

Preliminary Design Review

<u>Range Extending System to</u> <u>Complement Underground</u> <u>Exploration (RESCUE)</u>

Customer: Prof. Eric Frew

University Of Colorado Boulder

Table of Contents

- 1. Project Overview
- 2. Baseline Design
- 3. Design Feasibility
- 4. Status Summary
- 5. Appendix



Project Overview

Main Objective:	Improving subterranean unmanned ground vehicles' ability to sense locations that the vehicle cannot travel to or are obstructed from the onboard sensors' field of view or range.		
Specific Application:	MARBLE's Clearpath Husky UGV being used in DARPA's Subterranean Challenge.		
Proposed Solution:	A folding robotic arm utilizing an RGBD camera, CO2 Sensor, and AHRS Sensor that is mounted to the top of MARBLE's Husky.		



Figure 1: Clearpath Husky



Figure 2: Side view of clearpath husky with arm stowed



Figure 3: Side view of clearpath husky with arm extended

Project Motivation

Problem:

- MARBLE's UGV has limited sensing capabilities in comparison to other competitors in DARPA's Subterranean Challenge.
- UGVs offer greater endurance than UAVs, however, **field of view and mobility are limited**.
- Ledges, crevices, holes, and elevated platforms are currently **impassible** and/or out of the FOV of the UGV.

Existing Solutions:

- UAVs that are either independent or dock on a UGV
- Telescoping sensor systems
- Crawling/climbing drones



Project Mission Statement

RESCUE's mission is to expand the sensing capabilities of UGVs by developing a system which can be utilized not only by MARBLE in DARPA's Subterranean Challenge but also by other UGVs that share the common goal of identifying objects in difficult to reach locations.



Project overview





Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation

CONFIRMED ARTIFACTS:



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty





Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation



0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty



Project overviev

Baseline Design



 $Range\,Extending\,System\,to\,Complement\,Underground\,Exploration\,(RESCUE)\\Mission\,Concept\,Of\,Operation$



0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode

1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty





Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation



- 0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode
- 1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY
- 2. RESCUE starts deployment process and switches to active mode





LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty.



Baseline Design



Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation



- 0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode
- 1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY
- 2. RESCUE starts deployment process and switches to active mode
- 3. RESCUE collects sensory data for potential artifacts



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty







Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation



- 0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode
- 1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY
- 2. RESCUE starts deployment process and switches to active mode
- 3. RESCUE collects sensory data for potential artifacts
- 4. RESCUE transmits data to the HUSKY for processing



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES

* Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty.







 $Range\,Extending\,System\,to\,Complement\,Underground\,Exploration\,(RESCUE)\\Mission\,Concept\,Of\,Operation$



- 0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode
- 1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY
- 2. RESCUE starts deployment process and switches to active mode
- 3. RESCUE collects sensory data for potential artifacts
- 4. RESCUE transmits data to the HUSKY for processing
- 5. If RESCUE recieves no further commands, RESCUE returns to standy mode



CONTRACTOR OF THE STREET

LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES

* Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty.

Project overview

Baseline Design



 $Range\,Extending\,System\,to\,Complement\,Underground\,Exploration\,(RESCUE)\\Mission\,Concept\,Of\,Operation$



- 0. RESCUE traveling with MARBLE's HUSKY in "standby mode
- 1. MARBLE team sends a firing command to RESCUE to deploy to a location relative to the HUSKY
- 2. RESCUE starts deployment process and switches to active mode
- 3. RESCUE collects sensory data for potential artifacts
- 4. RESCUE transmits data to the HUSKY for processing
- 5. If RESCUE recieves no further commands, RESCUE returns to standy mode
- 6. Back to stage 0



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES

* Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty.





Range Extending System to Complement Underground Exploration (RESCUE) Mission Concept Of Operation

CONFIRMED ARTIFACTS:



LEVEL1: HIGH-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL2: LOW-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES LEVEL3: NON-VISIBILITY SIGNATURES * Levels reflect artifacts with similar signatures not difficulty



CONOPS: Start over





State Diagram







Project Definition: Functional Requirements (MOST RELEVANT)

FR1.1	At minimum, RESCUE shall have the ability to physically reach a location that is at least 1 meter but not more than 5 meters from the apparatus' mounted position on the MARBLE Clearpath Husky.	Physical reach
FR2.1	The sensing system shall be able to sense DARPA subterranean challenge competition artifacts.	Artifacts sensing
FR3.1	RESCUE shall determine and report its location and orientation relative to the ground robot.	System Position and Orientation
FR4.1	When in its standby configuration, RESCUE shall be compatible with the MARBLE team's Clearpath Husky.	Deployment: constraints
FR6.1	RESCUE shall communicate its sensed data with MARBLE and this process shall not interfere with MARBLE's communication systems. RESCUE shall be able to receive firing commands, nested firing commands, and deactivation commands from MARBLE's team.	Communication



Baseline Design

Baseline Design: Overview



Figure 3: Sideview of Stowed arm



Project overview

Baseline Design: Overview



Summary

These are the only discussed elements in baseline

Baseline Design: Mechanical Structure



Baseline Design: Mechanical Structure

Project overview

Trade Options

- **Robotic Arm**, Flying Drone, Sensor Launcher **Purpose**
 - Physically moves sensor package to Husky inaccessible location

Capabilities

- At least 1m extended reach (TDR1.1.1)
- 90° sensor package rotation about at least 1 axis (TDR1.1.3)
- Directional change, 45° or more about at least 1 axis (1.1.2)
- Meet MARBLE's storage requirements (FR 4.1)
 - 10kg
 - 38x45x30 cm³ stowed







Baseline Design: Arm Design

3 Member Arm Design

- Stowed diagonally to maximize member lengths within given area restriction
- Three members + Joints + Wrist -> ~1.31 m physical reach
- Members- cylindrical tubing with wires inside
- Total arm mass ~ 1.15 kg

