

Write your name below and circle and your professor's name and section number below. You are *not* allowed to use textbooks, notes, or a calculator. To receive full credit on a problem you must show **sufficient justification for your conclusion** unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Name:

Instructor and Section:

001 - Bortz

002 - Mitchell

003 - Beylkin

1. (24 pts) If the statement is **always true**, write “TRUE”; if it is possible for the statement to be false then mark “FALSE.” You must give a **justification** for your answer. That is, if the answer is true, provide a brief proof. If the answer is false, provide a counterexample.
- (a) Every norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is induced by an inner product.
 - (b) The set of complex vectors of the form $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, forms a subspace of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2$.
 - (c) The vector product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x) \cos(x)dx$ is a valid inner product on C^0 .
 - (d) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then $\ker A$ and $\text{img} A$ are orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Solution

- (a) False. The 1-norm $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$ is a valid norm but does not satisfy the parallelogram law:

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_1^2 \neq 2\|\mathbf{u}\|_1^2 + 2\|\mathbf{v}\|_1^2$$

in general. For example, with $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0)^T$ and $\mathbf{v} = (0, 1)^T$, the left side gives $4 + 4 = 8$ while the right gives $2 + 2 = 4$. Since any norm induced by an inner product must satisfy the parallelogram law, $\|\cdot\|_1$ is not induced by any inner product.

- (b) False. Not closed under scalar multiplication
- (c) True. As an integral, it is symmetric and bilinear. Positivity also holds since $\cos(x) > 0$ on the interval. So it is a valid inner product.
- (d) False. Here is a counterexample:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ker A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{img} A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0$$

they are not orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^2$

2. (20 pts) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) (5 pts) Which of these matrices can be used to define an inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$?
- (b) (5 pts) Do either of these matrices have null directions? If so find them.
- (c) (5 pts) Find the quadratic form using B .
- (d) (5 pts) Factor the quadratic form from part (c).

Solution

- (a) A is positive semidefinite and so cannot define an inner product:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

B is positive definite and defines an inner product:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5/3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) Since A is positive semidefinite, it will have at least one null direction. We find them by calculating a basis for $\ker A$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (c) Reading off the coefficients, we find

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 + x_3^2$$

- (d) We use L^T to perform our change of variables:

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2/3 \\ x_2 + 3x_3/5 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the quadratic form becomes $\mathbf{y}^T D \mathbf{y}$:

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = 3\left(x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2\right)^2 + \frac{5}{3}\left(x_2 + \frac{3}{5}x_3\right)^2 + \frac{2}{5}x_3^2$$

3. (20 pts) Consider matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 10 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & -5 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) Find bases for the image and co-image of A
- (b) Find basis for the null space (kernel) of A
- (c) Find basis for the co-kernel of A by using its orthogonality to the image

Solution:

We first find the REF of A :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 10 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & -5 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + R_1]{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) The first and third columns of A are the pivot columns, so we take them as our basis for the image:

$$\text{img}A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

For the coimage, we take the transposes of the nonzero rows of the REF as the basis:

$$\text{coimg}A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

- (b) For the kernel's basis vector, we solve the homogeneous equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\ker A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

- (c) The cokernel's basis vector must be orthogonal to both of the image basis vectors.

Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Then we have

$$B^T \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$$

for the members of the cokernel. Solving this equation gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{coker } A = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

4. (20 pts) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) (14 pts) Find the QR factorization of A using the Gram-Schmidt procedure.
 (b) (6 pts) If you used Householder matrices instead to factor A into QR , you would find the first matrix product to be

$$H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

What is the **second** Householder matrix needed to factor A ?

Solution:

- (a) We normalize the first column to get the first column in Q :

$$\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_1\|} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Next we calculate r_{12} and subtract the component along $\mathbf{q}_1$ from $\mathbf{a}_1$ and normalize:

$$r_{12} = \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12}\mathbf{q}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - (-1)\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\|\mathbf{v}_2\| = 1$ we have $\mathbf{q}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2$ and $r_{22} = 1$.

$$\mathbf{q}_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, we find r_{13} and r_{23} , subtract off the components along $\mathbf{q}_1$ and $\mathbf{q}_2$ from $\mathbf{a}_3$ and normalize.

$$r_{13} = \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$r_{23} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 3$$

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 - r_{13}\mathbf{q}_1 - r_{23}\mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - 3\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_{33} &= \|\mathbf{v}_3\| = 3 \\ \mathbf{q}_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Bringing this all together we get

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) We need the H matrix that sends $\mathbf{e}_3$ to $\mathbf{e}_2$. This is just the permutation matrix

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

5. (16 pts)

(a) (6 pts) Determine which of the following are valid norms on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Justify your answer by verifying the norm axioms or identifying which axiom fails.

(i) $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + 4x_2^2}$

(ii) $\|\mathbf{x}\| = |x_1 - x_2|$

(b) (5 pts) Using the inner product $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_B = \mathbf{x}^T B \mathbf{y}$ with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

compute $\|\mathbf{e}_1\|_B$, $\|\mathbf{e}_2\|_B$, and $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle_B$.

(c) (5 pts) Verify the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$.

Solution:

(a) (i) This **is** a valid norm. It is the norm induced by the inner product $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1 y_1 + 4x_2 y_2$ (equivalently, $\mathbf{x}^T \text{diag}(1, 4) \mathbf{y}$). Since $\text{diag}(1, 4)$ is positive definite, the induced norm satisfies all norm axioms.

Alternatively, one can verify directly:

- **Positive definiteness:** $\sqrt{x_1^2 + 4x_2^2} = 0$ iff $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.
- **Homogeneity:** $\|c\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{c^2 x_1^2 + 4c^2 x_2^2} = |c| \|\mathbf{x}\|$.
- **Triangle inequality:** Follows from Minkowski's inequality (or from being an inner product norm).

(ii) This is **not** a valid norm. Positive definiteness fails: take $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = |1 - 1| = 0, \text{ but } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

(b) We have $B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then:

$$\|\mathbf{e}_1\|_B = \sqrt{\mathbf{e}_1^T B \mathbf{e}_1} = \sqrt{3}$$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_2\|_B = \sqrt{\mathbf{e}_2^T B \mathbf{e}_2} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle_B = \mathbf{e}_1^T B \mathbf{e}_2 = 1$$

(c) The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality states $|\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle_B| \leq \|\mathbf{e}_1\|_B \|\mathbf{e}_2\|_B$. Substituting:

$$|1| \leq \sqrt{3} \cdot \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{6}$$

$$1 \leq \sqrt{6} \approx 2.449 \quad \checkmark$$

The inequality holds.