

Theorem $\pi 1$:

For an irreducible, positive recurrent, aperiodic Markov chain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)}$$

exists and is independent of i .

(Recall that we have shown that any limiting distribution is stationary.)

Theorem $\pi 2$:

Suppose that a Markov chain defined by the transition probabilities p_{ij} is irreducible, aperiodic, and has stationary distribution π . Then for all states i and j ,

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} \rightarrow \pi_j, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem $\pi 3$:

For an irreducible, positive recurrent Markov chain, a stationary distribution π exists, is unique, and satisfies

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_i[T_i]}.$$

Theorem $\pi 4$:

For an irreducible Markov chain, a stationary distribution exists if and only if all states are positive recurrent. In this case the stationary distribution is unique.

Note that these Theorems overlap quite a bit. In fact, Theorem $\pi 3$ is just one half of the “if and only if” in Theorem $\pi 4$. Even so, I felt that it was useful to state them in this way.

For Proof of Theorem $\pi 2$:

Lemma ($\pi 2$): If a stationary distribution π exists, then all states j that have $\pi_j > 0$ are recurrent.

Proof: We want to show that $g_j = P(T_j < \infty | X_0 = j) = 1$, where $T_j = \min\{n \geq 1 : X_n = j\}$.

Let N_j be the number of visits to state j at times ≥ 1 . Then

$$N_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\{X_n=j\}}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_i[N_j] &= \mathbf{E}_i[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\{X_n=j\}}] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_i[I_{\{X_n=j\}}] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_i(X_n = j) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the quantity $\sum_i \pi_i \mathbf{E}_i[N_j]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \pi_i \mathbf{E}_i[N_j] &= \sum_i \pi_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{station.}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_j = \infty \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_j > 0$.

Recall in class where we showed that, starting at state i , the expected number of returns to i at times ≥ 1 was $g_i/(1 - g_i)$. We can similarly show that the expected number of visits to state j , starting from i is $g_{ij}/(1 - g_j)$ where $g_{ij} = P(T_j < \infty | X_0 = i)$.

Then, we have

$$\infty = \sum_i \pi_i \mathbf{E}_i[N_j] = \sum_i \pi_i \frac{g_{ij}}{1 - g_j} \leq \sum_i \pi_i \frac{1}{1 - g_j}$$

since $g_{ij} \leq 1$. So,

$$\infty = \frac{1}{1 - g_j} \sum_i \pi_i = \frac{1}{1 - g_j} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{1 - g_j}$$

which implies that $g_j = 1$, as desired. □

For Proof of Theorem $\pi 2$ Continued:

Define, for any state i , the set

$$A_i = \{n : p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0\}.$$

Note then that the period of i , which we have denoted by d_i is the greatest common divisor of all of the elements in A_i .

Claim: If i has period 1, then there is a number K such that, for all $n \geq K$, n is in A_i .

Partial Proof of Claim: We will quote, without proof, a result from number theory that says

“If the greatest common divisor of a set A_i is 1, then there are integers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m in A_i and positive or negative integer coefficients c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m such that $c_1 i_1 + c_2 i_2 + \dots + i_m c_m = 1$.”

in order to show that A_i contains two consecutive integers. Then we will show that A_i containing two consecutive integers gives us the result we want.

Proof Step 1:

If i has period 1, then, by definition, the greatest common divisor of the elements in A_i is 1. So, by the claim quoted above, there are integers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m in A_i and positive or negative integer coefficients c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m such that $c_1 i_1 + c_2 i_2 + \dots + i_m c_m = 1$.

