

Solutions to Problem Set Four

1. The Bayes rule is the  $\delta$  that minimizes the Bayes risk

$$\begin{aligned} R_\delta &= \mathbb{E}[L(\Theta, \delta(X))] = \int \int L(\theta, \delta(x)) f(\theta|x) f(x) d\theta dx \\ &= \int \int \frac{(\delta(x) - \theta)^2}{\theta(1-\theta)} f(\theta|x) f(x) d\theta dx \\ &= \int \left[ \int \frac{(\delta(x) - \theta)^2}{\theta(1-\theta)} f(\theta|x) d\theta \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

To minimize the Bayes risk with respect to  $\delta$ , it is sufficient to minimize the inner integral with respect to  $\delta$ . We know, in the case of mean squared error loss, that the minimizing  $\delta$  is the posterior Bayes estimator for  $\theta$ . However, on this problem, the loss function is close to, but not quite the same as, mean squared error. One can show that the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  given  $x$  is  $Beta(x + 1, n - x + 1)$ . The inner integral is

$$\int \frac{(\delta(x) - \theta)^2}{\theta(1-\theta)} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x + 1, n - x + 1)} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x} d\theta.$$

Pulling that  $\theta(1 - \theta)$  denominator over, we have

$$\int (\delta(x) - \theta)^2 \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x + 1, n - x + 1)} \theta^{x-1} (1 - \theta)^{n-x-1} d\theta.$$

which is starting to look like integration against a different Beta pdf. We may write the integral as

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(x, n - x)}{\mathcal{B}(x + 1, n - x + 1)} \int (\delta(x) - \theta)^2 \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x, n - x)} \theta^{x-1} (1 - \theta)^{n-x-1} d\theta.$$

This now looks like the expected mean squared error loss against a  $Beta(x, n - x)$  “posterior”. We know then that this is minimized, with respect to  $\delta$  by taking  $\delta$  to be the “posterior” mean. Thus, we get

$$\delta = \delta(X) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta | X] = \frac{X}{X + n - X} = \frac{X}{n}.$$

2. The risk associated with the first decision rule/estimator is

$$R_{\delta_1}(\sigma^2) = \mathbb{E}[(S^2 - \sigma^2)^2]$$

Since  $S^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , this is just the variance of  $S^2$ . This variance is difficult to compute for a general distribution for the  $X_i$ . However, for the normal case we have here ( $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ) we know that  $(n - 1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n - 1)$ . Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\delta_1}(\sigma^2) &= \text{Var}[S^2] = \text{Var} \left[ \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)}{\sigma^2} S^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{(\sigma^2)^2}{(n-1)^2} \text{Var} \left[ \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{(\sigma^2)^2}{(n-1)^2} \cdot 2(n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

since  $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$  and the variance of a  $\chi^2$  random variable is 2 times its degrees of freedom parameter.

In summary, we have

$$R_{\delta_1}(\sigma^2) = \frac{2(\sigma^2)^2}{n-1}.$$

Let  $S_2^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}S^2$ . Note that this is no longer an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . The frequentist risk is

$$R_{\delta_2}(\sigma^2) = \mathbb{E}[(S_2^2 - \sigma^2)^2].$$

This is not the variance of  $S_2^2$  since the mean of  $S_2^2$  is not  $\sigma^2$ . It is, however, the mean squared error of the estimator  $S_2^2$ . So, we have

$$R_{\delta_2}(\sigma^2) = \text{MSE}(S_2^2) = \text{Var}[S_2^2] + [B(S_2^2)]^2$$

where  $B(S_2^2)$  is the bias:

$$B(S_2^2) = \mathbb{E}[S_2^2] - \sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{n-1}{n}S^2\right] - \sigma^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = -\frac{1}{n}\sigma^2.$$

Now,

$$\text{Var}[S_2^2] = \text{Var}\left[\frac{n-1}{n}S^2\right] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}[S^2] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{2(\sigma^2)^2}{n-1}.$$

Simplifying and putting this all together, we get

$$R_{\delta_2}(\sigma^2) = \frac{(2n-1)(\sigma^2)^2}{n^2}.$$

One can show formally that

$$\frac{2n-1}{n^2} < \frac{2}{n-1}.$$

for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . At least convince yourself by plugging in some values for  $n$  and/or plotting both.

