CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR



Test Readiness Review

REPTAR REcoverable ProTection After Reentry

Team: Calvin Buechler, Kevin Faggiano, Dustin Fishelman, Cody Gondek, Lee Huynh, Aaron McCusker, William Sear, Himanshi Singhal, Craig Wenkheimer, Nathan Yeo
Customer: Steve Thilker, Collin Baukol, Cody Humbargar, Jason Latimer (Raytheon)
Advisor: Dr. Brian Argrow



University of Colorado Boulder



Overview

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

2

REPTAR

Raytheon

REPTAR shall assist in the recovery of a de-orbited 1U Raytheon Payload. The mission begins once the SmallSat has re-entered the atmosphere and has reached subsonic velocity. REPTAR shall facilitate the subsonic deceleration, landing, location determination, and location transmission portions of the mission.

Recovery of payload enables:

- Lower mission costs by re-using the payload
- Obtain samples collected by payload on-board



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

1) Launch REPTAR components survive launch conditions as payload attached to a bus. Mission Concept of Operations

5

6

2) Orbit/StandbyREPTAR Components survive on orbit conditions. Batteriescharged by bus.

6) Land and Recovery REPTAR protects payload during ground contact and transmit location.

5) Deceleration **Raytheon** Decelerate to subsonic speeds.

4) Re-entry Receive command from bus to power REPTAR systems. REPTAR separation from bus. Re-entry completed by Raytheon System.

Legend

REPTAR Solution

Raytheon Solution

Raytheon

3) De-orbit

Receive command from bus to power REPTAR systems. Re-entry burn.

Descent

After being decelerated to subsonic speeds, REPTAR activates atmospheric deceleration systems to protect the payload.

Decelerate

Slows to safe landing speeds by deploying a parachute. Transmits location during descent.

Land

Lands payload safely within launch loading requirements.

REPTAR

REcoverable ProTection After Reentry (REPTAR)

Concept of Operations(CONOPS)

Transmits location to recovery element.

Transmit Location



Recovery team receives location.



Levels of Success



Criteria	Volume	Instantaneous G-Loading	Communication
Level 1	The volume of REPTAR including payload shall not exceed a maximum of 6U Standard	The payload shall endure a maximum instantaneous G- loading of less than 40 G's	REPTAR shall beacon its location over a range of 20 miles
Level 2	The volume of REPTAR including payload shall not exceed a maximum of 4U Standard		REPTAR shall beacon its location over a range of 30 miles
Level 3	The volume of REPTAR including payload shall not exceed a maximum of 3U Standard		REPTAR shall beacon its location over a range of 45 miles



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

6

Mission Timeline and FBD



On-Orbit Standby

• Maintain battery charge Descent

REPTAR

- Triggered by bus signal
- Determine altitude
- Attain GPS lock

Deceleration [3,500 m]

- Triggered by parachute deployment altitude being reached
- Deploy parachute, bottom panel, and side panels.
 Transmission
- Triggered by deployments
- Transmit Location

Key Components







Critical Project Elements



Raytheon

Subsystem	CPE	Explanation
Descent	Parachute Deployment	If the parachute does not deploy and act properly, it will be nearly impossible to achieve any of the mission requirements.
Landing	Leg Deployment	The legs lower the maximum G loading the vehicle experiences. Without successful leg deployment, safe landing will not be satisfied.
Landing	Side Panel Deployment	The side panels assist in lowering the maximum G loading in the case of windy weather where the vehicle will have a horizontal velocity.
Avionics	Subsystem Interaction	Ensuring that the avionics integrate with the other subsystems will be crucial to success of the mission.



10

Executive Summary

• Changes since MSR:

- Vibration test has been removed from schedule
 - Current system structure not fully representative of the final system
 - Thermal Protection System
 - Payload
- Aluminum cover removed from parachute housing
 - TPS is required to protect REPTAR on-orbit
- Schedule:
 - 11 days of margin incorporated before drop test
- Budget:

11

- All materials in-house or ordered
- Drop Test is only remaining major expense
- \$622.62 margin no overall concerns
 - CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR





REPTAR

Raytheon

Schedule

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17



TRR to Drop Test

REPTAR

Mar 5 - Mar 11 '17 Mar 12 - Mar 18 '17 Mar 19 - Mar 25 '17 Apr 2 - A	pr 8 '17	Apr 9 - Apr 15 '17
S M T W T F S M T W T F S M T W T F S M	T W T F S	S M T W T F S
Altimeter Testing		
Battery Testing		
Chute Deployment Testing w/ Black Powder		
lev A Bringup R		
Foam Impact Testing		
t for Legs and Side Deployment		
Day in the Life Testing		
→ EGSE and Testing Device Verification		
-Antennae Testing		
▲ 03/06/2017 TRR Due		
◆ 03/00/2017 HIX Bue		
Integrate Side Pariels to Main Venicle		
Integrate Legiand Panel De		
Integrate Board to Main Veh		
Integrate Battery System to I		
→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →		
Integrate Legs to Main Vehicle		
Integrate Ante		
→ Integrate EGS Vehicle		
	•	Drop Test

Budget

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

REPTAR BUDGET

\$5,000.00				
\$4,500.00		\$622.62 (Margin)		
		\$400 (Drop Test)		
\$4,000.00	\$293 (Side Panels)			
\$3,500.00	\$338 (Parachutes)			
\$3,000,00	\$467 (Frame Components)			
<i>,,,,,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$520 (Board+)			
\$2,500.00			\$5,000	
\$2,000.00	(Communications)	\$3,977.38 (Spent)		
\$1,500.00	\$606 (Aluminum Legs)			
\$1,000,00				
<i>,,,,,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$1,173			
\$500.00	(Other)			
\$-				

Projected Total With Margin

Provided Budget

Test Readiness

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Past Testing – Descent Subsystem

P	Past Testing – Descent Subsystem		
Test	Measurement Sought	Requirement Validation	Test Takeaway
<u>Plate Load</u>	Deformation due to chute deployment	Verifies structural integrity of base plate	Plate is strong enough to withstand the force of chute deployment
<u>Drag</u>	C _d of Parachute	Validate landing speed	 C_d for undamaged parachute is within threshold, C_d for damaged parachute is not
BP Ignition	Amount of black powder needed to eject chute from housing	Proves chute can be deployed using black powder	Parachute ejected properly 10 times out of 11 in chosen configuration

Past Testing – Landing Subsystem

F	REPTAR		
Test	Measurement Sought	Requirement Validation	Test Takeaway
<u>Leg and Side Panel</u> <u>Deployment</u>	Percentage of time that legs and side panels deploy in 6 m/s winds	Validates reliability of deployables	Little concern about proper deployment of legs and panels
Leg Locking	Amount of torque needed to overcome leg locking mechanism	Higher torque required to overcome leg locking mechanism means lower likelihood legs fold when they impact ground	Torque at break was ~0.165Nm causing a screw- in design to be created for a higher tolerance
<u>Foam Impact</u>	Determine crushing characteristics of aluminum foam legs	Verify vehicle can be slowed to a stop while staying below 40 G's	Legs compressed almost exactly as anticipated

Avionics Status Dashboard





Day-In-The-Life (DITL) – Flight Dress Rehearsal **REPTAR**



Altimeter Readings from EGSE

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

21



Parachute Deployment

Raytheon

- Setup Details:
 - Very similar to flight article
- **Repeatability:**
 - Follow Checklist Procedure
- Risk Reduction:
 - Increases confidence that chute will deploy
- Expected Results:
 - 0.4 g of Black Powder to Eject Chute
- Actual Results:
 - Successful Ejection: 10/11 = 90.9%
 - Successful Canisters: 34/36 = 94.4%
 - Overall Confidence of Deployment: 85.8%

Parachute Housing

U-bolt





10/3/17

Parachute Deployment



Raytheon





Full System Drop Test

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Full System Drop Test



Raytheon

Scope	Drop REPTAR from airplane in controlled environment	 Requires aircraft, large drop zone
Rationale	Full system drop test is the culminating test that provides proof of concept and full integration testing	 Testing high speed parachute deployment Location determination during descent G loading profile
Risk Reduction	Reduces risk by validating in-flight performance before real flight with expensive payload	



10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Drop Test Logistics

REPTAR



- April 4th (primary date) and April 11th (backup date)
- No-go days: Surface wind of more than 30 knots (34.5 mph) or cloud clearance of less than 1000 ft from the desired drop altitude





Drop Test Measurement Unit

- Where?
 - Housed where Raytheon Payload would be stored in actual mission
- How?

.