FR4.1	When in its standby configuration, RESCUE shall be compatible with the MARBLE team's Clearpath Husky.	Deployment: constraints
-------	--	----------------------------



Figure 1: 3 member arm in stowed configuration



Figure 2: Top-down view of diagonal stowing



Baseline Design: Joint Design

180 degree rotation for all elbow joints

the MARBLE Clearpath Husky.	location that is at least 1 meter but not more Reach meters from the apparatus' mounted position on RBLE Clearpath Husky.
-----------------------------	--



FR1.1

Baseline Design: **Software Structure**

Baseline Design: Software Structure





MME Approach: Mapping ---> Motion Planning ---> Executing





Baseline Design: Attitude Control







Chitta et al., ros_control: A generic and simple control framework for ROS. 2017



$$\Gamma = \mathbf{K}_{p} (\mathbf{q}^{d} - \mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{K}_{d} (\dot{\mathbf{q}}^{d} - \dot{\mathbf{q}}) + \mathbf{K}_{i} \int_{t0}^{t} (\mathbf{q}^{d} - \mathbf{q}) d\tau$$

Figure 2: PID controller in the joint space

Khalil, Modeling, Identification & Control of Robots. 1999

Project overview

Baseline Design



Baseline Design: Visual Sensor

Intel RealSense D435: 90x25x25mm Depth Resolution: 1280x720 RGB Resolution: 1920x1080 Diagonal FOV: 70 degrees Range: 0.3m to 10m

Connection: USB-C 3.1 Gen 1

Decision Drivers:

-The smallest option considered

- -Meets the required 5m range
- -Compatible with microcontroller
- -Easily integrated with MARBLE

FR2.1	The sensing system shall be able to sense DARPA subterranean challenge competition artifacts.	Artifact Sensing

Only required to take images, **not** analyze the images or determine what artifacts are in FOV



Figure 1: Intel RealSense D435



Baseline Design

Baseline Design: Attitude Determination

- Attitude and Heading Reference System (AHRS)
- Orientation resolution: <0.08°
- Accelerometer Sensitivity: ±2g/±4g/±8g selectable
- Gyro Sensitivity: 0.00833°/sec/digit for ±250°/sec 0.06667°/sec/digit for ±2000°/sec

FR3.1	RESCUE shall determine and report its location and orientation relative to the ground robot.	System Position and Orientation
-------	--	---------------------------------------

- Supply Voltage: +5V USB, +3.3-6.0V for RS232
- Temperature range: -40 85°C
- Connection: USB 2.0 or RS232



Figure 1: YOST 3-Space[™] Watertight USB/RS232



Baseline Design: Connections

FR6.

Wiring Connections

- Gigabit Ethernet from MARBLE to Raspberry Pi (RasPi)
- USB-A 3.0 to USB-C 3.0 from RasPi to RealSense camera
 - Continuous-flex-and-twist cable.
- USB 2.0 Connection to YOST AHRS
- The additional lighting and C02 sensor will be connected using a direct serial connections and a EK-Cable Y-Splitter

1	RESCUE shall communicate its sensed data with MARBLE and this process shall not interfere with MARBLE's communication systems. RESCUE shall be able to receive firing commands, nested firing commands, and deactivation commands from MARBLE's team.	Communication



Figure 1: Raspberry Pi 4 Tech Sheet



Feasibility Analysis

Critical Project Elements



• Wrist Design

• Joint Design



Software

- Visual Sensor
- Attitude determination
- Attitude controls

In the feasibility we will talk about how it is relative to MME (Mapping, Motion planning, and Execution) and not to Sensing. Sensing feasibility is available in Backup slides.



Mechanical Systems Feasibility Analysis

Arm Feasibility, Joint Feasibility

Designed for "worst case scenario" - full horizontal extension

- Static analysis of the arm performed
 - Base vertical reaction force \approx 11.3 N
 - Base reaction moment ≈ 118.6 kg-cm
- Torque per joint
 - 1st joint torque ≈ 72.3 kg-cm
 - 2nd joint torque ≈ 26.1 kg-cm
- Arm member structure carbon fiber tubing
 - 4.76 cm OD, 4.44 cm ID (1.875 inch and 1.75 inch)
 - Very lightweight 22 grams/meter
 - Flexural strength 0.61 GPa
 - Maximum deflection of single member 6.73 * 10⁻⁶ meter







Servo Motor

- Most servos can support the wrist of the arm and the joints
- The base of the arm may end up with a different configuration due to its torque requirements





Figure 1: JX Servo C70 HV

Possible Servo Choices			More on: Servos Stepper Motors		
	Weight [g]	Stall Torque [kg-cm]	Size [mm [*]]	Max Power [W]	
ZOSKAY Digital Servo	60	35	40x20x38.5	17	
CYS S0650	202	55	66x30x56	99.2	
JX Servo C70 HV	87	72	40x20x43.3	73.2	
TAL9150	580	158	96.4x44x85	80	

Arm "Wrist" Feasibility

Feasibility Items

- Can lightweight servos supply adequate torque to rotate the sensor package?
- Approximate "wrist" mass?
- 90° sensor package rotation about at least one axis (TDR1.1.3)

Baseline Assumptions

- π rad/s² acceleration
- Sensor package: D435i, SCD30, Yost Labs 3-Space AHRS, 2 Streamlight MicroStream lights (worst case)
- Solid PLA (1.24 g/cm³) mounting components (worst case)

Required Torque Estimates

Servo	а	b	Mirict Mass
Required Torque [kg-cm]	0.031	0.12	Estimate: ≈ 830 g




Arm "Wrist" Feasibility: Servo Selection



Figure 1: Wirst Design Estimate

Possible Servo Solutions:

Required Torque Estimates

Servo	а	b
Required Torque [kg-cm]	0.031	0.12

Possible Servo	Max Torque [kg-cm]	Speed [sec/60°]	size [mm]	Mass [g]	Cost [\$]
Hitec HS-85MG	0.547	0.14	29x13x30	21.9	29.95
Sparkfun Sub Micro	0.232	0.10	31.8x11.7x29	9	8.95

Joints Feasibility

- Multiple simple existing design options
- Do not require gearing
- Minimal wire interference
- Example cases fit similar mission profiles





Fig. 4 Cylindrical Sections, Single Servo External Joint



Software Systems Feasibility Analysis

Obstacle Mapping Feasibility



Obstacle Mapping Feasibility (Example)



GIF 1: RTabMap being used outdoors



GIF 2: Rapid development using the RTabMap GUI



Motion Planning Feasibility (Implementation)

<u>Open Motion Planning Library (OMPL)</u>

- Sampling-based motion planning
- Includes several MP algorithms
- Based in C++
- Built-in ROS compatibility

<u>Alternative: C++ Robotics Library</u>

- Multi-purpose C++ library
- Motion planning, inverse kinematics, hardware abstraction
- No built-in ROS compatibility



OMPL sampling-based planner using a tree structure to generate a path from starting state to target state (courtesy of Rice University Kavraki Lab)

Figure 1: Example of a motion planning algorithm in the OMPL Library



Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 2D).



Figure 1: 3 link simulation of inverse kinematics

Inverse Kinematics:

1)
$$x = a_1 \cos \theta_1 + a_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

2)
$$y = a_1 \sin \theta_1 + a_2 \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$$



$$\cos\theta_2 = \frac{1}{2a_1a_2} \Big((x^2 + y^2) - (a_1^2 + a_2^2) \Big)$$

$$\sin\theta_2 = \pm \sqrt{1 - \cos^2\theta_2}$$

$$\cos \theta_1 = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \left(x \left(a_1 + a_2 \cos \theta_2 \right) \pm y \, a_2 \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta_2} \right)$$
$$\sin \theta_1 = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \left(y \left(a_1 + a_2 \cos \theta_2 \right) \mp x \, a_2 \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta_2} \right)$$

Formulas from: Morteza Lahijanian (5519 Lec 5)

Project overview

Motion Planning (3D)

MATHWORKS Robotics System Toolbox

Rapidly design, simulate, and test robotics applications



Motion Planning (3D)

Two methods:

Task space Vs Joint Space

Discussed in details in backup slides.



Project overview

Motion Planning (3D):

For the Kinova Gen3, a complex robotic arm with features like RESCUE, MATLAB simulations are feasible.





Figure 1: Schematic of Kinova Gen 3

Figure 2: Kinova Gen 3 robotic arm



Project overview

Example 1:



Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 3D, PD control, Task space).



GIF 1: 3D simulation of robotic arm moving in Task space



Figure 1: End effector position in Task space



Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 3D, PD control, Joint Space).



GIF 1: 3D simulation of robotic arm moving in Joint space





Project overview

Example 2: Comparing Task space vs Joint space



Workspace vs. C-Space

Project overview

Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 3D, Joint Space Vs Task Space).

Suppose we want our end effector to follow the following trajectory: By how much should we rotate each joint at a given time?



Figure 1: Hypothetical trajectory in 3D space



Project overview

Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 3D, Joint Space Vs Task Space).





Figures 1-7: Joints rotations in radians at given time

Project overview

Example 3: Collision-free Trajectories Given a map of the obstacles



Motion Planning Feasibility (Simulation, 3D, Collision-free, MATLAB).



Gif 1: 3D simulation of robotic arm moving in collision-free trajectory



Figure 1: Joints location as a function of time for collision-free trajectory



Project overviev

Controls Feasibility

ROS Movelt Library:

- Robotic arm controls library
- Python, C++ interface
- Utilizes OMPL for motion planning
- Plans trajectories for individual joints
- Handles inverse kinematics, 3D perception pipeline, collision checking
- Includes 3D interactive visualizer

Options considered: Movelt, Simulink, MATLAB Robotics and Control Toolboxes







Figure 2: Perception pipeline visualization of point-cloud data (courtesy of Movelt)



Figure 1: Raspberry Pi 4 input breakdown

Project overview

Summary: Subsystems overview





Project overview

Baseline Design

56

Summary: Feasibility



Figure 1: Side view Deployed arm

Figure 2: Side view stowed arm

Design aspect	Feasibility
Arm Design	<u>Feasible;</u> Structural integrity and torques needed could be achieved.
Wrist Design	<u>Feasible;</u> Structural integrity and torques needed could be achieved.
Joint Design	<u>Feasible;</u> Required torques could be achieved. Additional studies required for joint volume constraints.
Visual Sensor	<u>Feasible;</u> Sensor available off-the- shelf and compatible with microcontroller.
Attitude determination	<u>Feasible;</u> Sensor available off-the- shelf and compatible with microcontroller.
Attitude controls	Feasible; Open source libraries and available toolboxes.