Thus, we have that  $R_{\delta_2}(\sigma^2) < R_{\delta_1}(\sigma^2)$ . So,  $\delta_2$  dominates  $\delta_1$  and therefore  $\delta_1$  is inadmissible.

3. Correction: Unless you want to assume that  $\theta$  is discrete (which is fine), the loss function should be given by a Dirac delta function. A Dirac delta function at a point  $a$ , which we will denote as  $\Delta_a(x)$  is a function that is zero everywhere except for the point  $x = a$  where it has an infinite spike. However, the function, by definition, will integrate to 1 and, when integrated against another function  $f$ , will satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_a(x)f(x) dx = f(a).$$

The Dirac delta function is usually denoted with a lowercase delta, but we are reserving  $\delta$  for our decision function.

For this problem in the continuous  $\theta$  setting, we should take the loss function to be

$$L(\theta, \delta) = 1 - \Delta_\theta(\delta)$$

so that, when  $\delta = \theta$  (good estimate/decision), we get 0 loss and we get a loss of 1 for every other decision.

The Bayes risk is then

$$R_\delta = \int \int L(\theta, \delta) f(\theta|\vec{x}) d\theta f(\vec{x}) d\vec{x}.$$

The inner integral is

$$\begin{aligned} \int L(\theta, \delta) f(\theta|\vec{x}) d\theta &= \int [1 - \Delta_\delta(\theta)] f(\theta|\vec{x}) d\theta \\ \int f(\theta|\vec{x}) d\theta - \int \Delta_\delta(\theta) f(\theta|\vec{x}) d\theta &= 1 - f(\delta|\vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

So, the Bayes risk is minimized at the  $\delta$  where  $f(\delta|\vec{x})$  (which is  $f(\theta|\vec{x})$  with  $\delta$  plugged in) is maximized. That is, the Bayes rule/estimator is

$$\delta^* = \arg \max_\theta f(\theta|\vec{x})$$

which is the mode of the posterior distribution and hence the MAP estimator.

---

4. Suppose that  $\delta^*$  is not admissible. Then there exists a decision rule  $\delta$  such that

$$R_\delta(\theta) \leq R_{\delta^*}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Omega$$

and

$$R_\delta(\theta_0) < R_{\delta^*}(\theta_0)$$

for at least one  $\theta_0 \in \Omega$ .

Let

$$c := R_{\delta^*}(\theta_0) - R_\delta(\theta_0) > 0.$$

By continuity of  $R_\delta(\theta)$  for all  $\delta$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$R_{\delta^*}(\theta) - R_\delta(\theta) > c/2$$

for all  $\theta$  in  $A_\varepsilon := \{\theta : |\theta - \theta_0| < \varepsilon\}$ .

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\delta^*} - R_\delta &= \int [R_{\delta^*}(\theta) - R_\delta(\theta)] f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_A [R_{\delta^*}(\theta) - R_\delta(\theta)] f(\theta) d\theta + \int_{A^c} [R_{\delta^*}(\theta) - R_\delta(\theta)] f(\theta) d\theta \\ &\geq \int_A [R_{\delta^*}(\theta) - R_\delta(\theta)] f(\theta) d\theta \\ &> \frac{c}{2} \int_A f(\theta) d\theta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $f$  is strictly positive on all of the parameter space by assumption.

So, we have that the Bayes risks are ordered as  $R_{\delta^*} > R_\delta$  which contradicts the fact that  $\delta^*$  is the Bayes rule.

Thus, we must have that  $\delta^*$  is admissible.