

Dynkin's π - λ Theorem:

If $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ for a π -system \mathcal{P} and a λ -system \mathcal{L} , then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof:

Define \mathcal{L}_0 to be the smallest λ -system containing \mathcal{P} . Then, by definition

$$\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}.$$

If we can show that \mathcal{L}_0 is a σ -field, we are done since $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ is the smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{P} so

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}.$$

By the previous Lemma, since \mathcal{L}_0 is a λ -system, if we can show that \mathcal{L}_0 is also a π -system, we have shown that it is a σ -field.

Take any $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_0$. We must show that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}_0$.

- For any set $C \subseteq \Omega$, define the class of sets

$$\mathcal{L}_C := \{D \subseteq \Omega : D \cap C \in \mathcal{L}_0\}.$$

It is routine to verify that, $C \in \mathcal{L}_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_C$ is a λ -system. Please take a moment to convince yourself of this.

- Suppose $A \in \mathcal{P}$. Then for every $C \in \mathcal{P}$, $A \cap C \in \mathcal{P}$ (since \mathcal{P} is a π -system). Therefore, since $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$, $A \cap C \in \mathcal{L}_0$ which implies that $C \in \mathcal{L}_A$ and hence $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A$ since this is true for every $C \in \mathcal{P}$.
- $A \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A$ is a λ -system. Since \mathcal{L}_0 is the smallest λ -system containing \mathcal{P} , we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A.$$

- By definition of \mathcal{L}_A , this gives us that $A \cap C \in \mathcal{L}_0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{L}_0$.
- Now consider our fixed $B \in \mathcal{L}_0$. We already know that \mathcal{L}_B is a λ -system. As above, we can show that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_B$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_B$ since \mathcal{L}_0 is the smallest λ -system containing \mathcal{P} .
- So, for $A \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $A \in \mathcal{L}_B$ which implies that

$$A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}_0,$$

as desired. □