# **Improving the accuracy of the Trapezoidal Rule**

# **Bengt Fornberg**

University of Colorado, Boulder Department of Applied Mathematics



$$\int_{x_0}^{x_N} f(x) dx \approx h \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \ldots + f_{N-1} + \frac{1}{2} f_N \right]$$
Babylonian astronomers, 50 BC
$$y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = y_4 = y_5$$

Error typically  $O(h^2)$ , but becomes spectrally accurate if the integrand is periodic. This follows from Euler-Maclaurin's formula (1740):

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_N} f(x) dx = h \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_{N-1} + \frac{1}{2} f_N \right] + \frac{h^2}{12} \left[ f_0^I - f_N^I \right] - \frac{h^4}{720} \left[ f_0^{III} - f_N^{III} \right] + \frac{h^6}{30240} \left[ f_0^V - f_N^V \right] - \dots$$

The coefficients can be obtained by the generating function

$$\frac{1}{1-e^{-z}} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}z - \frac{1}{720}z^3 + \frac{1}{30240}z^5 - \frac{1}{1209600}z^7 + \dots$$

### **Simpson and Newton-Cotes formulas**

**Trapezoidal rule:** Fit by piecewise linear functions Gives weights  $h\left[\frac{1}{2} \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1 \ \frac{1}{2}\right]$ 

**Simpson's rule:** Fit by succession of quadratics Simpson (1710-1761); however used by Kepler (1571-1630)

Parabolas  
$$y_{1}$$
  $y_{2}$   $y_{3}$   $y_{4}$   $y_{5}$   $y_{6}$   $y_{6}$ 

Gives weights 
$$\frac{h}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & \dots & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Newton (1642-1726), Cotes (1682-1716)

Orders of accuracy increases (from Trap. Rule) 2, 4, 4, 6, 6, 8, 8, ...

Concept flawed for several reasons:

- Essentially ALL errors in Trap. Rule comes from the ends; should do corrections there and NOT 'contaminate' throughout the whole interior.
- For periodic problem, Trap error  $\approx$  (Simpson error)<sup>2</sup>.
- Becomes very unstable for increasing orders.

# **Gregory's method**

With notation

$$\Delta^{0} f(x) = f(x) \qquad \nabla^{0} f(x)$$

$$\Delta^{1} f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) \qquad \nabla^{1} f(x)$$

$$\Delta^{2} f(x) = f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x) \qquad \nabla^{2} f(x)$$
...

$$\nabla^0 f(x) = f(x)$$
  

$$\nabla^1 f(x) = f(x) - f(x - h)$$
  

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = f(x) - 2f(x - h) + f(x - 2h)$$
  
...

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_N} f(x)dx = h \left[ f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_{N-1} + f_N \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta^0 f_0 + \nabla^0 f_N \right] + \frac{1}{12} \left[ \Delta^1 f_0 - \nabla^1 f_N \right] - \frac{1}{24} \left[ \Delta^2 f_0 - \nabla^2 f_N \right] + \frac{19}{720} \left[ \Delta^3 f_0 - \nabla^3 f_N \right] - \frac{3}{160} \left[ \Delta^4 f_0 - \nabla^4 f_N \right] + \dots$$

Non-trivial weights at left end; each term increases accuracy order by one.

<i>p</i> =	Non-trivial weights											
2	$\frac{1}{2}$											
3	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$										
4	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{23}{24}$									
5	$\frac{251}{720}$	$\frac{299}{240}$	$\frac{211}{240}$	$\frac{739}{720}$								
6	$\frac{95}{288}$	$\frac{317}{240}$	$\frac{23}{30}$	$\frac{739}{720}$	$\frac{157}{160}$							
7	$\frac{19087}{60480}$	$\frac{84199}{60480}$	$\frac{18869}{30240}$	$\frac{37621}{30240}$	$\frac{55031}{60480}$	$\frac{61343}{60480}$						
8	$\frac{5257}{17280}$	$\frac{22081}{15120}$	$\frac{54851}{120960}$	$\frac{103}{70}$	$\frac{89437}{120960}$	$\frac{16367}{15120}$	$\frac{23917}{24192}$					
9	$\frac{1070017}{3628800}$	$\frac{5537111}{3628800}$	$\frac{103613}{403200}$	$\frac{261115}{145152}$	$\frac{298951}{725760}$	$\frac{515677}{403200}$	$\frac{3349879}{3628800}$	$\frac{3662753}{3628800}$				
10	$\frac{25713}{89600}$	$\frac{1153247}{725760}$	$\frac{130583}{3628800}$	$\frac{903527}{403200}$	$-\frac{797}{5670}$	$\frac{6244961}{3628800}$	$\frac{56621}{80640}$	$\frac{3891877}{3628800}$	$\frac{1028617}{1036800}$			
÷	÷	:	÷	÷	:	÷	÷	:	:	·.		