- Accelerometer (ADXL377, +/- 200G with an error of 1G)
- Raspberry Pi Camera
- Quantities from the main avionics:
 - Altitude (Altimeter)
 - Location (GPS)
- Mass and Volume
 - Mass: 177 g of instruments + 1157 g of ballast
 - Volume: 1U
- Additional Measurements will be taken from the plane





10/3/17



REPTAR

Expected G-Model

REPTAR

Raytheon





CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Drift Zone and Recovery

REPTAR





29

Monte Carlo Parameters

Initial Velocity = 32.0 [m/s] Initial Velocity Variance = 5.0 [m/s] Min Alt = 12500 [ft], Alt Variance = 200 [ft] Heading Range = 20 [deg] Pos. Variance (x) = 500 [m]Pos. Variance (y) = 500 [m]Pos. Shift (x) = -250 [m]Pos. Shift (y) = -250 [m]Wind Vel. min = 5 [kts] Wind Vel. Variance = 5 [kts] Wind Dir. min = 230 [deg] Wind Dir. Variance = 40 [deg] Chute Open Alt Min = 1900 [m] Chute Open Variance = 200 [m] Standard Deviation = 213.5 [m] Known Winds 2520 2530 2540 2545



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Drop Zone

REPTAR

REPTA







EPTAR TRR

Consequences Table



Quantities	All golden!	Location Transmission Fails	Landing Fails	Parachute Fails	All fail
G's experienced	37	37	52	840	840
Location Determined?	Yes	No	Likely	No	No
Time to Land (s)	~86 s	~86 s	~86 s	~32 s	~32 s
Data survived	Yes	Yes	Yes	Likely	Likely



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR MSR

10/3/17

Post Flight Analysis

Compare:

- G-loading experienced during flight
- Expected drift vs actual drift
- Expected time to land vs actual time to land

Review:

Leg deployment footage



10/3/17

REPTAR

Raytheon

Summary of Confidence for Drop Test

• Descent System:

• 85% confidence of parachute deployment

Landing System:

- High confidence that legs and side panels will properly deploy
- 95% confidence towards aluminum foam landing characteristics
- Concerns towards uncontrollable landing environment
- Avionics System:
 - High confidence in avionics system as designed
 - Flight environment can introduce issues in communication
- Overall:
 - Current: 81% confidence that full system will perform successfully in drop test
 - Further testing to reduce variance



REPTAR

Raytheon

Questions?

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Parachute Drag Test

• Objective:

- Determine the coefficient of drag of good and bad parachute
- Measurement Sought:
 - Force (lbs) and Wind (MPH)
- Expected Value:
 - Based on provided info from manufacturer
 - Good parachute ~ 2.2
 - Bad parachute < 2.2
- How it Reduces Risk:



- Higher coefficient, lower landing velocity, stay under 40 G's during landing
- Too high of coefficient, go over 40 G's during deployment



REPTAR

Raytheon

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Parachute Drag Test Cont.



Raytheon

• Results:

- Good parachute n = 23, Avg = 2.42, Std = 0.529
- Bad parachute n = 32, Avg = 2.09, Std = 0.553

• What This Means:

- If parachute Cd is < 2.05, landing is over 40 G's
- Bad parachute, less than 66% confident will be more than 2.05
- Good parachute, slightly less than 66% confident will be more than 2.05



10/3/17
Parachute Drag Test

REPTAR

Raytheon

- Parachute shock chord will be attached to rope which will be attached to a digital scale with hook
- The digital scale will be attached to the rod of a headrest in a car
- The rope will be approximately 4 meters in length
- Team member will begin to accelerate car to 13 mph
- Team member in back seat will slowly release line of rope as parachute gets taut
- Car will stay at 13 mph, team member in back will record different values of force from scale
- Third team member will hold anemometer out window and record wind data as backseat member records force
- Comparing the velocity and force will allow calculation of the coefficient of drag of parachute
- Important because if coefficient is off, landing team must prepare for different landing speed

10/3/17





Black Powder Tests, No Parachute

- PVC Housing created to hold ejection canister
- Pressure gauge attached to bottom of PVC Housing
- High speed camera placed on gauge
- Canister connected to 12V, 5A power supply
- Top of PVC sealed down to prevent leaks
- From Model to create 20 psi equilibrium, 0.128 g of powder needed
- Results:
 - High speed camera showed equilibrium pressure of 24 psi
 - ~17% difference in experiment and model