Let j be the number of positive coefficients and let k be the number of negative coefficients. (So $j + k = m$.) Let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_j be the subscripts of the i 's in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m with positive coefficients and let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k be the subscripts of the i 's with negative coefficients. Define $a_l = c_{s_l}$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, j$ and $b_l = -c_{t_l}$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Then, we have

$$a_1 i_{s_1} + \dots + a_j i_{s_j} = b_1 i_{t_1} + \dots + b_k i_{t_k} + 1. \quad (1)$$

For Proof of Theorem π_2 Continued:

Note that any positive linear combination of elements in A_i is also in A_i . For example, if you can, with positive probability, go from state i to state i in 3 steps and you can also go in 7 steps, then 3 and 7 are numbers in A_i . Furthermore, $4 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 7$, for example, is also in A_i since you can go from i to i in 3 steps, then another 3 steps, then another 3 steps, then another 3 steps, then in 7 steps, and then another 7 steps.

So, (1) shows us two consecutive integers in A_i .

Proof Step 2:

We have now shown that there are two consecutive integers, say k and $k + 1$ in A_i . We now wish to conclude that this implies that all integers, after some point are in A_i .

If k and $k + 1$ are in A_i , then so are

$$2k, 2k + 1, \text{ and } 2k + 2$$

since we can go from i to i in k steps plus another k steps or in k steps plus another $k + 1$ steps, or in $k + 1$ steps plus another $k + 1$ steps.

Since $2k, 2k + 1, 2k + 2$ are in A_i , then so are

$$4k, 4k + 1, 4k + 2, 4k + 3, \text{ and } 4k + 4.$$

as these are all the distinct sums of pairs from $2k, 2k + 1, 2k + 2$.

Continuing, we get that k and $k + 1$ in A_i implies that

$$jk, jk + 1, \dots, jk + j$$

are in A_i for any positive integer j .

For $j \geq k - 1$, these blocks of numbers included in A_i will start to overlap, thereby leaving no gaps in the remaining sequence of integers included in A_i . \square

Proof of Theorem $\pi 2$:

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

1. Let S denote the state space and let $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ denote two independent copies of the Markov chain.

Consider the bivariate Markov chain $\{(X_n, Y_n)\}$ on $S^2 = S \times S$ and let the transition probabilities be denoted by

$$P^{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)}.$$

Note that, by definition of $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ and their independence,

$$P^{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)} = P^{i_x, j_x} \cdot P^{i_y, j_y}.$$

We are going to show that

$$|P(X_n = j) - P(Y_n = j)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2)$$

regardless of the starting values of $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$. So, we are able to take $X_0 = i$ and Y_0 to be a random variable with distribution π , and then by (2) we will have

$$|p_{ij}^{(n)} - \pi_j| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. Claim: This bivariate Markov chain is irreducible.

Proof of Claim:

We want to take any states (i_x, i_y) and (j_x, j_y) and find an integer l such that

$$P^{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)} > 0.$$

- Take any states (i_x, i_y) and (j_x, j_y) in S^2 .
- Since the original chain is irreducible, $i_x \leftrightarrow j_x$ and $i_y \leftrightarrow j_y$. ie: There exist integers n and m such that $p_{i_x, j_x}^{(n)} > 0$ and $p_{i_y, j_y}^{(m)} > 0$. (There are also two more integers that reverse these transitions, but we don't care about them.)

Proof of Theorem $\pi 2$ Continued:

- Since the original chain is aperiodic, states j_x and j_y have period 1. Hence, by the claim preceding this Theorem, there exists a K such that

$$p_{j_x, j_x}^{(k+K)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_{j_y, j_y}^{(k+K)} > 0$$

for all k .

Specifically,

$$p_{j_x, j_x}^{(m+K)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_{j_y, j_y}^{(n+K)} > 0.$$

- Therefore,

$$p_{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)}^{(n+m+K)} > 0$$

since the components move independently.

3. Since the two coordinates are independent,

$$\pi_{(i_x, i_y)} = \pi_{i_x} \cdot \pi_{i_y}$$

defines a stationary distribution for the Markov chain.

