THRESHOLD OF FRONT PROPAGATION IN NEURAL FIELDS:
AN INTERFACE DYNAMICS APPROACH
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Abstract. Neural field equations model population dynamics of large-scale networks of neurons. Wave propagation in neural fields is often studied by constructing traveling wave solutions in the wave coordinate frame. Nonequilibrium dynamics are more challenging to study, due to the nonlinearity and nonlocality of neural fields, whose interactions are described by the kernel of an integral term. Here, we leverage interface methods to describe the threshold of wave initiation away from equilibrium. In particular, we focus on traveling front initiation in an excitatory neural field. In a neural field with a Heaviside firing rate, neural activity can be described by the dynamics of the interfaces, where the neural activity is at the firing threshold. This allows us to derive conditions for the portion of the neural field that must be activated for traveling fronts to be initiated. Explicit equations are possible for a single active (superthreshold) region and special cases of multiple disconnected active regions. The dynamic spreading speed of the excited region can also be approximated asymptotically. We also discuss extensions to the problem of finding the critical spatiotemporal input needed to initiate waves.

Key words. neural field equations, traveling fronts, propagation threshold, interface equations

AMS subject classifications. 92C20, 35R09

DOI. 10.1137/18M1165797

1. Introduction. Traveling waves are ubiquitous in nature, arising in a wide variety of biological processes, including epidemics [26], actin polymerization [1], and evolution [38]. These processes are usually modeled by nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) that combine nonlinear local interactions and spatial dynamics like diffusion [35]. Such continuum equations can yield traveling wave solutions in closed form, so the effect of model parameters on wave dynamics can be quantified in detail. For instance, neural field models describe large-scale dynamics of nonlocally connected networks of neurons, and their constituent functions can be tuned to produce a multitude of spatiotemporal solutions [7]. Such results can be connected to coherent neural activity patterns observed in cortical slice and in vivo experiments [28, 29, 37].

Large-scale neural activity imaged using voltage sensitive dye exhibits myriad forms of propagating neural activity in different regions of the brain [41, 43]. For instance, sensory inputs can nucleate traveling waves in olfactory [16] and visual cortices [27]. Waves may propagate radially outward from the site of nucleation [23], with constant direction as plane waves [44], or rotationally as spiral waves [29]. Sufficiently large amplitude sensory stimuli can initiate traveling waves of neural activity, but the threshold for initiation is difficult to identify [39]. A recent study has shown that if two visual stimuli are presented sufficiently close together in time, only a single wave is generated [25]. This suggests there is an internal state-dependent threshold that
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shapes the time and stimulus amplitude necessary for wave initiation. In this work, we analyze a neural field model to understand how such propagation thresholds can be defined in a large-scale network of neurons.

Neural field equations provide a tractable model of coherent neural activity, which can be used to relate features of a network to the activity patterns it generates [7, 9]. The building blocks of a neural field are excitatory neurons, which activate their neighbors, and inhibitory neurons, which inactivate their neighbors. Wilson and Cowan showed that a localized stimulus to an excitatory/inhibitory neural field can produce outward propagating traveling waves [42], and Amari constructed such solutions assuming a high gain firing rate function [2]. Following this seminal work, Ermentrout and McLeod used a continuation argument to prove the existence of traveling fronts in a purely excitatory neural field [19]. Subsequent studies of neural fields have built on this work by incorporating propagation delays or spatial heterogeneity and by adding variables representing slow processes like adaptation [20, 21, 30, 31, 36]. A wide variety of spatiotemporal patterns emerge including Turing patterns [8], traveling pulses [12, 22, 36], breathers [24], and self-sustained oscillations [31, 40]. However, most previous work focuses on construction of solutions and local dynamics near equilibrium, addressed via linear stability or perturbation theory [13, 30, 34]. Nonequilibrium dynamics are less tractable in these infinite-dimensional systems, and so there are few results exploring the outermost bounds of equilibrium solutions’ basins of attraction.

In the present study, we characterize the basins of attraction of the stationary solutions of an excitatory neural field. We focus on a scalar neural field model that supports traveling front solutions [19, 36]:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u(x, t) &= -u(x, t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x-y) H(u(y, t) - \kappa) \, dy + I(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(u(x, t)\) is the total synaptic input at location \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) and time \(t > 0\) and \(w(x-y)\) is a kernel describing synaptic connections from neurons at location \(y\) to those at \(x\). Our results can be extended to the case \(x \in \mathbb{R}^2\), as we will show in a subsequent paper. We assume the kernel \(w(x)\) is even, decreasing in \(|x| > 0\); positive, \(w(x) > 0\); and has a bounded integral, \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) \, dx < \infty\). For simplicity, we consider a normalized kernel \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) \, dx = 1\), but this is not necessary. To calculate explicit results, we consider the exponential kernel [6, 36]

\[
w(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}.
\]

Nonlinearity in (1) arises due to the Heaviside firing rate function [13, 19]

\[
H(u - \kappa) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \geq \kappa, \\ 0, & u < \kappa, \end{cases}
\]

allowing us to determine dynamics of (1) by the threshold crossings \(u(x_j(t), t) = \kappa\), yielding interface equations [10, 15]. Note that the analysis presented herein relies strongly on the assumption of a step nonlinearity, but see [14] for methods of approximating wave solutions in neural fields with steep sigmoid nonlinearities, which could be extended to derive the interface equations.

Our analysis focuses on the case of (1) for which traveling fronts propagate outward, so active regions \((u(x, t) \geq \kappa)\) invade inactive regions \((u(x, t) < \kappa)\). As a
consequence, throughout the manuscript we assume $\kappa \in (0,1/2)$. We derive this condition explicitly in section 2. The central focus of our work is to examine how the long term dynamics of (1) are determined by the initial condition $u(x,t) = u_0(x)$. For simplicity, we restrict $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We also examine the impact of external inputs $I(x,t)$, determining how they shape the long term behavior of (1).

Several previous studies have shown that traveling front solutions to (1) can be constructed [6, 19, 36]. Importantly they coexist with the two stable homogeneous states, $u \equiv 0$ and $u \equiv 1$. Thus, some initial conditions $u_0(x)$ will decay ($u \to 0$), but others will propagate ($u \to 1$) as $t \to \infty$. Our work addresses the following question: What conditions on $u_0(x)$ and (1) determine $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x,t)$? Note that in section 2, we explicitly construct a family of unstable intermediate stationary solutions, including single bumps and periodic patterns. While it is tempting to consider these solutions separatrices between the quiescent state ($u \equiv 0$) and the emergence of two counter-propagating fronts, this picture of the full dynamics of (1) is incomplete.

One can easily construct initial conditions $u_0(x)$ whose long term dynamics cannot be resolved by simply examining properties of these intermediate solutions. A related point is that intuition gained from analyzing the equivalent problem in nonlinear PDE models (whose interactions are local) [17, 45] does not readily extend to the analysis of neural fields (which are nonlocal). To distinguish cases that lead to decay versus propagation, we project the neural field equation (1) dynamics to equations for the interfaces $x_j(t)$, where $u(x_j(t), t) = \kappa$.

Our paper proceeds as follows. In section 2, we summarize the classes of entire solutions to (1), which are relevant for our analysis, noting there are (i) homogeneous states, $u(x,t) \equiv \bar{u} \in \{0, 1\}$; (ii) a family of unstable stationary solutions, $u(x,t) = U_L(x)$ with period $L$; and (iii) traveling waves, $u(x,t) = U_I(x - ct)$. Next, in section 3, we analyze the nonequilibrium dynamics of (1) using interface equations for the case in which $u_0(x) \geq \kappa$ on a single active region $x \in [x_1, x_2]$, which allows us to classify the threshold between propagation ($u \to 1$) and failure ($u \to 0$). Our reduced interface equations also allow us to calculate the timescale of the transient dynamics as they approach equilibrium. In addition, we discuss requirements on an external stimulus $I(x,t)$ necessary to activate a traveling wave. In section 4, we derive interface equations for (1) for multiple ($N > 1$) active regions $u_0(x)$ for $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} [x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}]$. Some explicit results are possible in the cases $N \to \infty$ and $N = 2$, showing interactions between active regions impact the propagation threshold. Our analysis provides a tool for linking initial conditions of spatially extended neural field equations away from equilibrium to their eventual equilibrium state.