REPTAR



Air Compressor Test

REPTAR

Raytheon

- Pressure gauge removed
- U-bolt attached to bottom of housing
- Shock chord of parachute fed through housing and attached to Ubolt
- Parachute folded inside PVC housing
- Air compressor attached to hole where ejection canister would be
- Aluminum foil taped over top
- Some parts of experiment had small perforation in middle of foil
- Results:
 - 40 psi slight emergence of parachute through middle
 - 50 psi slight emergence of parachute through side of foil
 - 50 psi w/ perforation good emergence of parachute through middle
 - 80 psi very good emergence through middle
 - 80 psi w/ perforation fully deployed through middle





CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Black Powder Tests With PVC Housing

- Objective:
 - Determine if parachute will deploy from PVC housing
 - Determine amount of black powder needed to deploy
 - Determine if velocity of parachute is to high
- Measurement Sought:
 - Amount of black powder needed in grams to 2 decimals
 - Velocity of parachute does not put REPTAR over 40 G's
- Expected Value:
 - Amount of powder to be > 0.10 grams which is approximately 75 psi
 - 80 psi is what expelled parachute during compressor test
 - With 4kg REPTAR, velocity of parachute must be 80 m/s to go over 40 G's
- How it Reduces Risk:

40

- Need parachute to deploy in order to be under 40 G's
- Ensures REPTAR stays under 40 G's during deployment



10/3/17



Raytheon

REPTAR

Black Powder Tests With PVC Housing



REPTAR

- Results:
 - .1 grams powder predicted to be ~75 psi instantaneous pressure
 - Did not hear ignition go off and no movement of parachute
 - .4 grams powder predicted to be ~248 psi instantaneous pressure
 - Parachute left housing, video recorded, burnt parachute
 - From video, velocity calculated to be 3.29 4.83 m/s
- What This Means:
 - Parachute will not cause REPTAR to go over 40 G's
 - Need to conduct black powder test with fiberglass housing
 - 0.40 grams should be sufficient to expel parachute from housing
 - 99% confidence parachute will not cause REPTAR to go over 40 G's



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Black Powder Tests With PVC Housing

- PVC Housing with ejection canister inside
- Parachute shock chord attached to U-bolt
- Parachute folded inside of housing with recovery wadding between it and ejection canister
- Aluminum foil with small perforation in middle and taped down
- Canister attached to power supply with 12V and 5A
- Results:
 - .1 grams powder predicted to be ~75 psi instantaneous pressure
 - Did not hear ignition go off and no movement of parachute
 - .4 grams powder predicted to be ~248 psi instantaneous pressure
 - Parachute left housing, video recorded, burnt parachute
 - Could lower grams and probably still deploy



REPTAR

Raytheon

Black Powder Test Checklist



Raytheon

- 1. Fill canister with 0.4g black powder and place recovery wadding inside
- 2. Wipe down inside with wet rag
- 3. Dry inside with dry towel
- 4. Light sanding of inside with 600 grit
- 5. Lube inside with Aerokroil
- 6. Place ejection canister
- 7. Feed parachute through bottom and connect to u-bolt
- 8. Screw housing to wood and place in vise facing 180 degrees
- 9. Tape holes on back of housing with electrical tape
- 10. 2.5 sheets of recovery wadding placed over ejection canister
- 11. Lube up sides of parachute

12. Twisting parachute fold and fit inside housing, push down to make sure parachute is flush with housing surface

- 13. Connect power lines to canister lines and check resistance on other side
- 14. Connect other side to power supply and turn on power for ignition



10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Drop Test

- Lines placed across ECOT to give measurements of distance
- High speed camera set up with two other team members filming
- Caution tape placed to cut off courtyard from bystanders
- Parachute was as inflated as possible before drop
- Dropped from 8th story window instead of 3rd due to difficulties
- Anemometer on ground gave maximum reading of 2.2 mph
- Drift model stated 2.2 mph would provide 4 meter maximum drift
- Trouble communicating and ensuring safety of bystanders
- Once dropped, accelerated to wind speed and moved away from tower
- Minimal good data was acquired



REPTAR





CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Aluminum Plate Load Test Explanation

• Model:

- MATLAB simulation to determine G-loading
- Solidworks to compare deformation
- Purpose:
 - Show aluminum plate withstands impulse from parachute inflation
 - Verify model and simulations





10/3/17



REPTAR

Aluminum Plate Load Test



Raytheon

- Aluminum plate will be attached to railings of CubeSat
- U-bolt will be placed in center and screwed into plate
- Shock chord of parachute will be attached to U-bolt
- When parachute deploys and becomes taut it will cause approximately 40 G's or 353 lbs of force on the aluminum plate
- Test is to ensure plate will not fracture/buckle
- Plate with railings will be suspended upside down
- A chain will be attached to U-bolt and other end will hold a ten pound plate
- Chain will be 1 meter in length
- Ten pound plate will be held against aluminum plate and dropped vertically
- If aluminum plate breaks it will need to be reinforced. If not test is a success



Load Test: Measurements



Raytheon

• What and how well?