Proof:

We need to show that

$$\pi_{(j_x, j_y)} = \sum_{i_x, i_y} \pi_{(i_x, i_y)} p_{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)}$$

Well,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_x, i_y} \pi_{(i_x, i_y)} p_{(i_x, i_y), (j_x, j_y)} &= \sum_{i_x} \sum_{i_y} \pi_{i_x} \pi_{i_y} p_{i_x, j_x} p_{i_y, j_y} \\ &= \sum_{i_x} \pi_{i_x} p_{i_x, j_x} \sum_{i_y} \pi_{i_y} p_{i_y, j_y} \\ &= \sum_{i_x} \pi_{i_x} p_{i_x, j_x} \pi_{j_y} \quad (\pi \text{ stationary}) \\ &= \pi_{j_y} \sum_{i_x} \pi_{i_x} p_{i_x, j_x} \\ &= \pi_{j_y} \pi_{j_x} \stackrel{def}{=} \pi_{(j_x, j_y)} \quad (\pi \text{ stationary}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem π_2 Continued:

4. Since $\pi_{(i_x, i_y)}$ is stationary for the bivariate chain, if we can show that $\pi_{(i_x, i_y)} > 0$ for all states (i_x, i_y) , we will have, by Lemma (π_1), that all states in the bivariate chain are recurrent.

Proof of $\pi_{(i_x, i_y)} > 0$:

•

$$\pi_{(i_x, i_y)} = \pi_{i_x} \cdot \pi_{i_y}$$

So, we need to show that $\pi_{i_x} > 0$ and $\pi_{i_y} > 0$ for all states i_x, i_y in S .

ie: We need to show $\pi_j > 0$ for all $j \in S$.

- Since π is a distribution, $\sum_i \pi_i = 1$ implies that there is at least one state i^* such that $\pi_{i^*} > 0$.

Since π is a stationary distribution, we have that

$$\pi_j = \sum_i \pi_i p_{i,j}^{(n)}$$

for any fixed time point n .

- Choose n so that $p_{i^*,j}^{(n)} > 0$. We can do this since the original Markov chain is irreducible.
- Then we have that

$$\pi_j = \sum_i \pi_i p_{i,j}^{(n)} \geq \pi_{i^*} p_{i^*,j}^{(n)} > 0.$$

5. Let $T = \min\{n \geq 0 : X_n = Y_n\}$ and let $T_{(x)} = \min\{n \geq 0 : X_n = Y_n = x\}$.

Since the bivariate chain $\{(X_n, Y_n)\}$ is irreducible (can get to (x, x)) and recurrent (will get to (x, x)), we have that

$$T_{(x)} < \infty \quad \text{with probability 1.}$$

So,

$$T < T_{(x)} < \infty \quad \text{with probability 1.}$$

6. Claim: $P(X_n = j, T \leq n) = P(Y_n = j, T \leq n)$.

(“On $\{T \leq n\}$, X_n and Y_n have the same distribution.”)

Proof of Theorem $\pi 2$ Continued:

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = j, T \leq n) &= \sum_{u=0}^n P(X_n = j, T = u) \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^n \sum_i P(X_n = j, X_u = i, T = u) \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^n \sum_i P(X_n = j | X_u = i, T = u) \cdot P(X_u = i, T = u) \\ &\stackrel{M.P.}{=} \sum_{u=0}^n \sum_i P(X_n = j | X_u = i) \cdot P(X_u = i, T = u) \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^n \sum_i P(Y_n = j | Y_u = i) \cdot P(Y_u = i, T = u) \\ &= P(Y_n = j, T \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

In the second to last equality, the first factor came from the fact that $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ have the same transition law. The second factor came from the fact that at time T , $X_n = Y_n$.

7. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = j) &= P(X_n = j, T \leq n) + P(X_n = j, T > n) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Step 6}}{=} P(Y_n = j, T \leq n) + P(X_n = j, T > n) \\ &\leq P(Y_n = j) + P(X_n = j, T > n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$P(Y_n = j) \leq P(X_n = j) + P(X_n = j, T > n).$$

So,

$$|P(X_n = j) - P(Y_n = j)| \leq P(X_n = j, T > n) + P(Y_n = j, T > n)$$

8. Summing over j , we get

$$\sum_j |P(X_n = j) - P(Y_n = j)| \leq 2 \cdot P(T > n)$$

regardless of the initial values for $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$.