Slide 4 of 19

# James Gregory (1638-1675)

Extract from a letter by Gregory to John Collins, dated November 23, 1670:	ponendo $AP = PO = c$ PB = d primis $= f$ secundis $= h$ tertiis $= i$	
Transcribed to print by Oxford Jniv. Press, 1840	quartis = k quintis = l et omnes differentias affici signo +, erit ABP =	
with them introducing a typo, 164 in place of 160)	$\frac{dc}{2} - \frac{fc}{12} + \frac{hc}{24} - \frac{19ic}{720} + \frac{3kc}{164} - \frac{863k}{60480} + \&c. \text{ in infinitum.}$	A A A A

Gregory's exact derivation of this particular expansion is unknown, but he did extensive work on calculus, Taylor expansions, derivatives and integrals in the 1660's. He most likely obtained the coefficients from their *generating function* 

 $\frac{1}{\log(1+z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12}z + \frac{1}{24}z^2 - \frac{19}{720}z^3 + \frac{3}{160}z^4 - \frac{863}{60480}z^5 + \dots$ 

#### Note:

The first publications on calculus: Taylor expansions: Gottfried Leibnitz, 1684, Isaac Newton, 1687 Brook Taylor, 1715.

# Comparison of weights, Gregory vs. Newton-Cotes methods



Slide 6 of 19

### **Timeline of the pioneers of numerical quadrature**



### The Runge phenomenon (Runge 1901)

Polynomial *cardinal functions*; 11 nodes over [-1,1]



Node clustering remedy not available – committed here to equispaced node layout.

# The Runge phenomenon



For equispaced interpolation on [-1,1]:

Error: 
$$E(z, N) \approx e^{N(\psi(z_0) - \psi(z))}$$
 where  $\psi(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left[ (1-z) \log(1-z) - (-1-z) \log(-1-z) \right]$ 

Here z need not be on the real axis;  $z_0$  is the location of the nearest singularity (in the example,  $z_0 = \pm \frac{i}{4}$ ).

Slide 9 of 19

# **RBF-FD papers for numerical quadrature over various surfaces**

J.A. Reeger and BF: Numerical quadrature over the surface of a sphere, *Studies in Appl. Math.*, 2015

J.A. Reeger, BF and M.L. Watts: Numerical quadrature over smooth closed surfaces, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 2017

J.A. Reeger and BF: Numerical quadrature over smooth surfaces with boundaries, *J. Comput. Phys.* 2018



In all cases: Accuracy  $O(h^7)$  with h a `typical' node separation. With N nodes, total cost for all weights  $O(N \log N)$  operations

In last case, when increasing the accuracy order further, no Runge phenomenon arose (in spite of no node clustering towards the boundary).

When simplified first to flat surface patch and then to a 1-D interval with equispaced nodes, method produced a 'Gregory-like' scheme, of high order of accuracy but still free from the Runge phenomenon.

Motivated current project: Can one derive such Gregory-type schemes by some more direct (not RBF-based) approach?

# **Revisit Gregory-type quadrature schemes**

Consider

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx \approx \sum_{k=0}^\infty w_k f(k) \tag{1}$$

where all weights  $w_k$  are 1 from k = N+1 and onwards.

If f(x) is defined on  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , its Fourier modes are  $e^{i\omega x}$ ,  $\omega$  real. The counterpart modes for  $[0, \infty]$  are  $e^{-zx}$ , Re  $z \ge 0$ . Thus, substitute  $f(x) = e^{-zx}$  into (1):

$$\frac{1}{z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k e^{-zk}$$
(2)

Subtract from (2) the identity

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1 \cdot e^{-zk}$$
(3)

Let  $d_k = w_k - 1$ . Task becomes choosing coefficients  $d_k$ , k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N so that

$$\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} d_k e^{-zk}$$
(4)

becomes as accurate as possible around z = 0.

For every power of z we can match the Taylor expansions of the LHS and RHS of (4), we gain one order of accuracy in the quadrature formula (1).

### **Derive matching conditions**

$$\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} d_k e^{-zk}$$

$$\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{12} + \frac{z^3}{720} - \frac{z^5}{30240} + \frac{z^7}{1209600} - + \dots$$
(Some so the generating function for the Euler Machanin formula)

(Same as the generating function for the Euler-Maclaurin formula)

**<u>RHS</u>**: Taylor expand each exponential. Matching powers  $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n \le N$  gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0^{0} & 1^{0} & 2^{0} & \cdots & N^{0} \\ 0^{1} & 1^{1} & 2^{1} & \cdots & N^{1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0^{n} & 1^{n} & 2^{n} & \cdots & N^{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{0} \\ d_{1} \\ \vdots \\ d_{n} \\ \vdots \\ d_{N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/12 \\ 0 \\ -1/120 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$
RHS column vector entries are  $B_{k+1} / (k+1)$  where the  $B_{k}$  are the Bernoulli numbers.