Summary: Future studies

Mechanical:

- Finalizing joint design/volume fitting
- FEA simulation for stress and torsion
- Von Mises/Mohr-Coulomb stress analysis
- Lighting solution mass reduction (see backup slide)

Software:

- Choose between task space or joint space
- Build a ROS node map to aid in development



References

Mechanical Systems

- <u>https://www.streamlight.com/products/detail/index/microstream</u>
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polylactic_acid</u>
- <u>https://yostlabs.com/product/3-space-watertight-usbrs232/</u>
- <u>https://www.sparkfun.com/products/11887</u>
- <u>https://www.sparkfun.com/products/9065</u>
- <u>https://clearpathrobotics.com/husky-unmanned-ground-vehicle-robot/</u>
- <u>https://www.instructables.com/Complete-Motor-Guide-for-Robotics/</u>
- Spong, Mark W., Seth Hutchinson, and Mathukumalli Vidyasagar. *Robot Modeling and Control*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2006.
- <u>https://www.servosila.com/en/robotic-arms/index.shtml</u>
- <u>https://www.trossenrobotics.com/widowx-250-mobile-robot-arm-6dof.aspx</u>
- <u>https://mechanicalc.com/reference/beam-analysis</u>
- <u>https://www.mcmaster.com/5287T25-5287T253/</u>
- https://www.mcmaster.com/hinges/t-slotted-framing-pivots/
- https://www.universal-robots.com/plus/urplus-components/accessories/bn-uni-kit-for-ur5-ur10/
- <u>https://simxperience.com/Community/SimXperienceDevelopersBlog/TabId/783/ArtMID/1674/ArticleID/1</u> 3/Direct-Drive-vs-Belt-Drive-vs-Gear-Drive.aspx
- <u>https://www.servocity.com/servo-gearboxes/</u>
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_moments_of_inertia



References (cont.)

Sensing/Electronic Systems

- <u>https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-4-model-b/specifications/</u>
- https://www.newnex.com/usb-3-high-flex-cables.php
- https://yostlabs.com/product/3-space-watertight-usbrs232/
- https://github.com/sparkfun/SparkFun_SCD30_Arduino_Library
- https://www.sensirion.com/en/environmental-sensors/evaluation-kit-sek-environmental-sensing/

Software Systems

- <u>https://introlab.github.io/rtabmap/</u>
- Ioan A. Şucan, Mark Moll, Lydia E. Kavraki, The Open Motion Planning Library, *IEEE Robotics* & Automation Magazine, 19(4):72–82, December 2012. <u>https://ompl.kavrakilab.org</u>
- <u>https://moveit.ros.org/</u>
- <u>https://www.mathworks.com/products/robotics.html</u>



Questions?



Backup Slides

<u>Functional</u> <u>Requirements</u>	Kalman Filter Overview	Mechanical Joints	PD Control
<u>Technical Design</u> <u>Requirements</u>	<u>Numerical Inverse</u> <u>Kinematics</u>	Cable Routing	<u>Microcontroller</u>
<u>Detailed Software</u> <u>Flowchart</u>	Budget Tracking	Sensor Package Fit	<u>AHRS Sensor Feasibility</u>
<u>Workspace vs. C-Space</u>	DARPA Subterranean Challenge	<u>Base Design</u>	<u>Communication</u> <u>Feasibility</u>
<u>CO₂ Sensor</u>	MARBLE	Tipping Analysis	Interfacing w/ MARBLE
RGB-D Output Filtering	Attitude Determination	Motor Design	Subsystems Breakdown
Camera Lighting	Arm Calculations	Water/Dust Proofing	Embedded System
Power Budget Tracking	Wrist Calculations	<u>Task Space vs. Joint</u> <u>Space</u>	62

Backup Slide: Functional Requirements

FR1.1	At minimum, RESCUE shall have the ability to physically reach a location that is at least 1 meter but not more than 5 meters from the apparatus' mounted position on the MARBLE Clearpath Husky.
FR2.1	RESCUE shall be able to sense DARPA subterranean challenge competition artifacts.
FR3.1	RESCUE shall determine and report its location and orientation relative to the ground robot.
FR4.1	When in its standby configuration, RESCUE shall be compatible with the MARBLE team's Clearpath Husky.
FR4.2	When in operation, RESCUE shall not interfere with the MARBLE team's Clearpath Husky's operations.
FR4.3	RESCUE's deployment operations shall be rapid enough to incur a minimal time cost to MARBLE's total mission time.

Backup Slide: Functional Requirements

FR5.1	RESCUE despite the splash exposure to mud, water, and dust expected in the DARPA Subterranean Challenge circuit environment. RESCUE shall withstand the thermal environment of the DARPA subterranean challenge.
FR5.2	RESCUE shall have enough electrical power to maintain standby, active, and operational states fitting the MARBLE team's mission expectations.
FR5.3	RESCUE shall withstand repeated deployments.
FR6.1	RESCUE shall communicate its sensed data with MARBLE and this process shall not interfere with MARBLE's communication systems. RESCUE shall be able to receive firing commands, nested firing commands, and deactivation commands from MARBLE's team.

FR1.1	TDR1.1.1	RESCUE shall have the ability to physically reach a location that is at least 1 meter but not more than 5 meters along any unobstructed direction from the mounted position of the apparatus of the MARBLE ClearPath Husky.
	TDR1.1.2	RESCUE shall have the ability to make at least one directional change of 45 or more about at least one axis from the starting extended location to physically reach a location that is at least 1 meter but not more than 5 meters and not within a clear line of sight from the mounted position of the apparatus on the MARBLE Clearpath Husky.
	TDR1.1.3	Once RESCUE is re-positioned, the mechanical mount for the visual artifact signature sensor shall be capable of rotating at least 90 or more about at least one axis.
FR2.1	TDR2.1.1	The sensing apparatus shall have the capability to visually sense the following brightly colored artifacts: human survivor, backpack, fire extinguisher, and rope. The visual sensing of these artifacts shall occur within the visual sensor's operational field of view.

	TDR2.1.2	The sensing apparatus shall be able to sense and detect carbon dioxide (CO2) at 2000 parts per million concentration.
FR3.1	TDR3.1.1	RESCUE shall be able to determine its position relative to the ClearPath Husky, within 1 meter accuracy of its ground truth location at all times.
	TDR3.1.2	RESCUE shall be able to determine its orientation to the ClearPath Husky within 5 accuracy of its ground truth orientation at all times.
FR4.1	TDR4.1.1	When in its standby configuration, RESCUE shall not exceed a volume of 38 centimeters wide by 45 centimeters long by 22-30 centimeters tall.
	TDR4.1.2	RESCUE shall not exceed a total mass of 10 kilograms.
	TDR4.1.3	If RESCUE is directly connected to the Husky, power drawn from the Husky robot shall be less than or equal to 24-30 Volts at 25 Amps.