2. Entire solutions of the excitatory neural field. We begin by summarizing the relevant entire solutions of the neural field equation (1) for $I(x,t) \equiv 0$. By entire solutions, we mean solutions of (1) which are defined for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which include traveling waves and three types of stationary solutions:

(i) the two homogeneous states $u = 0$ and $u = 1$, which are both locally stable;
(ii) an unstable symmetric one bump solution $U_0$;
(iii) a family of periodic solutions $U_L$, which are all unstable.

Homogeneous states (i) are locally stable, attracting almost all initial conditions (Figure 1A, B), whereas the other stationary states, (ii) and (iii), separate some initial conditions into those that propagate and those that decay (Figure 1B). However, multimodal initial conditions cannot be characterized using local analysis (Figure 1C). Our analysis in sections 3 and 4 will emphasize the nonequilibrium dynamics away from entire solutions, exploring conditions necessary for attraction to one of the two homogeneous states. Traveling waves and stationary solutions have been
characterized in detail in previous works, so we will simply state key formulas rather than carrying out derivations [2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 18, 24, 32].

2.1. Bump solution. Stationary bumps $u(x, t) = U_b(x)$, with a single active region $U_b(x) \geq \kappa$ for $x \in [x_1, x_2]$, centered at $x = 0$, so $x \in [-b, b]$ take the form

$$U_b(x) = \int_{-b}^{b} w(x - y)dy = W(x + b) - W(x - b),$$

where we have defined the antiderivative of the weight kernel

$$W(x) = \int_{0}^{x} w(y)dy.$$

The threshold condition $U_b(\pm b) = W(2b) = \kappa$ can be solved to identify the unique bump half-width $b$: $b_0(\kappa) = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2$ for any $\kappa \in (0, 1/2)$. Local stability analysis can be used to show $U_b$ is linearly unstable [2, 24].

2.2. Periodic solutions. There are also $L$-periodic stationary solutions $U_L(x)$ with an infinite number of superthreshold regions $\cup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [-b + nL, b + nL]$, under the restriction $2b < L$, which take the form [32]

$$U_L(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-b + nL}^{b + nL} w(x - y)dy = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(x + b + nL) - W(x - b + nL)).$$

Applying any threshold condition, $U_L(\pm b + nL) = \kappa$, we obtain an implicit equation for the region half-widths $b$ given by

$$\kappa = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(2b + nL) - W(nL)) := W_L(b),$$

which can be inverted for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $L > 0$ to obtain the unique solution

$$b_L(\kappa) = W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \in (0, L/2).$$

A local analysis can be used to show that $U_L$ is linearly unstable [32].
2.3. An illustrative example: Exponential weight kernel. For an exponential weight kernel, (2), the Fourier transform of $w$ is $\hat{w}(k) = 1/(1+k^2)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$, so convolution by $w$ corresponds to the operator $(I-\partial_{xx})^{-1}$. As a consequence, any stationary solutions of (1) are solutions of the piecewise-smooth second order differential equation, $U(x) - U''(x) = H(U(x) - \kappa)$, which can be written as

$$\begin{cases}
U'(x) = V(x), \\
V'(x) = U(x) - H(U(x) - \kappa).
\end{cases}$$

(7)

The complete phase portrait of (7) is given in Figure 2 from which we recover the existence of a unique symmetric bump solution and a family of periodic solutions.

2.4. Traveling fronts. To construct traveling wave solutions, we introduce the traveling wave coordinate $\xi = x - ct$, where $c$ denotes the wave speed, and integrate the corresponding equation to yield [6, 13, 36]

$$U_f(\xi) = e^{\xi/c} \left[ \kappa - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\xi e^{-y/c} (W_\infty - W(y)) dy \right].$$

Assuming $c > 0$ (for $\kappa \in (0,1/2)$) and requiring boundedness implies

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty e^{-y/c} (W_\infty - W(y)) dy,$$

(8)

and so the traveling front solution will be of the form

$$U_f(\xi) = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty e^{-y/c} (W_\infty - W(y + \xi)) dy.$$

(9)

Equation (8) relates the wavespeed $c$ to the threshold $\kappa$ and kernel $w(x)$ and can be rearranged along with integration by parts to yield a simpler implicit equation for $c$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-y/c} w(y) dy = W_\infty - \kappa.$$  

(10)
Since \( W_\infty = 1/2 \), (10) will only have a solution with corresponding \( c \in (0, \infty) \) if \( \kappa \in (0,1/2) \), since the integral on the left-hand side is positive and bounded from above by \( W_\infty \). Local stability has been studied previously [13], demonstrating the wave solution \( U_I \) is marginally stable to perturbations that shift its location.

This concludes our analysis of entire solutions to (1) in the case \( I \equiv 0 \). Guided by the fact that the homogeneous solutions \( \bar{u} \equiv 0, 1 \) are stable, and the intermediate bump \( U_b(x) \) and periodic solutions \( U_L(x) \) are unstable, we generally expect initial conditions \( u_0(x) \) to either be attracted to \( \bar{u} \equiv 0 \) or \( \bar{u} \equiv 1 \) as \( t \to \infty \). In the next section, we demonstrate a means of determining the fate of unimodal initial conditions using interface equations.

3. Nonequilibrium dynamics of a single active region. In this section, we identify conditions on \( u_0(x) \) with a single active region \( (u_0(x) \geq \kappa \) for \( x \in [x_1, x_2] \) ), so the solution to (1) propagates (assuming \( I(x, t) \equiv 0 \)). In what follows, we assume \( 0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1 \) is unimodal, \( u_0'(x_0) = 0 \), and \( u_0''(x) \geq 0 \) for \( x \leq x_0 \), ensuring there are no more than two interfaces for \( t > 0 \). First, we derive results for even \( u_0(x) = u_0(-x) \), and then we extend to asymmetric \( u_0(x) \). Initial conditions can be separated into subthreshold ones that lead to decay and superthreshold ones that lead to propagation. Lastly, we identify conditions on the external input \( I(x, t) \) to (1) that ensure propagation when \( u_0(x) \equiv 0 \).

3.1. Interface equations and criticality: Even symmetric case. Symmetry of (1) with \( I \equiv 0 \) ensures solutions with even initial conditions are always even, so the active region \( A(t) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x, t) \geq \kappa \} \) is symmetric for \( t > 0 \). The dynamics of the symmetric active region \( A(t) = [-a(t), a(t)] \) can be described with interface equations for the two points \( x = \pm a(t) \) (see [2, 15]). We start by rewriting (1) as

\[
\partial_t u(x, t) = -u(x, t) + \int_{A(t)} w(x - y)\,dy,
\]

which can be further simplified:

\[
\partial_t u(x, t) = -u(x, t) + W(x + a(t)) - W(x - a(t)).
\]

Equation (11) remains well defined even in the case where \( a(t) \) vanishes. We can describe the dynamics of the two interfaces by the implicit equations

\[
u(\pm a(t), t) = \kappa.
\]