Proof of Theorem π_2 Continued:

9. Therefore, if we let $X_0 = i$ and $Y_0 \sim \pi$, we get

$$\sum_j |p_{i,j}^{(n)} - \pi_j| \leq 2 \cdot P(T > n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

since $T < \infty$ with probability 1.

Therefore

$$p_{i,j}^n \rightarrow \pi_j \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $i, j \in S$.

□

Proof of Theorem $\pi 3$:

Proof of Existence:

- Fix a state k .
- Let N_i be the number of visits to state i between two consecutive visits to state k .

(In the case that $k = i$, count the last visit to k but not the first. Then we have $N_k = 1$.)

- Let $T_k = \min\{n \geq 1 : X_n = k\}$. Note that

$$N_i = \sum_{n=1}^{T_k} I_{\{X_n=i\}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\{X_n=i, T_k \geq n\}}.$$

- Define $a_k(i) = \mathbf{E}_k[N_i]$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(i) &= \mathbf{E}_k[N_i] = \mathbf{E}_k\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\{X_n=i, T_k \geq n\}}\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_k[I_{\{X_n=i, T_k \geq n\}}] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_k(X_n = i, T_k \geq n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k). \end{aligned}$$

- Let S be the state space for the Markov chain. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} a_k(i) &= \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_k[T_k] \end{aligned}$$

Since the chain is positive recurrent, this expectation is finite. Let's call it m_k .

- We can now define a probability distribution over S with

$$p_i := \frac{a_k(i)}{\sum_{j \in S} a_k(j)} = \frac{a_k(i)}{m_k}$$

for all $i \in S$.

Proof of Theorem $\pi 3$, Existence Continued:

- Note that

$$P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) = \sum_{j \in S} P(X_n = i, X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k).$$

Also note that the term $P(X_n = i, X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k)$ is zero when $j = k$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) &= \sum_{j \neq k} P(X_n = i, X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq k} P(X_n = i | X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n, X_0 = k) \cdot P(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \end{aligned}$$

- Since $T_k \geq n$, X_n could be k or could be anything else. It is not restricted and we have

$$P(X_n = i | X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n, X_0 = k) = p_{ji},$$

the usual transition probability for the Markov chain. (Note that this would not be the case if, say $T_k \geq n$ were replaced by $T_k = n$ or $T_k > n$.)

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) &= \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} P(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} P(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n - 1 | X_0 = k) \end{aligned}$$

since $j \neq k$.

- Note that $P(X_1 = i, T_k \geq 1 | X_0 = k) = P(X_1 = i | X_0 = k) = p_{ki}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(i) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= p_{ki} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(X_n = i, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= p_{ki} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} P(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n - 1 | X_0 = k) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem $\pi 3$, Existence Continued:

- Interchanging the order of summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(i) &= p_{ki} + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(X_{n-1} = j, T_k \geq n-1 | X_0 = k) \\ &= p_{ki} + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = j, T_k \geq n | X_0 = k) \\ &= p_{ki} + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ji} a_k(i) \\ &= \sum_{j \in S} p_{ji} a_k(i) \end{aligned}$$

since $a_k(k) = \mathbf{E}_k[N_k] = \mathbf{E}_k[1] = 1$.

- We have shown that

$$a_k(i) \sum_{j \in S} p_{ji} a_k(i).$$

Dividing both sides by m_k gives us

$$p_i \sum_{j \in S} p_{ji} p_j.$$

This tells us that the probability distribution $\{p_i : i \in S\}$ is stationary for this Markov chain!

(End of existence part of proof.)

The rest of the proof is coming soon!