FR4.2	TDR4.2.1	When RESCUE is deploying, in its active state, or in its operational state, the sensing apparatus shall not apply a force or moment that can unintentionally alter the position of or damage the MARBLE Clearpath Husky.
FR4.3	TDR4.3.1	Upon receiving an activation command from the MARBLE team when in standby configuration, RESCUE shall reach an active state in 30 seconds or less.
	TDR4.3.2	Upon receiving a firing command from the MARBLE team when in its active configuration, RESCUE shall respond in an operational state as soon as (< 1 second) the command is received.
	TDR4.3.3	Upon receiving an deactivation command from the MARBLE team while, RESCUE shall return from its operational/active configuration to its standby configuration within 120 seconds.

FR5.1	TDR5.1.1	RESCUE's mechanical and electrical components shall meet at least IP43 water exposure tolerances.
	TDR5.1.2	RESCUE's mechanical and electrical components shall meet at least IP43 dust exposure tolerances.
	TDR5.1.3	RESCUE shall accomplish all other design requirements in an nominal thermal environment of 50-65 F.
FR5.2	TDR5.2.1	RESCUE shall have enough electrical power to maintain a standby state for at least 135 minutes.
	TDR5.2.2	RESCUE shall have enough electrical power to maintain an operational state for at least 30 minutes.

FR5.3	TDR5.3.1	
FR6.1	TDR6.1.1	The sensing system shall be capable of receiving firing commands from the ROS nodes in the existing MARBLE architecture.
	TDR6.1.2	After deployment and retraction, RESCUE shall communicate sensing data with the MARBLE robot before its next deployment, or within approximately 60 seconds.
	TDR6.1.4	RESCUE shall deliver frequent status reports to the MARBLE robot regarding deployment status and data collection.

Backup Slide: Detailed Software Flowchart





Active mode



Backup Slide: Workspace Vs. C-Space





In the C-Space the two link manipulator becomes a point robot. This makes it much easier to apply planning algorithms.

Backup Slide: CO₂ Sensor

FR2.1: The sensing system shall be able to sense DARPA subterranean challenge competition artifacts.

Options Considered: Sensirion SCD30 and SparkFun CCS811 Air Quality Breakout



Sensirion SCD30: 35x23x7 mm Sensing: >440 PPM Accuracy: ±(30 PPM + 3%) Power Required: 400 mW Cost: \$60.00

Decision Drivers:

- 1. Tested accuracy
- 2. No startup time
- 3. Proven integration with Raspberry Pi


Backup Slide: RGB-D Output Filtering

ROS Robots

ROS Filtering Packages:

- depth_image_proc
- pcl_ros



Intel Realsense Filtering Process:

- 1. Decimation
- 2. Edge-preserving filter
- 3. Spatial filter
- 4. Temporal filter
- 5. Hole filling



Backup Slide: Currently Worst Case Lighting

- 2X Streamlight MicroStream USB
- 1.50 hr life on high
- Batteries contained
- 250 Lumens Max
- 34g mass
- 68m beam







Backup Slide: Camera Lighting



Bright white and IR camera light:

- Built specifically to interface with the Raspberry Pi via I2C inputs
- Cree white light LED
- Liteon IR LEDs
- Cost: **\$23**



Backup Slide: Power Budget Tracking

Part Name	Devices per Board	Supply Current per Device (A)	Supply Current per Board (A)	Supply Voltage	Power Subtotal (W)
Raspberri Pi 4 Model B	1	3	3	5	15
Sensirion SCD30	1	0.019	0.019	5.5	0.1045
Intel RealSense Depth Camera D435	1	1.6	1.6	3.3	5.28
JX Servo C70 HV 12V 72KG	1	6.1	6.1	12	73.2
100kg-cm torque Ultra Heavy Duty Giant Scale Digital HV Brushless Servo	2	6.6	13.2	12	158.4
CYS S0650 Large 55KG HV High Torque Metal Gear Digital Servo	1	6.9	6.9	7.4	51.06
				Power Total (W)	303.0445
				Est. Efficiency	50%
*Take max values				Input Power Needed (W)	606.089
*From MARBLE: raw power is 24-30 V at 25A				Power Burn (W)	



76

Backup Slide: Kalman Filter Overview

The point of a Kalman Filter is to obtain an estimate of the current state based on noisy measurements.





Backup Slide: Kalman Filter Overview (Example)





Backup Slide: Numerical Inverse Kinematics

With a 3 link arm inverse kinematics cannot be solved because the system of equations is under defined. So we'll use the Pseudo-Inverse Jacobian method:

We have a known end effector position: **X** and initial guess **Y**

- 1. Compute the Jacobian
- 2. Compute the Pseudo-Inverse of the Jacobian

a. $J^{+}=(J^{T}J)^{-1}J^{T}$

3. Compute the change in the end effector position Δe

a. ∆e = (X-Y)