Differentiating (12) with respect to \( t \), we find the total derivative is

\[
\pm \alpha(t)a'(t) + \partial_x u(\pm a(t), t) = 0,
\]

where we define \( a'(t) = \frac{da(t)}{dt} \) and \( \pm \alpha(t) = \partial_x u(\pm a(t), t) \). The symmetry of (13) allows us to reduce to a single differential equation for the dynamics of \( a(t) \):

\[
a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} [W(2a(t)) - \kappa],
\]

where we have substituted (11) at \( a(t) \) for \( \partial_t u(a(t), t) \). Equation (14) is not well defined for \( \alpha(t) = 0 \), but we will show how to circumvent this difficulty. Furthermore, we can obtain a formula for \( \alpha(t) \) by defining \( z(x, t) := \partial_x u(x, t) \) and differentiating (11) with respect to \( x \) to find [15]

\[
\partial_t z(x, t) = -z(x, t) + w(x + a(t)) - w(x - a(t)),
\]
which we can integrate and evaluate at $a(t)$ to find

$$\alpha(t) = u_0'(a(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(a(t) + a(s)) - w(a(t) - a(s))] \, ds. \tag{15}$$

Thus, we have a closed system describing the evolution of the right interface $a(t)$ of the active region $A(t)$, given by (14) and (15), along with the initial conditions $a(0) = \ell$ and $\alpha(0) = u_0'(\ell) < 0$, as long as $\alpha(t) < 0$. Criticality occurs for initial conditions such that $a'(t) = 0$, which means $W(2\ell) = \kappa$, i.e., for $\ell = b = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2$, so the critical $\ell$ is precisely the half-width of the unstable stationary bump solution $U_b(x)$ defined in (3).

**Propagation.** If $\ell > W^{-1}(\kappa)/2$, then $a'(t) > 0$ and, due to the monotonicity of $w$ and (15), $\alpha(t) < 0$ for all time $t > 0$ so $\lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) = \infty$, and the active region $A(t)$ expands indefinitely. As a consequence, for any compact set $K = [-k,k]$ with $k > 0$ given and any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $t_* > 0$ large enough such that $K \subset A(t_*)$ and

$$|W(x + a(t_*)) - W(x - a(t_*)) - 1| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x \in K,$$

so that for any equal or later time $s \geq t_*$ we have

$$|W(x + a(s)) - W(x - a(s)) - 1| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x \in K.$$

We can solve for $u(x, t)$ starting for time $t_*$ to obtain

$$u(x, t) = u(x, t_*)e^{\ell_1 - t} + e^{-t} \int_{t_*}^t e^s (W(x + a(s)) - W(x - a(s))) \, ds.$$

Using the fact that any solution is continuous, we have that $|u(x, t_*)| \leq M$ for all $x \in K$. As a consequence, we get that for all $x \in K$,

$$|u(x, t) - 1| = \left| (u(x, t_*) - 1)e^{\ell_1 - t} + e^{-t} \int_{t_*}^t e^s (W(x + a(s)) - W(x - a(s)) - 1) \, ds \right|$$

$$\leq (1 + M)e^{\ell_1 - t} + \epsilon.$$

This implies that $\lim_{t \to \infty} |u(x, t) - 1| = 0$ for all $x \in K$. As a consequence, the solutions of (1) locally uniformly converge to the homogeneous state $u \equiv 1$ as $t \to \infty$ (Figure 3A). Thus, we have propagation of $u \equiv 1$ into $u \equiv 0$ as time evolves.

**Extinction.** If $\ell < W^{-1}(\kappa)/2$, then $a'(t) < 0$ and $0 < a(t) < \ell$ on $t \in (0, t_0)$. By continuity, there exists a finite $t_0 > 0$ such that $a(t_0) = 0$, at which point the interface

![Fig. 3. Long term behavior of $u(x,t)$ depends only on how the initial interface location $a(0) = \ell$ compares to the bump half-width, $b = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2$. (A) If $\ell > b$, propagation occurs and $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 1$ for all $x \in K = [-k,k]$ for $k < \infty$. This follows from the fact that for any $K$, we can find a time $t_*$ for which $u(x, t_*) > \kappa$ for all $x \in K$. (B) If $\ell < b$, eventually $u(x, t) < \kappa$, right after the time $t_0$ when $u(0, t_0) = \kappa$, and so $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0$. (C) If $\ell = b$, stagnation occurs and $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) = U_b(x).$]
dynamics, (14) and (15), breaks down. We know this because \( W(2a(t)) - \kappa < 0 \) and decreases as \( a(t) \) decreases. Note also that for \( t \in (0, t_0) \) we consistently have \( \alpha(t) < 0 \). Inspecting (15) shows that \( \lim_{t \to t_0^-} \alpha(t) = 0 \) since \( u_\ell'(0) = 0 \). Thus, at time \( t = t_0 \), we have \( 0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \kappa \), and for \( t \geq t_0 \), \( \partial_t u(x, t) = -u(x, t) \), so \( u(x, t) = e^{\alpha t} u(x, t_0) \) for \( t \geq t_0 \), and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \), uniformly on \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) (Figure 3B).

**Stagnation.** If \( \ell = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 =: b_0 \), then \( a'(t) = 0 \) for all time assuming \( \alpha(t) < 0 \), implying \( a(t) \equiv b_0 \). Plugging into (15) yields \( \alpha(t) = (w(2b_0) - w(0))(1 - e^{-t}) + u_\ell(0) e^{-t} < 0 \). As a consequence, \( a(t) = b_0 \) for all time and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) = w(2b_0) - w(0) \). Furthermore, we can explicitly solve for

\[
\frac{u(x, t)}{w(x, t)} = \frac{W(x + b_0) - W(x - b_0) + e^{-t}[u_\ell(0) - (W(x + b_0) + W(x - b_0))]}{\kappa},
\]
so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv U_b(x) \), uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \). We call this case stagnation as the active region remains fixed for \( t > 0 \) (Figure 3C).

To summarize, we have shown the following result.

Starting with smooth unimodal even initial conditions, \( u_\ell(x) = u_\ell(-x) \), with a single active region, \( u_\ell(x) \geq \kappa \) for \( |x| \leq \ell \) and \( u_\ell(x) < \kappa \) elsewhere, \( \ell > 0 \) satisfying \( u_\ell'(0) \geq 0 \) for \( x \leq 0 \), the fate of the solutions \( u(x, t) \) to the Cauchy problem, (1), falls into three cases:

(i) If \( \ell > W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \), then \( u \to 1 \) locally uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \).

(ii) If \( \ell < W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \), then \( u \to 0 \) uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \).

(iii) If \( \ell = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \), then \( u \to U_b \) uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \).

### 3.2. Interface equations and criticality: Asymmetric case.

We can extend our analysis to unimodal but asymmetric initial conditions, \( u_0(x) \neq u_\ell(-x) \). Conditions can be stated in terms of the active region of the initial condition \( A(0) = [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] \), where \( u_\ell(x) \geq \kappa \). The active region of \( u(x, t) \) is now defined \( A(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)] \) with associated spatial gradients \( \alpha_j(t) = \partial_x u_j(x_j(t), t) \) for \( j = 1, 2 \). Carrying out a derivation of the interface dynamics then yields [15, 33]

\[
(16a) \quad x_j'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j(t)} [W(x_2(t) - x_1(t))] - \kappa, \]

\[
(16b) \quad \alpha_j(t) = u_\ell'(x_j(t)) e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(x_j(t) - x_1(s)) - w(x_j(t) - x_2(s))] \, ds, \]

along with initial conditions \( x_j(t) = \bar{x}_j \) and \( \alpha_j(0) = u_\ell'(\bar{x}_j) \) for \( j = 1, 2 \), now requiring \( \alpha_1(t) > 0 \) and \( \alpha_2(t) < 0 \). Criticality occurs for initial conditions such that \( x_j'(t) = 0 \), which means \( W(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1) = \kappa \), so the critical width \( 2b_0 : = W^{-1}(\kappa) \) is precisely the width of the stationary bump \( U_b(x) \). Similar to our findings in the symmetric case, we can show (i) propagation occurs if \( \bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 > 2b_0 \); (ii) extinction occurs for \( \bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 < 2b_0 \); and (iii) stagnation occurs for \( \bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 = 2b_0 \).

