C}$ : set of functions  $c: E \mapsto \mathbb{S}^d$  s.t. for any  $x \in E$ ,

$$\theta(x)I_d \le c(x) \le \Theta(x)I_d.$$

**Remark.** Each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  represents a possible covariance structure that might materialize. The (Knightian) uncertainty is captured by  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ .



- $(\boldsymbol{L^{c(\cdot)}f})(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} c_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$  $= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[c(x)D^2 f(x)].$
- $\mathbb{Q}^{c}$ : the solution to the (generalized) martingale problem on E for the operator  $L^{c(\cdot)}$ .
- $\Pi^{c} := \{ \mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{P} \ll_{\text{loc}} \mathbb{Q}^{c}, X \text{ doesn't explode } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \}$
- $\Pi := \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \prod^c$ .

•  $\pi \in \mathcal{V}$  (admissible trading strategy): predictable process s.t. the following holds for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ : (i)  $\pi$  is X-integrable under  $\mathbb{Q}^c$ ;

(ii)  $V_t^{\pi} := 1 + \int_0^t \pi'_s dX_s > 0 \ \mathbb{Q}^c$ -a.s., for all  $t \ge 0$ .

• Asymptotic growth rate of  $V^{\pi}$  under  $\mathbb{P}$ :  $g(\pi;\mathbb{P})$ 

 $:= \sup \left\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{P}\text{-}\liminf_{t \to \infty} (\log V_t^{\pi}/t) \ge \gamma \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \right\}.$  $(\approx \sup \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \mid V_t^{\pi} \ge e^{\gamma t} \text{ as } t \text{ large } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \})$ 

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

#### Our Goal

Choose an  $\pi^* \in \mathcal{V}$  s.t.  $V^{\pi^*}$  attains the rate  $\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \Pi} g(\pi; \mathbb{P})$ uniformly over all  $\mathbb{P}$  in  $\Pi$  (or at least in a large

enough subset  $\Pi^*$  of  $\Pi$ ).

### When $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is fixed...

For any  $D \subset E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we consider  $H^{c}_{\lambda}(D) := \{ \eta \in C^{2}(D) \mid L^{c(\cdot)}\eta + \lambda\eta = 0, \ \eta > 0 \},$ and define the principal eigenvalue for  $L^{c(\cdot)}$  on D as  $\lambda^{*,c}(D) := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid H^c_\lambda(D) \neq \emptyset\}.$ 

In [3], the authors take  $\eta^{*,c} \in H^c_{\lambda^{*,c}(E)}(E)$  and define  $\Pi^{*,c} := \left\{ \mathbb{P} \in \Pi^c \mid \mathbb{P}\text{-}\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log \eta^{*,c}(X_t)}{t} \ge 0 \ \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s. \right\}.$ They show that

•  $\Pi^{*,c}$  is large enough to include all the probabilities in  $\Pi^c$  under which X is stable.

• 
$$\lambda^{*,c}(E) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \Pi^{*,c}} g(\pi; \mathbb{P}) = \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \Pi^{*,c}} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} g(\pi; \mathbb{P}).$$

• 
$$\pi_t^{*,c} := e^{\lambda^{*,c}(E)t} \nabla \eta^{*,c}(X_t) \in \mathcal{V}$$
 satisfies

 $g(\pi^{*,c}; \mathbb{P}) \ge \lambda^{*,c}(E), \ \forall \ \mathbb{P} \in \Pi^{*,c}.$ 

### When $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is NOT fixed...

Recall **Pucci's operator**: given  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(M) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda,\Lambda)} \operatorname{Tr}(AM), \ \forall \ M \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \Lambda)$  denotes the set of matrices in  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with eigenvalues lying in  $[\lambda, \Lambda]$ .

Define the operator  $F: E \times \mathbb{S}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(x, M) := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}(\theta(x), \Theta(x))} \operatorname{Tr}(AM).$$
4.