4. Compute the change in the joint configuration $\Delta \theta$

a. $\Delta \theta = J^{\dagger} \Delta e$

5. Compute the next joint configuration

a. $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k + \Delta \theta$

6. Repeat 1-5 until Δe is sufficiently small



Backup Slide: Budget Tracking

Project Estimation Form

Project Name:	RESCUE
roject Manager:	Seth Krein

Seth Krein

Project Task	Material Cost (\$)	Manufacturing Cost (\$)	Other Cost (\$)	Total per Task
Project Initiation				
PDD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CDD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PDR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CDR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Project Planning				
Gantt Chart and PM Software	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$400
Subtotal	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$400
Project Delivery				
Base Mount Construction	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$200
Arm Sections Construction	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$300
Joint Construction	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$400
Servo Acquisition & Installation	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$500
Sensor and Lighting Mount Construction	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$50
Sensor Acqusition & Installation	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$500
Lighting Acqusition & Installation	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$50
Microcontroller Acquisition & Integration	\$85	\$0	\$0	\$85
Software development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$2,085	\$0	\$0	\$2,085
Project Closeout				
Assessment of overall project quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Survey/Feedback of MARBLE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Final Presentation to Project Stakeholders	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
General and Administrative Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sub-Totals:	\$2,485	\$0	\$0	\$2,485
Risk (Contingency):	\$625	\$250	\$250	\$1,125
TOTAL (scheduled):	\$3,110	\$250	\$250	\$3,610
	Project Task Project Initiation PDD CDD CDD CDD CDR CDR Subtotal Project Planning Gantt Chart and PM Software Subtotal Project Planning Gantt Chart and PM Software Subtotal Project Delivery Base Mount Construction Joint Construction Sersor Acquisition & Installation Sensor Acquisition & Installation Lighting Acquisition & Installation Software development Subtotal Project Closeout Assessment of overall project quality Survey/Feedback of MARBLE Final Presentation to Project Stakeholders Subtotal General and Administrative Costs Other cost Sub-Totals: Cisk (Contingency): CTOTAL (scheduled):	Project Task Material Cost (\$) Project Initiation (\$) Project Initiation \$0 DD \$0 DD \$0 CDD \$0 PDR \$0 CDR \$0 Subtotal \$0 Project Planning \$400 Subtotal \$400 Project Planning \$400 Subtotal \$400 Project Delivery \$400 Base Mount Construction \$200 Arm Sections Construction \$500 Sensor Acquisition & Installation \$500 Sensor Acquisition & Installation \$500 Lighting Acquisition & Installation \$50 Sensor Acquisition & Installation \$50 Subtotal \$2,085 Project Closeout \$0 Subtotal \$0 Surveyl/Feedback of MARBLE \$0 Surveyl/Feedback of MARBLE \$0 Final Presentation to Project Stakeholders \$0 Surveyl/Feedback of MARBLE \$0 <td>Project TaskMaterial Cost (\$)Manufacturing Cost (\$)Project Initiation\$0\$0PDD\$0\$0DD\$0\$0CDD\$0\$0PDR\$0\$0CDR\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Project Planning\$400\$0Subtotal\$400\$0Subtotal\$400\$0Project Delivery\$400\$0Base Mount Construction\$200\$0Arm Sections Construction\$400\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0Lighting Acquisition & Integration\$50\$0Software development\$0\$0Sutotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$50\$0Software development\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal</td> <td>Project TaskMaterial Cost (\$)Manufacturing Cost (\$)Other Cost (\$)Project InitiationPDD\$0\$0\$0DD\$0\$0\$0CDD\$0\$0\$0PDR\$0\$0\$0CDR\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0\$0Project Planing\$00\$0Subtotal\$400\$0\$0Project Planing\$400\$0\$0Subtotal\$400\$0\$0Project Delivery\$0\$0Base Mount Construction\$200\$0\$0Arm Sections Construction\$400\$0\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0\$0Software development\$0\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Final Presentation to Project Stakeholders\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0General and Administrative Costs\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,285\$2,50\$2,50\$2,50Sub-Total\$2,285\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE</td>	Project TaskMaterial Cost (\$)Manufacturing Cost (\$)Project Initiation\$0\$0PDD\$0\$0DD\$0\$0CDD\$0\$0PDR\$0\$0CDR\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Project Planning\$400\$0Subtotal\$400\$0Subtotal\$400\$0Project Delivery\$400\$0Base Mount Construction\$200\$0Arm Sections Construction\$400\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0Lighting Acquisition & Integration\$50\$0Software development\$0\$0Sutotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$50\$0Software development\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0Subtotal	Project TaskMaterial Cost (\$)Manufacturing Cost (\$)Other Cost (\$)Project InitiationPDD\$0\$0\$0DD\$0\$0\$0CDD\$0\$0\$0PDR\$0\$0\$0CDR\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0\$0Project Planing\$00\$0Subtotal\$400\$0\$0Project Planing\$400\$0\$0Subtotal\$400\$0\$0Project Delivery\$0\$0Base Mount Construction\$200\$0\$0Arm Sections Construction\$400\$0\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0\$0Sensor Acquisition & Installation\$500\$0\$0Software development\$0\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,085\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Final Presentation to Project Stakeholders\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE\$0\$0\$0General and Administrative Costs\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$2,285\$2,50\$2,50\$2,50Sub-Total\$2,285\$0\$0\$0Subtotal\$0\$0\$0\$0Survey/Feedback of MARELE



Backup Slide: <u>DARPA Subterranean Challenge</u>



THE PROBLEM



THE CHALLENGE

COMPETE IN THREE SUBDOMAINS



TUNNEL SYSTEMS

innels can extend many kilometers in length ith constrained passages, vertical shafts and multiple levels

URBAN UNDERGROUND complex layouts with multiple stories and



SYSTEMS TRACK

Teams will develop and demonstrate physical systems to compete in live competitions on physical, representative subterranean courses, and focus on advancing and evaluating novel physical solutions in realistic field environments.

https://www.subtchallenge.com/#about



CAVE NETWORKS



Bac

81



Backup Slide: MARBLE

- Multi-agent Autonomy with Radar-Based Localization for Exploration (MARBLE)
- CU Boulder's team participating in the systems track of the DARPA Subterranean challenge
- \$4.5 million dollar project led by the College of Engineering and Applied Science