### 3.3. Asymptotic results.

As demonstrated, we can predict the long term dynamics of (1) based on the initial condition \( u_\ell(x) \) and a subsequent analysis of the interface dynamics. The interface equations also allow us to derive convenient asymptotic approximations to the speed of propagating solutions and the time to extinction of decaying solutions. To do so, we truncate the interface system, (14) and (15), to leading order in the symmetric case.

**Long term propagation speed.** For propagating solutions, we know \( \lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) = +\infty \). Assuming the interface propagates at constant speed \( a(t) \sim ct + a_0 \) in the limit \( t \to \infty \), self-consistency is enforced by plugging into (15) and evaluating
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ u''_0(a(t))e^{-t} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} [w(c(t+s) + 2a_0) - w(c(t-s))] \, ds \right] = -\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} w(c(t-s)) \, ds = -\frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty e^{-y/c} w(y) \, dy := \alpha.
\]

Differentiating (9) for \( U_f(\xi) \) and plugging in \( \xi = 0 \), we obtain the same formula, so \( \alpha = U'_f(0) \), the gradient of the traveling front solution at the threshold \( \kappa \). Plugging into (14) along with our assumption \( a(t) = ct + a_0 \), we find an implicit equation for \( c \), \( \int_0^\infty e^{-y/c} w(y) \, dy = W_\infty - \kappa \), which matches (10).

**Time to extinction.** To approximate the extinction time \( t_0 \) when \( a(t_0) = 0 \) in the case \( \ell < W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \), we work in the limit \( 0 < \ell \ll 1 \). As \( 0 < a(t) < \ell \) for time \( t \in (0, t_0) \), a Taylor expansion of (14) and (15) in \( 0 < a(t) \ll 1 \) implies \( \alpha(t) \) and \( t_0 \) are small too. In this case, we can approximate \( \alpha(t) \approx u''_0(0) \ell \), using the leading order term in (15), so plugging into (14) and integrating we can estimate

\[
\ell \approx \frac{t_0}{\ell[u''_0(0)]} \Rightarrow t_0 \approx \ell^2|u''_0(0)|/\kappa \text{ as } \ell \to 0.
\]

### 3.4. Critical stimulus for activation.

We now consider the impact of spatiotemporal inputs \( I(x, t) \) on the long term dynamics of (1) when \( u_0(x) \equiv 0 \). This may be more biologically realistic than assuming arbitrary initial conditions, as waves are often initiated experimentally in cortical tissue by applying an external stimulus [16, 23, 44]. To provide intuition, we first construct stationary solutions assuming \( I(x, t) \equiv I(x) \) is unimodal \( (I'(0) = 0 \text{ and } I'(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \leq 0) \), positive \( I(x) > 0 \), and even \( I(x) = I(-x) \). When \( \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} I(x) = I(0) > \kappa \), we show that if there are any stationary bump solutions, the one with minimal half-width \( b_{\min} \) is linearly stable. Subsequently, we derive conditions for a brief stimulus lasting a time \( t_1 \), \( I(x, t) = I(x)\chi_{[0, t_1]} \chi_{[0, t_1]} = 1, t \in [0, t_1], 0 \text{ otherwise} \) that ensure propagation of solutions for times \( t > t_1 \). We show that (i) there must be no stationary bump solutions to (1) with \( I(x, t) = I(x) \) and (ii) the active region at \( t = t_1 \) must be wider than that of the critical bump \( U_\kappa(x) \) of the input-free system.

Stationary bump solutions to (1) for \( I(x, t) \equiv I(x) \) with a single active region have the form \( U_b(x) = W(x + b) - W(x - b) + I(x) \). The threshold condition

\[
U_b(\pm b) = W(2b) + I(b) = G(b) = \kappa
\]

defines an implicit equation for the half-width \( b \). An algebraic argument can be used to show that if there are solutions \( b \) to (18), they will all be less than the solution to the input-free case \( I \equiv 0: b < b_0 = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \). See Figure 4A for illustration. Local analysis can be used to show that the sign of \( G(b) \) determines the stability of a bump of half-width \( b \) \( G(b) < 0 \): stable; \( G(b) > 0 \): unstable, and if there are any solutions to (18), the minimal one \( b_{\min} \) will be stable or marginally stable [24].

We now demonstrate that for a spatiotemporal input, \( I(x, t) = I(x)\chi_{[0, t_1]} \chi_{[0, t_1]} \), to generate propagation, (i) equation (18) must have no solutions, and (ii) \( t_1 \) must be large enough so the active region \( A(t) = [-a(t), a(t)] \) satisfies \( a(t_1) > b_0 \), where \( b_0 \) solves (18) for \( I \equiv 0 \). Starting from \( u_0(x) \equiv 0 \), we know initially, the dynamics obeys \( \partial_t u(x, t) = -u(x, t) + I(x, t) \), so \( u(x, t) = I(x)(1 - e^{-t}) \) during this phase. This formula determines the lower bound on the stimulus time \( t_0 < t_1 \) needed to generate a nontrivial active region, \( A(t) \neq \emptyset \). This time is given by solving

\[
\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, t_0) = I(0)(1 - e^{-t_0}) = \kappa \Rightarrow t_0 = \ln \left[ \frac{I(0)}{I(0) - \kappa} \right].
\]
If \( t_1 \leq t_0 \), then the long term dynamics of the solution is \( u(x, t) = I(x)(1 - e^{-t_1})e^{-(t-t_1)} \) for \( t > t_1 \), and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \). Note if \( I(0) < \kappa \), then \( u(x, t) < \kappa \) for all \( t > 0 \).

If \( t_1 > t_0 \), then for \( t_0 < t < t_1 \), we can derive the interface equations for \( u(\pm a(t), t) \), which are

\[
\begin{align*}
(19a) & \quad a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} [W(2\alpha(t)) - \kappa + I(\alpha(t))], \\
(19b) & \quad \alpha(t) = e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t} e^{s} [w(a(t) + a(s)) - w(a(t) - a(s)) + I'(a(s))] ds}
\end{align*}
\]

with initial conditions \( a(t_0) = 0 \) and \( \alpha(t_0) = 0 \), so \( a'(t_0) \) diverges. Despite the singularity, we can show that \( a'(t) \) is integrable for \( |t - t_0| \ll 1 \) and \( \alpha(t) \propto \sqrt{t-t_0} \). We desingularize (19) with the change of variables \( \tau = -\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\alpha(s)} \) [3, 4], so the differential equation for \( \bar{a}(\tau) \) in the new coordinate frame is

\[
(20) \quad \frac{d\bar{a}}{d\tau}(\tau) = W(2\bar{a}(\tau)) - \kappa + I(\bar{a}(\tau))
\]

with \( \bar{a}(0) = 0 \). Since we know \( \alpha(t) < 0 \) for \( t > t_0 \), then \( \tau \) will be an increasing function of \( t \), so we refer now to \( \tau_1 := \tau(t_1) \) and note \( 0 = \tau(t_0) \). Because \( I(0) - \kappa > 0 \) by assumption, we have \( \frac{d\bar{a}}{d\tau}(\tau) > 0 \) for all \( \tau \) where it is defined.

There are three remaining cases now, which depend on the existence of solutions to (18) and the time \( \tau_1 > 0 \): (I) equation (18) has at least one solution, and propagation does not occur; (II) equation (18) has no solutions, but \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \), the time at which \( \bar{a}(\tau_c) = b_0 \) for \( I(x, \tau) \equiv I(x) \), and propagation does not occur; (III) equation (18) has no solutions, and \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \), so propagation occurs. We now treat these three cases in detail.

**Case I:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) \leq \kappa \). Here, (18) possesses at least one solution. By our assumption \( I(0) > \kappa \), this solution \( b_{\text{min}} \) is linearly or marginally stable, as mentioned. Equation (20) implies \( \frac{d\bar{a}}{d\tau} > 0 \) for all \( \tau < \tau_1 \), but \( \frac{d\bar{a}}{d\tau} \) vanishes at \( \bar{a} = b_{\text{min}} \), so \( \bar{a}(\tau) < b_{\text{min}} < b_0 \) for all \( \tau < \tau_1 \). Thus, once \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by the extinction case detailed in section 3.1, and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \) (Figure 4B).

**Case II:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) > \kappa \) and \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \). Here (18) has no solutions, but \( \bar{a}(\tau) \) will not grow large enough for propagation to occur once the input \( I(x, \tau) \) is terminated.
This is due to the condition \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \), where we can define the critical time \( \tau_c \) as the time when \( \hat{a}(\tau_c) = b_0 = W^{-1}(\kappa)/2 \) as

\[
\int_0^{W^{-1}(\kappa)/2} \frac{da}{W(2a) - \kappa + I(a)} = -\int_{\tau_c}^{t_c} \frac{dt}{\alpha(t)} := \tau_c.
\]

By definition \( \hat{a}(\tau_1) \leq b_0 \), so once \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by (a) the extinction case in section 3.1 if \( \tau_1 < \tau_c \), so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \), or (b) the stagnation case in section 3.1 if \( \tau_1 = \tau_c \), so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv U_0(x) \) (Figure 4C).

**Case III:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) > \kappa \) and \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \). Finally, we describe the case ensuring propagation for \( t \to \infty \). Requiring \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \), with (21), we have that \( \hat{a}(\tau_1) > b_0 \). After \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by the propagation case in section 3.1, so the homogeneous state \( u \equiv 1 \) is locally uniformly propagating as \( t \to \infty \) (Figure 4C).

### 3.5. Explicit results for exponential kernel.

Lastly, we demonstrate the results derived above using the exponential kernel, (2). The form of the interface equations for symmetric initial conditions and \( I \equiv 0 \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha'(t) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \left[ 1 - e^{-2\alpha(t)} - 2\kappa \right], \\
\alpha(t) &= u_0'(a(t))e^{-t} - e^{-t-a(t)} \int_0^t e^s \sinh(a(s))ds.
\end{align*}
\]

First, note the critical half-width \( b_0 \) is given by \( \alpha'(t) = 0 \), which here is \( b_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln[1 - 2\kappa] \), so if \( a(0) > b_0 \), propagation occurs. We demonstrate the accuracy of this boundary in predicting long term dynamics by comparing with numerically computed boundaries in Figure 5A. Note that in the case of propagation, in the limit \( t \gg 1 \), we can approximate \( \alpha(t) \approx ct + a_0 \), and the asymptotic approximation in section 3.3 yields \( 2(c+1) = \frac{1}{2} - \kappa \), which we rearrange to yield [6, 19, 36]

\[
c = \frac{1}{2\kappa} [1 - 2\kappa], \quad \hat{\alpha} = -\frac{2\kappa}{1 - 2\kappa} \cdot \frac{1 - 2\kappa}{2} = -\kappa.
\]

To quantify the timescale of approach to the asymptotic dynamics, we study the evolution of perturbations to the long term wavespeed \( c \), \( a(t) = ct + a_0 + \phi(t) \) and assuming \( \alpha(t) \approx -\kappa \). Plugging into (22), and truncating assuming \( \phi(t) \) and \( e^{-2a_0} \) are of similar order, we find

\[
2\kappa \phi'(t) = e^{-2(ct+a_0)} \Rightarrow \phi(t) = -\frac{e^{-2a_0}}{4\kappa} e^{-2ct},
\]

and \( a(t) \) approaches the propagation speed \( c \) at rate \( 2c \). We compare this result to our findings from numerical simulations in Figure 5B. We save a higher order asymptotic analysis for future work. In addition, we can compute the asymptotic extinction time for the case in which \( u_0(x) = Ue^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)} \), so \( |u_0''(0)| = U/\sigma^2 \) and \( \kappa = u_0(0) \) implies

\[
\ell = \sigma \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\ln(U/\kappa)} \Rightarrow t_0 \approx \ell^2 e^{\ell^2/(2\sigma^2)}/\sigma^2,
\]

which agrees with numerical simulations for small enough \( \ell \) (Figure 5C).

The critical stimulus for activation was determined for a general weight kernel in section 3.4. Note that the main conditions are that (18) has no solutions and that the stimulus remains on for a time \( t > t_c \), where \( t_c \) is defined by the relation in (21). For an exponential weight kernel, (2), and exponential input \( I(x) = I_0 e^{-|x|/\sigma} \) (see also [24]), (18) becomes \( \kappa = (1 - e^{-2b})/2 + I_0 e^{-b/\sigma} = G(b) \), so

\[
G'(b) = e^{-2b} - \frac{I_0}{\sigma} e^{-b/\sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow b^* = \sigma \ln |I_0/\sigma|/(1 - 2\sigma)
\]
and also \( \lim_{b \to 0^+} G'(b) = 1 - I_0/\sigma \). Therefore if the input is sufficiently wide, \( \kappa < I_0 < \sigma \) and \( 1/2 < \sigma \); then initially \( G(b) \) increases until \( b^* > 0 \), and then it decreases to \( 1/2 \) for large \( b \), so \( G(b) > \kappa \) for all \( b > 0 \) for sufficiently wide inputs with \( I_0 > \kappa \). In addition, even for \( I_0 > \sigma > 1/2, b^* < 0 \), and since we know \( \lim_{b \to -\infty} G(b) = 1/2, G(b) > 1/2 \) since it must be monotone decreasing for all \( b > 0 \). Thus, there are no stable bump solutions to (18) for sufficiently wide and strong inputs. On the other hand, if we wish to determine the critical curve \( I_0^*(\sigma) \) below which bump solutions to (18) emerge (assuming \( I_0 > \kappa \)), we simultaneously solve \( G(b) = \kappa \) and \( G'(b) = 0 \) to find the saddle-node bifurcation point

\[
I_0^*(\sigma) = \frac{1-2\sigma}{1-2\kappa} e^{(1-2\sigma)/(2\sigma)}.
\]

Taking \( I_0 \leq I_0^*(\sigma) \) then ensures the existence of bumps (as in Figure 4A). For \( I_0 > I_0^*(\sigma) \), we can also study the impact of the input on the time necessary to reach \( a(t) = b_0 \), using the integral over \( a \) in (21). We evaluate this numerically in Figure 5D, showing it compares well with estimates we obtain by computing the critical time \( t_0 \) numerically and then converting to \( \tau \) coordinates using the change of variables in (21). Note that as \( I_0 \to I_0^*(\sigma) \), then \( \tau_c \to \infty \).
4. **Multiple active regions.** We now turn our attention to the more general case of multimodal initial conditions. Since this can now lead to multiple disjoint active regions (where \( u_0(x) \geq \kappa \)), we must extend our analysis from section 3 to track more than two interfaces (see also [33]). While it is difficult to analyze the resulting system of equations explicitly, we can gain insight by focusing on two specific cases of \( u_0(x) \): (a) periodic initial conditions with an infinite number of active regions and (b) two symmetric active regions. We begin by deriving the interface equations in the general case.