For any  $D \subset E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we consider  $H_{\lambda}(D) := \{ \eta \in C^2(D) \mid F(x, D^2\eta) + \lambda \eta \le 0, \ \eta > 0 \},\$ **5**. S and define the principal eigenvalue for F on D as  $\lambda^*(D) := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid H_\lambda(D) \neq \emptyset\}.$ 

Now, by using the arguments in [3] and the relation  $\lambda^*(E) = \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda^{*,c}(E)$ , we obtain

# **Robust Maximization of Asymptotic Growth under Covariance Uncertainty**

Erhan Bayraktar and Yu-Jui Huang

### Main Result

Fake 
$$\eta^* \in H_{\lambda^*(E)}(E)$$
. Define  
 $\pi_t^* := e^{\lambda^*(E)t} \nabla \eta^*(X_t) \quad \forall t \ge t$ 

and set

$$\Pi^* := \left\{ \mathbb{P} \in \Pi \mid \mathbb{P}\text{-}\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log \eta^*(X_t)}{t} \ge 0 \ \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s. \right\}.$$

Then, we have

•  $\Pi^*$  is large enough to include all the probabilities in  $\Pi$  under which X is stable. •  $\lambda^*(E) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \Pi^*} g(\pi; \mathbb{P}) = \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \Pi^*} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} g(\pi; \mathbb{P}).$ •  $\pi^* \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $g(\pi^*; \mathbb{P}) \ge \lambda^*(E)$  for all  $\mathbb{P} \in \Pi^*$ .

## Proving " $\lambda^*(E) = \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda^{*,c}(E)$ "

Assume: there exist  $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of bounded open convex subsets of E s.t.  $\partial E_n$  is of  $C^{2,\alpha}$ ,  $\overline{E}_n \subset E_{n+1}$  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$ 



### Sketch of proof:

Energy proof.
<b>1</b> . On each $E_n$ , show the existence of a positive
viscosity solution $\eta_n$ (by using [5]) to
$F(x, D^2\eta) + \lambda^*(E_n)\eta \le 0.$
<b>2.</b> Show that $\eta_n$ is actually smooth (using [6]).
<b>3.</b> Show $\lambda^*(E_n) = \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda^{*,c}(E_n)$ .
(i) $\leq$ : Use a maximum principle related to $F$ .
(ii) $\geq$ : Use the theory of <i>continuous selection</i> in [1] to
construct $\{c_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{C}$ s.t.
$\lambda^*(E_n) \ge \liminf_{m \to \infty} \lambda^{*,c_m}(E_n).$
<b>4.</b> Show $\lambda^*(E) = \lambda_0 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda^*(E_n)$ .
(i) $\leq$ : obvious from definitions.
(ii) $\geq$ : Prove a Harnack inequality for $F$ , and use it to show
$\eta_n$ converges uniformly on $E$ to some $\eta^* \in H_{\lambda_0}(E)$ .
<b>5.</b> Since $\lambda^{*,c}(E) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^{*,c}(E_n)$ for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$
(by [4]), we have

$$\inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda^{*,c}(E) = \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^{*,c}(E_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda^{*,c}(E_n)$$
$$= \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^*(E_n) = \lambda^*(E).$$

The covariance uncertainty we consider is similar to the "Knightian uncertainty" formulated in [2], in the sense that the constraint on covariance is Markovian. The latter, however, is more general as it allows the covariance itself to be *non-Markovian*. It is of interest to generalize our results to the case with non-Markovian covariances, which would lead to eigenvalue problems for *path-dependent* PDEs.

1]	A
	sele
	<b>57</b>
2]	D.
	mo
	219
3]	C
	asy
	(20
4]	R.
	difj
5]	А.
-	Dir
	ope
6]	М.
-	ກຸດທ

V. Safonov, Classical solution of second-order nonlinear elliptic equations, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **52** (1988), pp. 1272-1287.



### **Conclusions and Outlook**

Among an appropriate class  $\mathcal{C}$  of covariance structures, we characterize the largest possible robust asymptotic growth rate as the principle eigenvalue  $\lambda^*(E)$  of the fully nonlinear elliptic operator F, and identify the optimal trading strategy in terms of  $\lambda^*(E)$  and the associated eigenfunction.

### For Further Information

• Yu-Jui Huang is available at jayhuang@umich.edu • Preprint of our paper can be downloaded from www.arxiv.org/abs/1107.2988 • This poster can be downloaded from http://www-personal.umich.edu/~jayhuang

### **Selected References**

L. Brown, Set valued mappings, continuous *lections, and metric projections*, J. Approx. Theory (1997), pp. 48-68.

Fernholz and I. Karatzas, Optimal arbitrage under odel uncertainty, Ann. Appl. Probab.  $\mathbf{21}$  (2011), pp. 1-2225.

Kardaras and S. Robertson, Robust maximization of ymptotic growth, to appear in Ann. Appl. Probab, 12).

G. Pinsky, Positive harmonic functions and fusion, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Quaas and B. Sirakov, Principal eigenvalues and the richlet problem for fully nonlinear elliptic *erators*, Adv. Math., **218** (2008), pp. 105-135.

# **UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN**