Remember to write your name! You are allowed to use a calculator. You are not allowed to use the textbook, your notes, the internet, or your neighbor. To receive full credit on a problem you must show sufficient justification for your conclusion unless explicitly stated otherwise.

## Name:

- 1. (30 points) If the statement is **always true** mark "TRUE"; if it is possible for the statement to be false then mark "FALSE." If the statement seems neither true nor false but rather incoherent, raise your hand. No justification is necessary. **Students in 4720 can pick 5** out of 6 questions to answer. Students in 5720 must answer all.
- (a) If a matrix **A** is normal then the eigenvalues of the perturbed matrix  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$  are all within a distance  $\|\mathbf{E}\|_3$  of the eigenvalues of **A**.

**False** This is the Bauer-Fike theorem. You can use any p-norm. But in the 3-norm the condition of the eigenvector basis is not necessarily 1, as it would be in the 2-norm.

(b) Let  $\lambda$  be a simple eigenvalue of **A** with left and right eigenvectors  $\boldsymbol{y}$  and  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , each of which are 2-norm unit vectors, and let  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$  be the perturbed matrix where  $\|\mathbf{E}\|_2 = \epsilon$ . True or False: The perturbed matrix will have an eigenvalue  $\mu$  within a distance of approximately  $\epsilon/|\boldsymbol{y}^*\boldsymbol{x}|$  from  $\lambda$ , for small-enough  $\epsilon$ .

## True

(c) Let **A** be a diagonalizable matrix with approximate eigenvalue/eigenvector pair  $(\mu, \boldsymbol{x})$ . True or false:  $(\mu, \boldsymbol{x})$  is an exact eigenvalue/eigenvector pair for a perturbed matrix  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$  where  $\|\mathbf{E}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \mu\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$ .

**False** This would be true for *normal* matrices, but the correct statement for non-normal matrices includes the condition number of the eigenvector basis.

(d) Suppose that **A** is  $n \times n$  with LU factorization  $\mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{LU}$ . True or false: The matrix  $\mathbf{UP}^T \mathbf{L}$  has the same eigenvalues as **A**.

**True** The matrices are similar:

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{L}.$$

 ${\bf L}$  is always invertible (even if  ${\bf A}$  is not) because it is lower triangular with ones on the diagonal.

(e) Let  $\lambda$  and  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be an eigenvalue/eigenvector pair for  $\mathbf{A}$ . True or false: The matrix  $\mathbf{A} - \lambda \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* / \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2$  has eigenvector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  with eigenvalue 0.

True

(f) Let S be a nontrivial subspace that is invariant under a square matrix **A**. True or False: There is an eigenvector of **A** in S.

True

2. (20 points) Suppose that you are given one eigenvalue/eigenvector pair of an  $n \times n$  matrix **A**. Explain how you can reduce the problem of finding the remaining eigenvalues of **A** to finding the eigenvalues of an  $n-1 \times n-1$  matrix. Show explicitly how to construct the  $n-1 \times n-1$  matrix. Hint: Start by constructing an invertible matrix **X** whose first column is the eigenvector.

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an invertible matrix whose first column is the eigenvector. Then

$$\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**A** is similar to the RHS, which is block-upper triangular. The eigenvalues of **A** are therefore  $\lambda$  together with the eigenvalues of **B**, which is  $n - 1 \times n - 1$ . Kudos if you used a unitary similarity transform rather than just an invertible **X**.

- 3. Computing the SVD of a real  $m \times n$  matrix **A** requires computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ .
  - (a) Let **P** and **Q** be real orthogonal matrices of size  $m \times m$  and  $n \times n$  respectively, and let **B** = **PAQ**. Show that the singular values of **B** are the same as the singular values of **A**.

The singular values of  $\mathbf{A}$  are the square roots of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ , and similarly for the singular values of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}$$

so  $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$  is (orthogonally-)similar to  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ , and they therefore have the same eigenvalues.

(b) Let  $\boldsymbol{v}$  be an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$ . How is it related to the corresponding eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ ?

The above analysis shows that if v is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$ , then  $\mathbf{Q}v$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ .

(c) It is possible to choose  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $\mathbf{B}$  is bi-diagonal (nonzeros immediately above the diagonal). Prove that  $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^T$  are tridiagonal (you may cite any relevant theorem from class).

The banded-matrix-multiplication theorem shows that multiplying a lower-bidiagonal and an upper-bidiagonal matrix yields a tridiagonal matrix.

- 4. (20 points)
  - 5720 Only Let A be an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix with eigenvalues satisfying  $\lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_k$  with  $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}| \ge \ldots \ge |\lambda_n|$ . Show that the vectors generated by the power method will converge to an eigenvector of A (under standard assumptions on the starting vector).

Let the eigenvectors of **A** be  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , and the initial vector for the power method be  $x_0 = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n$ . Assume that  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$  are not all zero. Then

$$\mathbf{A}^{p}\boldsymbol{x}_{0} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{1} + \dots + c_{k}\lambda_{1}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{k} + c_{k+1}\lambda_{k+1}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_{n}\lambda_{n}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{n}$$
$$\mathbf{A}^{p}\boldsymbol{x}_{0} = \lambda_{1}^{p}(c_{1}\boldsymbol{v}_{1} + \dots + c_{k}\boldsymbol{v}_{k}) + c_{k+1}\lambda_{k+1}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_{n}\lambda_{n}^{p}\boldsymbol{v}_{n}$$

If you normalize then the coefficients of  $v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n$  will decay to 0 as  $p \to \infty$  so that  $\mathbf{A}^p \boldsymbol{x}_0 / \| \mathbf{A}^p \boldsymbol{x}_0 \|$  will converge to

$$\frac{c_1\boldsymbol{v}_1+\cdots+c_k\boldsymbol{v}_k}{\|c_1\boldsymbol{v}_1+\cdots+c_k\boldsymbol{v}_k\|}.$$

This vector is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}$  because

$$\mathbf{A}(c_1\boldsymbol{v}_1+\cdots+c_k\boldsymbol{v}_k)=\lambda_1(c_1\boldsymbol{v}_1+\cdots+c_k\boldsymbol{v}_k).$$

• 4720 Only The basic shifted QR algorithm is

$$\mathbf{A}_{m-1} - \rho \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{Q}_m \mathbf{R}_m, \ \mathbf{A}_m = \mathbf{R}_m \mathbf{Q}_m + \rho \mathbf{I}, \ \mathbf{A}_0 = \mathbf{A}$$

Show that  $\mathbf{A}_m$  is orthogonally similar to  $\mathbf{A}_{m-1}$  (you may assume everything is real).

$$\mathbf{A}_{m-1} = \mathbf{Q}_m \mathbf{R}_m + \rho \mathbf{I} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_m^T \mathbf{A}_{m-1} \mathbf{Q}_m = \mathbf{R}_m \mathbf{Q}_m + \rho \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}_m$$