4.1. **Interface equations: General case.** When \( u_0(x) \geq \kappa \) for multiple disjoint active regions, \( A(0) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} [a_j(0), b_j(0)] \), the time evolution of \( A(t) \) is implicitly described by

\[
 u(a_j(t), t) = u(b_j(t), t) = \kappa, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N, \tag{23}
\]

for an initial time \( 0 < t < t_0 \). Differentiating (23) with respect to \( t \), we find

\[
 a_j'(t) + \partial_t u(a_j(t), t) = 0, \quad \beta_j'(t) + \partial_t u(b_j(t), t) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N, \tag{24}
\]

where \( \alpha_j(t) = \partial_x u(a_j(t), t) \) and \( \beta_j(t) = \partial_x u(b_j(t), t) \). Rearranging (24), applying (11) for \( u_x \), and solving for \( z = u_x \) as before, we find the following system describing the evolution of the interfaces \( (a_j(t), b_j(t)) \) and gradients \( (\alpha_j(t), \beta_j(t)) \):

\[
 \begin{align*}
 (25a) \quad a_j'(t) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_j(t)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} (W(b_k(t) - a_j(t)) - W(a_k(t) - a_j(t))) - \kappa \right], \\
 (25b) \quad b_j'(t) &= -\frac{1}{\beta_j(t)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} (W(b_k(t) - b_j(t)) - W(a_k(t) - b_j(t))) - \kappa \right], \\
 (25c) \quad \alpha_j(t) &= e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s \sum_{k=1}^{N} [w(a_j(t) - a_k(s)) - w(a_j(t) - b_k(s))] \, ds + u_0'(a_j(t)) e^{-t}, \\
 (25d) \quad \beta_j(t) &= e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s \sum_{k=1}^{N} [w(b_j(t) - a_k(s)) - w(b_j(t) - b_k(s))] \, ds + u_0'(b_j(t)) e^{-t}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( j = 1, \ldots, N \). The initial conditions \( u_0(a_j(0)) = u_0(b_j(0)) = \kappa \) close the system. We expect \( \alpha_j(t) \geq 0 \) and \( \beta_j(t) \leq 0 \), since they are at the left and right boundaries of each active region. For the system (25), there is no straightforward condition that will ensure propagation in all cases (e.g., see Figure 1C). For \( N = 1 \), (25) reduces to (16); recall we can explicitly compute the condition for propagation.

First note that one could solve (25) much faster numerically than (1), allowing a computational route to identifying conditions on \( u_0(x) \) that determine propagation. We save such computations for future work. Here, we focus on two special choices of initial conditions that admit explicit analysis: initial conditions that are (a) periodic and (b) even symmetric with two active regions.

4.2. **Periodic initial conditions.** We can leverage results on periodic stationary solutions derived in section 2.2 along with the analysis for single active regions in section 3.1 to derive conditions for saturation \( (u \to 1) \) when initial conditions are
periodic. For an even and periodic initial condition \( u(x,0) = u_L(x) \) of period \( L \), 
\( A(t) = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} [-a(t) + nL, a(t) + nL] \), so by symmetry we can reduce (25) to 
\[
\begin{align*}
(26a) \quad & a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} [W_L(a(t)) - \kappa], \\
(26b) \quad & \alpha(t) = u'_L(a(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{s} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} w_n(a(t), a(s))ds,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( w_n(a(t), a(s)) = w(a(t) + a(s) + nL) - w(a(t) - a(s) + nL) \) and \( W_L(x) \) is defined as in (6). Fixing \( L \), the initial condition \( u_L(x) \) is defined by the single parameter \( \ell_L := a(0) \), where \( u_L(\pm \ell_L + nL) = \kappa \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Criticality occurs for \( \ell_L = b_L(\kappa) = W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \), the half-width of each active region of the periodic solution \( u_L(x) \) to (5). The analysis proceeds along similar lines to that given in section 3.1 for the single active region case yielding the following results (illustrated in Figure 6):

**Starting with smooth \( L \)-periodic, even initial conditions, \( u_L(x) \), unimodal on \([-L/2, L/2]\), the fate of the solutions \( u(x, t) \) to (1) falls into three cases:**

(i) If \( \ell_L > W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \), then \( u \to 1 \) uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \);

(ii) if \( \ell_L < W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \), then \( u \to 0 \) uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \);

(iii) if \( \ell = W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \), then \( u \to U_L \) uniformly on \( \mathbb{R} \) as \( t \to +\infty \).

**Asymptotic results.** Similar to the single active region case, we can obtain leading order approximations for the transient dynamics approaching the homogeneous states. For periodic initial conditions, we do not obtain traveling waves in the long time limit. In the case of saturation, we can estimate the time \( t_0 \) at which \( u(x, t_0) \geq \kappa \), assuming \( L/2 - a(t) \), \( \alpha(t) \), and \( t_0 \) are small. We approximate \( \alpha(t) \approx u''_L(L/2)(\ell_L - L/2) \), so \( t_0 \approx (L - 2\ell_L)^2u''_L(L/2)/(4 - 4\kappa) \).

In the case of extinction, the calculation is quite similar to that presented in section 3.3, and we find \( u(x, t_0) \leq \kappa \) at \( t_0 \approx \ell_L^2[u''_L(0)]/\kappa \) in the limit \( 0 < \ell_L \ll 1 \).

**Exponential kernels.** Assuming \( w(x) \) is given by (2), we can obtain a simple implicit expression for the critical half-width \( b_L := W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \). Plugging (2) into (6), we can simplify the threshold condition \( U_L(\pm b + nL) = \kappa \) to the form [32]
\[
(27) \quad \kappa = \frac{\sinh(b)}{\sinh(L/2)} \cosh(L/2 - b) := W_L(b).
\]
Equation (27) must be solved numerically (Figure 7A), showing \( b_L \) increases with \( \kappa \) and \( L \). The formula for \( U_L \) can also be reduced to yield
A

\[ b_L(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sinh(b)}{\sinh(L/2)} \cosh \left( \frac{L}{2} + x_n \right), & x_n \in (b - L, b), \\
1 - \frac{e^{-x_n}}{e^{-L/b} - 1} \cosh(x_n), & x_n \in (-b, b), \\
\frac{\sinh(b)}{\sinh(L/2)} \cosh \left( \frac{L}{2} - x_n \right), & x_n \in (b, L - b), 
\end{cases} \]

where we define \( x_n := x - nL \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Note that we obtain the threshold condition, (27) for \( U_L(\pm b + nL) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), and as \( L \to \infty \), \( U_L(x) \to U_b(x) \).

4.3. Two symmetric active regions. We now consider the case of bi-modal even initial conditions \( u_0(x) = u_0(-x) \) with two active regions supported in \([-\ell_2, -\ell_1] \cup [\ell_1, \ell_2]\) for \( 0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 \). That is, we have \( u_0(x) \geq \kappa \) for all \( x \in [-\ell_2, -\ell_1] \cup [\ell_1, \ell_2] \) and \( u_0(x) < \kappa \) elsewhere, with \( u_0'(x) \geq 0 \) for \( x \in -\ell_2 \). We also ensure a nondegeneracy condition of the derivative of \( u_0 \) at the boundaries of the active regions, namely, \( u_0'(\pm \ell_1, 0) \neq 0 \). These hypotheses on the initial conditions ensure that, as time evolves, the active regions can be described by the inner interface \( a(t) := a_2(t) = -b_1(t) \), outer interface \( b(t) := b_2(t) = -a_1(t) \), outer gradient \( \alpha(t) := \alpha_2(t) = -\beta_1(t) \), and inner gradient \( \beta(t) := \beta_2(t) = -\alpha_1(t) \). We can therefore write the system of interface equations and their gradients, (25), in the following simpler form:

\[ a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \left[ W(b(t) - a(t)) - \kappa + W(b(t) + a(t)) - W(2a(t)) \right], \]

\[ b'(t) = -\frac{1}{\beta(t)} \left[ W(b(t) - a(t)) - \kappa + W(2b(t)) - W(b(t) + a(t)) \right], \]

\[ \alpha(t) = u_0'(a(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(a(t) + b(s)) - w(a(t) + a(s))] \, ds \]

\[ + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(a(t) - a(s)) - w(a(t) - b(s))] \, ds, \]

\[ \beta(t) = u_0'(b(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(b(t) - a(s)) - w(b(t) - b(s))] \, ds \]

\[ + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s [w(b(t) + b(s)) - w(b(t) + a(s))] \, ds. \]
The system (29) is closed by the initial conditions \(a(0) = \ell_1\) and \(b(0) = \ell_2\). As opposed to the single active region case, it is not possible to develop a simple condition on \((\ell_1, \ell_2)\) that determines whether propagation, extinction, or stagnation occurs in the long time limit. However, we can still partition the space of initial conditions \((\ell_1, \ell_2)\) into several cases, for which the long term behavior of (1) is determined by the initial transient dynamics of \((a(t), b(t))\). Observe that both \(W(b(t) + a(t)) - W(2a(t)) > 0\) and \(W(2b(t)) - W(b(t) + a(t)) > 0\) for all time whenever they are well defined (i.e., as long as \(0 < a(t) < b(t)\)). As a consequence, we can already rule out the trivial case where \(\ell_2 - \ell_1 \geq W^{-1}(\kappa)\).

**Class I**: \(\ell_2 - \ell_1 \geq W^{-1}(\kappa)\). In this case, we automatically deduce that \(b^\prime(t) > 0\) while \(a^\prime(t) < 0\) for all time where they are both well defined. This implies that there exists a finite \(t_* > 0\) at which we have \(a(t_*) = 0\). At this point, the two active regions merge to form a single active region given at time \(t = t_*\) by \([-b(t_*), b(t_*)]\) with \(2b(t_*) > 2\ell_2 > W^{-1}(\kappa)\) as \(\ell_2 - \ell_1 \geq W^{-1}(\kappa)\). As a consequence, we are back to the propagation scenario studied in section 3.1, and we find the associated solution of the neural field equation (1) obeys \(u \to 1\) locally uniformly on \(\mathbb{R}\) as \(t \to +\infty\).

**Class II**: \(\ell_2 - \ell_1 < W^{-1}(\kappa)\). We now discuss the case where \(\ell_2 - \ell_1 < W^{-1}(\kappa)\). In order to simplify the presentation, we define the following two quantities:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) &:= W(\ell_2 - \ell_1) - \kappa + W(\ell_1 + \ell_2) - W(2\ell_1), \\
W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) &:= W(\ell_2 - \ell_1) - \kappa + W(2\ell_2) - W(\ell_1 + \ell_2),
\end{align*}
\]

defined for all \(0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2\). It is crucial to observe that \(W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) - W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 2W(\ell_1 + \ell_2) - W(2\ell_2) - W(2\ell_1) > 0\) for any \(0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2\) by concavity of the function \(W\) on the positive half line. Thus, we only have to consider three cases (see Figure 8).

**Case A**: If \(W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) \geq 0\), then \(b^\prime(t) > 0\) and \(a^\prime(t) < 0\) for all time where they are both well defined. Once again, there must exist \(t_* > 0\) at which \(a(t_*) = 0\). At that point, the two active regions merge to form a single active region given at time \(t = t_*\) by \([-b(t_*), b(t_*)]\) with \(2b(t_*) > 2\ell_2 > W^{-1}(\kappa)\). Indeed, from \(W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0\), we deduce that \(W(2\ell_2) > W(\ell_1 + \ell_2) - W(\ell_2 - \ell_1) + \kappa > \kappa\). And we are back to the propagation case of section 3.1.

**Case B**: If \(0 \geq W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2)\), then \(b^\prime(t) < 0\) and \(a^\prime(t) > 0\) for all time where they are both well defined. As a consequence, there exists some time \(t_0 > 0\), where \(a(t_0) = b(t_0)\) and such that \(u(x, t_0) \leq \kappa\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). As a consequence, this will lead to the extinction case of section 3.1, and we get that the solutions of the neural field equation (1) obey \(u \to 0\) uniformly on \(\mathbb{R}\) as \(t \to +\infty\).

**Case C**: If \(W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0 > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2)\), then we are led to study three subcases:

**Subcase 1**: Both \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) satisfy \(W_1(a(t), b(t)) > 0 > W_2(a(t), b(t))\) for all \(t \in [0, t_*]\) where they are well defined, and at time \(t = t_*\) we have \(a(t_*) = 0\). Once more, at this point, the two active regions merge to form a single active region at time \(t = t_*: [-b(t_*), b(t_*)]\) with \(2b(t_*) < 2\ell_2\). Thus, it is enough to check that in the limit \(t \to t_*\), we have \(W_2(a(t), b(t)) \to W(2b(t_*)) - \kappa\). Since \(W_2\) does not change sign in \((0, t_0)\), then \(0 \geq W(2b(t_*)) - \kappa\), so we obtain either stagnation (when \(W(2b(t_*)) = \kappa\)) or extinction (when \(W(2b(t_*)) < \kappa\)), as studied in section 3.1.

**Subcase 2**: There exists a time \(t_0 > 0\), where \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) satisfy \(W_1(a(t), b(t)) > 0 > W_2(a(t), b(t))\) for all \(t \in [0, t_0]\), and at \(t = t_0\) we have \(a(t_0) \neq 0\) with \(W_2(a(t_0), b(t_0)) = 0\) while \(W_1(a(t_0), b(t_0)) > 0\), in which case we are back to Case A and propagation occurs.

**Subcase 3**: There exists a time \(t_1 > 0\), where \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) satisfy \(W_1(a(t), b(t)) > 0 > W_2(a(t), b(t))\) for all \(t \in [0, t_1]\), and at \(t = t_1\) we have \(a(t_1) \neq 0\) with \(W_1(a(t_1), b(t_1))\)
Fig. 8. Evolution of interfaces for two symmetric bumps having Class II initial conditions: \( \ell_2 - \ell_1 < W^{-1}(\kappa) \). Phase portrait of (29) in \((a(t), b(t))\) is shown in the case of an exponential kernel, (2), \( \kappa = 0.45 \), and the initial condition \( u_0(x) \), (30). We fix \( a(0) = \ell_1 = 1/4 \) and vary \( b(0) = \ell_2 \) from 0.5 to 2.25. Some initial conditions (red stars) lead to trajectories (black lines) that propagate, while other initial conditions (blue stars) lead to extinction. Case A \((W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) \geq 0)\): \( a(t) \) vanishes in finite time with a final value above the nullcline \( W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 0 \) (where red line meets \( \ell_2 \) axis), leading to propagation. See corresponding example evolution of \( u(x,t) \). Case B \((0 \geq W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2))\): \( a(t) \) and \( b(t) \) merge in finite time, leading to extinction. Case C \((W_1(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0 > W_2(\ell_1, \ell_2))\): Three subcases are described in the main text, leading to either extinction for subcases (1) and (3) or propagation for subcase (2). Green arrows indicate the direction of the vector field in each subregion. Outer panels demonstrate behavior of the full neural field model, (1), in the cases A, B, C1, C2, and C3.

We illustrate these different scenarios on a specific example in Figure 8 using an exponential kernel, (2), and the initial condition

\[
(30) 
\quad u_0(x) = \frac{U_0}{2} \left( e^{-|x+x_0|} + e^{-|x-x_0|} \right),
\]

which allows us to specify

\[
\quad x_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( -1 + 2 \cosh(\ell_1)e^{\ell_2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad U_0 = \kappa \sqrt{-1 + 2 \cosh(\ell_1)e^{\ell_2}} \cosh(\ell_1)
\]

and ensure that \( u_0(\pm \ell_{1,2}) = \kappa \). Note, for a fixed \( \ell_1 \), there is a critical value of \( \ell_2 \) at which initial conditions transition from those that lead to extinction (blue stars)
to those that lead to propagation (red stars) in Figure 8. Corresponding example simulations of the full neural field equation (1) are also shown.

4.4. Critical spatially periodic stimuli. Finally, we can consider the impact of spatially periodic inputs $I(x, t) = I(x)\chi_{[0, t_1]}(I(x) = I(x + L))$ on the long term dynamics of (1), assuming $u_0(x) \equiv 0$. To make our calculations more straightforward, we assume that $I(x)$ is even and unimodal on $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$ with $I'(0) = I'(\pm L/2) = 0$. Our analysis follows similar principles as that performed for unimodal inputs in section 3.4. To ensure propagation, there must be no stationary $L$-periodic pattern solutions to (1) with stationary input $I(x)$, and the active region on $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$ at $t = t_1$ must be wider than $b_L = W_L^{-1}(\kappa)$.

Stationary periodic patterns exist as solutions to (1) for $I(x, t) = I(x)$ periodic ($I(x) = I(x + L)$), even, and unimodal on $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$. Adapting our analysis from section 2.2, we can show they have the form

$$U_L(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(x + b + nL) - W(x - b + nL)) + I(x).$$

Applying the threshold conditions, $U_L(\pm b + nL) = \kappa$ then yields

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(2b + nL) - W(nL)) + I(b) = W_L(b) + I(b) = G_L(b) = \kappa,$$

which defines an implicit equation for the half-width $b$ of each active region. Local analysis can again be used to show that if there are any solutions to (31), the minimal one will be stable or marginally stable, since $G(x)$ will be decreasing or at a local minimum.

We now demonstrate that for a spatiotemporal input, $I(x, t) = I(x)\chi_{[0, t_1]}$, to generate a saturating solution, (i) equation (31) must have no solutions and (ii) $t_1$ must be large enough so the active region $A(t) = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [-a(t) + nL, a(t) + nL]$ satisfies $a(t_1) > b_L$, where $b_L$ solves (31) for $I \equiv 0$. Starting from $u_0(x) \equiv 0$, we know initially the dynamics obeys $\partial_t u(x, t) = -u(x, t) + I(x, t)$, so the time needed to produce a nontrivial active region is given by $t_0 = \ln\left[\frac{\int_{0}^{0} f(x) \, dx}{I(0) - \kappa}\right]$, as before. If $t_1 \leq t_0$, then the long term dynamics of the solution is $u(x, t) = I(x)(1 - e^{-t_1})e^{-(t-t_1)}$ for $t > t_1$, so $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0$. Note if $I(0) \leq \kappa$, then $u(x, t) < \kappa$ clearly for all $t > 0$.

If $t_1 > t_0$, then for $t_0 < t < t_1$, we can derive the interface equations for $u(\pm a(t), t) = \kappa$, similar to (26), finding

$$a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \left[ W_L(a(t)) - \kappa + I(a(t)) \right],$$

$$\alpha(t) = u_L'(a(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_{0}^{t} e^s \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_n(a(t), a(s)) + I(a(s)) \right] ds$$

with initial conditions $a(t_0) = 0$ and $\alpha(t_0) = 0$, so $a'(t_0)$ diverges. As before, we can desingularize (32) with the change of variables $\tau = -\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\alpha(s)}$, so we can write a differential equation for $\tilde{a}(\tau)$ in $\tau$ as

$$\frac{d\tilde{a}}{d\tau} = W_L(\tilde{a}(\tau)) - \kappa + I(\tilde{a}(\tau))$$

with $\tilde{a}(0) = 0$. Since $\alpha(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$, $\tau$ will be an increasing function of $t$, so we now refer to $\tau_1 := \tau(t_1)$ and note $\tau(t_0) = 0$. By assumption $I(0) - \kappa > 0$, so $\frac{d}{d\tau} > 0$ for all $\tau$ where it is defined.
We discuss the three remaining cases: (I) equation (31) has at least one solution, so saturation does not occur; (II) equation (31) has no solutions, but \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \), the time at which \( \tilde{a}(\tau_1) = b_L \) for \( I(x, \tau) \equiv I(x) \), and saturation does not occur; (III) equation (31) has no solutions, and \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \), so saturation occurs.

**Case I:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) \leq \kappa \). Here, (31) has at least one solution. Since we have assumed \( I(0) > 0 \), this solution \( b_{\text{min}} \) is linearly or marginally stable with respect to even and odd perturbations. Equation (33) implies \( \frac{\partial b}{\partial \tau} > 0 \) for all \( \tau < \tau_1 \), but \( \frac{\partial b}{\partial \tau} \) vanishes at \( \tilde{a} = b_{\text{min}} \), so \( \tilde{a}(\tau) < b_{\text{min}} < b_0 \) for all \( \tau < \tau_1 \). Thus, once \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by the extinction case from section 4.2, and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \) (Figure 9B).

**Case II:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) > \kappa \) and \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \). Here (31) has no solutions, but \( \tilde{a}(\tau) \) will not grow large enough for saturation to occur once \( I(x, \tau) = 0 \), since \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_c \). We define \( \tau_c \) as the critical time when \( \tilde{a}(\tau_c) = b_L = W_L^{-1}(\kappa) \), given by the formula

\[
(34) \quad \int_0^{W_L^{-1}(\kappa)} \frac{da}{W_L(a) - \kappa + I(a)} = - \int_0^{\tau_c} \frac{dt}{a(t)} := \tau_c.
\]

By definition, \( \tilde{a}(\tau_1) \leq b_L \), so once \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by either (a) the extinction case in section 4.2 if \( \tau_1 < \tau_c \), so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 0 \), or (b) the stagnation case in section 4.2 if \( \tau_1 = \tau_c \), so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv U_L(x) \) (Figure 9C).

**Case III:** \( \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} G(x) > \kappa \) and \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \). Requiring \( \tau_1 > \tau_c \) with (34), we have that \( \tilde{a}(\tau_1) > b_L \). Thus, after \( \tau = \tau_1 \), the dynamics is described by the saturation case in section 4.2, so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) \equiv 1 \) (Figure 9C).

5. **Discussion.** In this paper, we have studied threshold phenomena of front propagation in the excitatory neural field equation (1) using an interface dynamics approach. Our interface analysis projects the dynamics of the integrodifferential equations to a set of differential equations for the boundaries of the active regions, where the neural activity is superthreshold. The interface equations can be used to categorize initial conditions or external stimuli based on whether the corresponding long term dynamics of the neural field are extinction \((u \to 0)\), propagation/saturation \((u \to 1)\), or stagnation \((u \to U_{\text{stat}}(x) \neq 0, 1)\). We considered several classes of initial conditions, which admit explicit results: (i) functions with a single active region, (ii)
even and periodic functions with an infinite number of active regions, and (iii) a two-
parameter family of even functions with two active regions. In these particular cases,
the conditions for extinction, propagation/saturation, or stagnation can be expressed
in terms of a few inequalities for the parameters specifying the initial conditions. We
were able to obtain a similar trichotomy when the neural field equation (1) is forced
by a fixed critical stimulus (e.g., unimodal and periodic) over a finite time interval.
Our analysis assumes the nonlinearity in the neural field arises from a Heaviside firing
rate function, so the dynamics of the neural field equation (1) can be equivalently
expressed as differential equations for the spatial locations where the neural activity
equals the threshold of the firing rate function. This work addresses an important
problem in the analysis of models of large-scale neural activity, determining the long
term behavior of neuronal network dynamics that begin away from equilibrium.

There are several natural extensions of this work that build on the idea of develop-
ning critical thresholds for propagation in neural fields using an interface dynamics
approach. For instance, one possibility would be to consider a planar version of (1)
and develop closed form equations for the corresponding interface dynamics of the
contours encompassing active regions as in [15]. In a preliminary analysis, we have
already found that our results developed herein for single active regions can be ex-
tended to the case of radially symmetric initial conditions in two dimensions (2D).
Single stripe and periodic stripe patterns may also admit explicit analysis. However,
there are also a number of other classes of initial condition that do not have a one-
dimensional analogue, which could be interesting to explore, such as spot patterns
and multiple concentric annuli. Employing our knowledge of the one-dimensional
case may shed light on how to develop a theory for threshold phenomena in 2D.
Alternatively, we may also consider neural fields with negative feedback that mod-
els adaptation [4, 22, 28, 31, 36], which are known to generate traveling pulses, spiral
waves, or more exotic phenomena. In this case, the long term behavior of propagating
solutions can be counterpropagating pulses rather than fronts.
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