Backup Slide: Attitude Determination specifications

General





Part number	TSS-USB-WT-S (Watertight Screw-down Sensor Unit)		
Dimensions	36.4mm x 94.1mm x 58.6mm (1.43 x 3.71 x 2.31 in.)		
Weight	150 grams (5.29 oz)		
Supply voltage	+5v USB, +3.3v~+6.0v for RS232		
Communication interfaces	USB 2.0, RS232 Asynchronous Serial		
Serial baud rates	1,200~921,600 selectable, default: 115,200		
Filter update rate	up to 250Hz with Kalman AHRS(higher with oversampling) up to 850Hz with QCOMP AHRS(higher with oversampling) up to 1350Hz in IMU mode		
Orientation output	absolute & relative quaternion, Euler angles, axis angle, rotation matrix, two vector		
Other output	raw sensor data, normalized sensor data, calibrated sensor data, temperature		
Shock survivability	5000g		
Temperature range	-40C ~ 85C (-40F ~ 185F)		

3-Space™ Watertight USB/RS232



Sensor

Orientation range	360° about all axes	
Orientation accuracy	$\pm 1^{\rm o}$ for dynamic conditions & all orientations	
Orientation resolution	<0.08°	
Orientation repeatability	0.085° for all orientations	
Accelerometer scale	±2g / ±4g / ±8g selectable for standard models ±6g / ±12g / ±24g selectable for HH models(coming soon) ±100g / ±200g / ±400g selectable for H3 models(coming soon)	
Accelerometer resolution	14 bit, 12 bit(HH), 12 bit(H3)	
Accelerometer noise density	99µg/√Hz, 650µg/√Hz(HH), 15mg/√Hz(H3)	
Accelerometer sensitivity	0.00024g/digit-0.00096g/digit 0.003g/digit-0.012/digit(HH) 0.049g/digit-0.195g/digit(H3)	
Accelerometer temperature sensitivity	±0.008%/°C, ±0.01%/°C(HH, H3)	
Gyro scale	±250/±500/±1000/±2000 °/sec selectable	
Gyro resolution	16 bit	
Gyro noise density	0.009°/sec/√Hz	
Gyro bias stability @ 25°C	2.5°/hr average for all axes	
Gyro sensitivity	0.00833°/sec/digit for ±250°/sec 0.06667°/sec/digit for ±2000°/sec	
Gyro non-linearity	0.2% full-scale	
Gyro temperature sensitivity	±0.03%/°C	
Compass scale	± 0.88 Ga to ± 8.1 Ga selectable (±1.3 Ga default)	
Compass resolution	12 bit	
Compass sensitivity	0.73 mGa/digit	
Compass non-linearity	0.1% full-scale	

Backup Slide: Attitude Determination FBD



Mech Backup Slides





Backup Slide: Arm Calculations

- Hand calculations originally performed, switched to MATLAB script
- Use of statics, sum of forces and moments for the whole system = 0
- Parallel axis theorem used for dynamic moments







Backup Slide: MATLAB Script for Arm Calculations

RESCUE Calculations for Arm Mass, Size, Strucutal Analysis

Evan Welch

clear all; clc; % all units are in standard metric, for obvious reasons :)

Define Variables

mat_density = 1661; % density of tube in kg/m^3
OD = 0.047625; %outer tube diameter
ID = 0.04445; %inner tube diameter

% arm section lengths in meters: secllength = 0.4; sec2length = 0.4; sec3length = 0.2;

$\ensuremath{\$}$ joint and wrist masses in kg:

joint1mass = 0.200; joint2mass = 0.1; wristmass = 0.83;

% joint and wrist lengths in meters: jointllength = 0.05; joint2length =0.05;

wristlength = 0.207;

Arm mass and size

Lengths:



mat_length = secllength + sec2length + sec3length; % length of tubing total_length = mat_length + jointllength + jointllength + wristlength; % total arm length

% Mass:

cross_section = OD*pi*(OD/2)^2 - ID*pi*(ID/2)^2; %cross sectional area
mat_volume = cross_section*mat_length; % volume of tubing
arm_sections_mass = mat_density*mat_volume; % mass of tubing
total_mass = arm_sections_mass + jointlmass + joint2mass + wristmass; %total arm mass

% Masses of the tubes:

seclmass = secllength*cross_section*mat_density; sec2mass = sec2length*cross_section*mat_density; sec3mass = sec3length*cross_section*mat_density;

Reaction forces and moments (worst case scenario: fully extended horizontal arm)

all forces are vertical in this case

Ry_worstcase = total_mass * 9.81; % vertical reaction force in newtons

joint2mass*(sec1length+joint1length+sec2length+(joint2length/2)) + sec3mass*(sec1length+j oint1length+sec2length+joint2length+(sec3length/2))+...

wristmass*(total_length-(wristlength/2))); % reaction moment at the base in Nm

Reaction forces and moments (stowed)

Ry_stowed = Ry_worstcase; %same total vertical force regardless of configuration
Rm_stowed = 9.81*(secImass*(secIlength/2) + jointImass*(secIlength+(jointIlength/2)) + secIma
ss*(secIlength/2) + jointImass*(secIlength+(jointIlength/2)) + ...

 $sec3mass*(sec3length/2) \ + \ wristmass*(sec3length+(wristlength/2))); \ \% \ reaction \ moment \ at \ t \ he \ base \ in \ Nm$

Sectional torques (servo torque needed for worst case scenario)

starting from the wrist:

servo2torque = 9.81*(sec3mass*(sec3length/2) + wristmass*(sec3length+wristlength/2)); % torqu
e necessary for servo in joint 2
servo1torque = 9.81*(sec2mass*(sec2length/2) + joint2mass*(sec2length+(joint2length/2)) + sec
3mass*(sec2length+joint2length+(sec3length/2)) +...
wristmass*(sec2length+joint2length+sec3length+(wristlength/2))); %torque necessary for se
rvo in joint 1
basetorque = Rm worstcase; %torque necessary for the base

87

Backup Slide: Arm Structural Calculations

- Arm modeled as a cantilever beam with a fixed end and a point load
- Force calculated based on maximum moment on base

Assumptions:

- Fixed end
- Uniform beam

Material	Max Normal Stress	Max Shear Stress	Max Deflection
Aluminum	0.281 MPa	0.1135 Pa	4.28 * 10 ⁻⁶ m
Carbon Fiber	0.568 MPa	0.0534 Pa	6.73 * 10 ⁻⁶ m
Garolite	0.277 MPa	0.1121 Pa	1.76 * 10 ⁻⁵ m





Backup Slide: Arm Structural Calculations

• Maximum normal and shear stress vs maximum deflection for carbon-fiber, garolite G10, and aluminum 6061





Backup Slide: Wrist Mass Evaluation

Sensor package
 Sensor mounting plate
 Support Fork
 Servos
 Rotation Plate
 Connection to arm





Wrist Section Masses

Component	Mass (g)
1	305
2	150
3	40
4a, 4b	22, 22
5	105
6	183
Total	827

Key Assumptions

- Sensor package includes D435i, SCD30, Yost Labs 3-Space IMU, and 2 Streamlight MicroStream lights (worst case)
 - SCD30 may be on arm
- Solid PLA (1.24 g/cm³) mounting components
- 6) Evaluated only half cut out for arm
- 360° Rotation capability, both axes
- 1 cm thick bases for 2) and 5)
- 5mm thick fork sections
- 1x2 cm cross section for 6) horizontal portion



Backup Slide: Wrist Torque Analysis



Parallel Axis Theorem:

Mass Moment of Inertia $I_0 = I_C + \underset{\uparrow}{\operatorname{Mass of Body}} d^2$



Assumptions

- 90° in 1s from rest
- Uniform Density Blocks

Servo A:

- Sensor Package (1) + Sensor Mounting Plate (2)
- Servo torque centered



<u>Servo B:</u>

- Sensor Package (1), Sensor Mounting Plate (2), Servo A (4), Rotation Plate (5)
- Servo torque applied at bottom edge (worst case)



Backup Slide: Mechanical Joints

- Largest Possible 70 Kg Cm Servo (Joint 1)
- Additional Volume Fitting Assessment Required



Worst Case Fit Scenario



Bevel Gearing



Large Example Servo

• 54x40x20mm



92

Backup Slide: Cable Routing

Universal Robotics: BN UNI-Kit Flex



Abb Industrial: 6 DOF Arm





Backup Slide: Sensor Package Fit









Back 94

Backup Slide: Base/Waist Design

Assumptions:

- Solid rod of uniform density
- Infinitely thin rod
- *α* = 10 rad/s

 $I_{end} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ m L^2}$ $\tau = I * \alpha$ m = 1.156 kg L = 1.307 m

 au_{required} = 6.5825 Nm



Possible base servo setups:







Backup Slide: Tipping Analysis

Assumptions:

- Husky Rover is a simple box with uniform density
- Force is induced from the moment of the robotic arm at full extension

Counterclockwise Moment = Clockwise Moment

 $F*d_{ccw} = F*d_{cw}$

F_{needed to tip} = 792.346 N F_{arm at full extension} = 89.23 N





Backup Slide: Servo DC Motor



100 kg-cm (left) and 70 kg-cm servos



Example closed loop for a servo



Back to Main Servo Slide Back 97

Backup Slide: Stepper Motors



- Possible Stepper solutions up to 50 Nm
- Open-loop
- Issues with weight, size, and power
- Hard implementation of controls

https://www.jvl.dk/326/high-torque-stepper-motors

Back to Main Servo Slide Back

98



Backup Slide: Water/Dust Proofing

- Most servos under consideration are already waterproof
- Sealant shall be used to fill gaps
- Wire wrapping solutions (see cable routing slide)
- Worst case: covering

Generic Camera Splash Cover



Universal Robotics UR+ Lightweight Cover







Backup Slide: Task Space vs Joint Space





Backup Slide: PD Control

$$u = K_P \tilde{q} - K_D \dot{q}$$

(8.7)

where $\tilde{q} = q^d - q$ is the error between the desired joint displacements q^d and the actual joint displacements q



Spong, Mark W., Seth Hutchinson, and Mathukumalli Vidyasagar. Robot Modeling and Control. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2006.



Backup slides: Microcontroller





Raspberry Pi 4 Model B:

- **Processor:** Broadcom BCM2711, quad-core Cortex-A72 (ARM v8) 64-bit SoC @ 1.5GHz
- Memory: 2/4/8 GB LPDDR4 (depending on model)
- Connectivity:
 - 2x USB 3.0 ports
 - 2x USB 2.0 ports
 - Gigabit Ethernet port
 - 2.4 GHz and 5.0 GHz IEEE 802.11b/g/n/ac wireless LAN, Bluetooth 5.0, BLE
- GPIO: Standard 40-pin GPIO header
- SD Card Support: Micro SD card slot for loading operating system and data storage
- **Power:** 15W required





Backup slides: AHRS sensor feasibility

Yost Labs Inc.

www.YostLabs .com





Notes: 1. Power is supplied via Vin. Voltage is 3.3vdc ~ 6.0vdc

2. Can be used for USB2.0 and/or RS232 communication.

 Both USB and RS232 interfaces may be used, but care must be taken to avoid command contention if both interfaces are used simultaneously.

4. When using USB interface, care should be taken to avoid violation of USB cable design and cable length standards.

rev. 1.05



Courtesy of Yost Labs

Compatible with the microcontroller

Backup slides: Communication feasibility

Wiring Connections

- Gigabit Ethernet from MARBLE to Raspberry Pi (RasPi)
- USB-A 3.0 to USB-C 3.0 from RasPi to RealSense camera
 - Continuous-flex-and-twist cable







Backup slides: Interfacing with MARBLE

- Graphic User Interface
- Command Line interface





Backup slides: Subsystems





Backup: Subsystems Breakdown







Backup Slide: Embedded System