This (generally underdetermined) Vandermonde linear system can be rearranged to upper triangular form

# **Matching conditions**

Equivalent system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & 1 & 3 & 6 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & 1 & 4 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \\ \vdots \\ d_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/12 \\ -1/24 \\ 19/720 \\ -3/160 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiply by Pascal triangle inverse from left:

RHS column vector contains the exact Gregory coefficients:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_N} f(x) dx = h \left[ f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_{N-1} + f_N \right]$$
  
-  $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta^0 f_0 + \nabla^0 f_N \right] + \frac{1}{12} \left[ \Delta^1 f_0 - \nabla^1 f_N \right]$   
-  $\frac{1}{24} \left[ \Delta^2 f_0 - \nabla^2 f_N \right] + \frac{19}{720} \left[ \Delta^3 f_0 - \nabla^3 f_N \right] - \dots$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & 1 & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & 1 & & \cdots & B & \cdots \\ & & & 1 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & 1 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & & 1 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & & & 1 & & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & 1 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \\ \vdots \\ d_n \\ \vdots \\ d_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 3 & -4 \\ & 1 & -3 & 6 \\ & & & 1 & -3 & 6 \\ & & & & 1 & -4 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/12 \\ -1/24 \\ 19/720 \\ -3/160 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_0 \\ g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}$$

# **Matching conditions**

Relation to be satisfied for our quadrature weights to be accurate of order p = n+2:

Explicit values are available for the *B*-matrix: and also for the RHS vector:

$$B_{i,j} = \frac{(-1)^{i} j}{n+i-j} \binom{n+j}{j} \binom{n}{i}$$

<i>p</i> =	Gregory weights $w_k$ :							<i>p</i> =	Gr	egory 'd	correctio	ons' fro	om weigł	nts all c	one; <i>d<sub>k</sub></i> =	<i>w<sub>k</sub></i> - 1
2	$\frac{1}{2}$							2	$-\frac{1}{2}$							
3	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$						3	$-\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$						
4	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{23}{24}$					4	$-\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$					
5	$\frac{251}{720}$	$\frac{299}{240}$	$\frac{211}{240}$	$\frac{739}{720}$				5	$-\frac{469}{720}$	$\frac{59}{240}$	$-\frac{29}{240}$	$\frac{19}{720}$				
6	$\frac{95}{288}$	$\frac{317}{240}$	$\frac{23}{30}$	$\frac{739}{720}$	$\frac{157}{160}$			6	$-\frac{193}{288}$	$\frac{77}{240}$	$-\frac{7}{30}$	$\frac{73}{720}$	$-\frac{3}{160}$			
7	$\frac{19087}{60480}$	$\frac{84199}{60480}$	$\frac{18869}{30240}$	$\frac{37621}{30240}$	$\frac{55031}{60480}$	$\frac{61343}{60480}$		7	$-\frac{41393}{60480}$	$\frac{23719}{60480}$	$-\frac{11371}{30240}$	$\frac{7381}{30240}$	$-\frac{5449}{60480}$	$\frac{863}{60480}$		
8	5257	22081	54851	103	89437	16367	23917	8	_12023	6961	66109	33	31523	1247	275	
17	17280	15120	120960	70	120960	15120	24192	0	17280	15120	120960	70	120960	15120	24192	

The g-column is the corresponding row in the Gregory 'correction table'.



By choosing *N* somewhat larger than *n*, we have an under-determined system, and we can then find a solution that minimizes the *d*-coefficients

and

we have a set-up for finding weight sets containing only relatively simple rational number weights.

# Minimization solutions to the under-determined system

Choose desired accuracy order p, then take n = p - 2, and let N > n. For example, minimize:

> L2: Matlab *Isqmin* or use pseudoinverse

L1: Mathematica NMinimize



# **Example of a rational number solution**

The following set of  $d_k$  'correction' coefficients (weights  $w_k = 1 + d_k$ ) gives an order p = 10 scheme:

 $\sum_{k=0}^{N} s^{2k} d_k^2$ 

 $\sum_{k=0}^{N} s^2 |d_k|$ 

1 ∫	26911	628	10421	33487	31441	16873	10567	10451	28613	5099	107 ]
96	400,	1350,	189,	, 840,	4725	1512,	4200	, 1080,	3024	1400,	$\left[\frac{1}{200}\right]$

# Illustration of weight sets that will be used in following test



Corrections from the two sides can overlap.

For example rational coefficient scheme can be used on any equispaced node set of 11 or more nodes.

# Numerical quadrature methods applied to a test function



# Some conclusions

#### **Historical notes:**

- Several of Gregory's pioneering works around 1670 deserve much more recognition than is nowadays customary including his pioneering works in calculus, use of Taylor expansions and, as focused on here, his quadrature method.
- Gregory's quadrature compares in most aspects favorably against the Newton and Cotes approach.

#### Specific to the present work:

- The Runge phenomenon is not quite as unavoidable as often portrayed.
- Allowing Gregory-type quadrature formulas to feature non-trivial weights in a somewhat wider interval than minimally needed avoids weights becoming negative, or wildly oscillatory.

#### **Publications:**

BF and J.A. Reeger, *An improved Gregory-like method for 1-D quadrature*, Numerische Mathematik (2019) BF, *Improving the accuracy of the trapezoidal rule* (submitted, 2019)