- Deformation: mm to two decimal places
- Drop Height: in to nearest 1/16th
- Mass of weight: kg to nearest g
- How?
 - Distance between straight edge going across plate to top of deformation with caliper
 - Tape measure in projects work shop
 - Scale from composites lab



10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Aluminum Plate Load Test SolidWorks

Model name:centerPlate_2cmThick Study name:Static 1(-Default-) Plot type: Static displacement Displacement1 Deformation scale: 3.46459

48



SOLIDWORKS Educational Product. For Instructional Use Only.

48 G's Impulse 2" thick plate - 2.84 mm Displacement 48 G's Impulse 4" thick plate - 2.15 mm Displacement

SOLIDWORKS Educational Product. For Instructional Use Only.



REPTAR

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Aluminum Plate Load Test

• Objective:

- Determine deformation of aluminum plate due to instantaneous force from parachute becoming taut
- Measurement Sought:
 - Deformation in mm to two decimal places
- Expected Value:
 - From SolidWorks simulations, deformation is 2.80mm
- How it Reduces Risk:
 - Ensures aluminum plate and/or U-bolt does not fracture
 - Minimizes deformation that could affect antennas



10/3/17

REPTAR

Raytheon

Aluminum Plate Load Test

Raytheon

REPTAR

Results:

50

- Measured deformation to be 2.43mm
- Error could exist in method of measuring due to human error
- Error from caliper used
- What This Means:
 - Increased thickness to minimize deformation
 - Antennas have chance of being slightly angled
 - Aluminum plate will not fracture and parachute lost
 - 99% confidence aluminum plate and/or U-bolt will fracture



Landing Backup

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR MSR

10/3/17

Foam Leg Drop Test

• Objective

- To achieve landing velocities to determine crushing characteristics of a one leg setup as well as G-loading in a one leg scenario at a 5.7 m/s landing velocity
- Requirement DR 3.2 The payload shall not experience instantaneous loading exceeding 40 G's
- Measurement Sought
 - Deformation of foam leg in the compressive direction as well as G-Load upon impact
- Expected value
 - Expected to deform for validation of ERG Aerospace's projected value of 70%+ compression
 - G-Loading of 11.8 G's
- How it reduces risk

52

 Provides verification of design to reduce G-loading below the 40 G limit requirement

10/3/17

8 cm





Raytheon



Foam Leg Drop Test

REPTAR

Raytheon

Results

- Dropped at 1.66 m ± 0.05 m
- Initial Leg Length: 8.00 ± 0.05 cm
- Initial Leg Width: 1.80 ± 0.05 cm
- Final Leg Length: 2.35 ± 0.05 cm
- Final Leg Width: 3.60 ± 0.05 cm
- G-Loading experienced: 29.2 G's
- What this means for our project



- This shows that the assumption of a 65% deformation during calculations for areas and lengths required for the leg design can be upped to 70% deformation following the validation of ERG Aerospace's claim
- 98% due to the performance meeting expectations of foam, and increasing area would lower G-Loading experienced

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Foam Leg Drop Test



Raytheon

- Using kinematics the proper height to drop was found
 - V = V_0 + at = 0 + 9.81t
 - X = X_0 + V_0t + ½at^2
 - V = 5.7 m/s
 - Solving for X the leg must drop 1.66 m to achieve the proper impact velocity



10/3/17

Leg Locking Testing

• Objective

- To determine the point of breakage for the leg locking mechanism
- Requirement DR 3.2 The payload shall not experience instantaneous loading exceeding 40 G's
- Measurement Sought
 - Amount of torque held by locking mechanism housing walls
- How it reduces risk

55

 By verifying the load required to break, the design can be altered to not allow rotation upon landing following the locking of the mechanism









Leg Locking Testing



REPTAR

Results

- The setup broke following a 0.6 kg load applied at the end of a 16.5 cm vice grip equating to a 0.165 N load
- What this means for our project
 - This caused a redesign to involve a thicker housing wall and a screw-in setup for the housing walls
 - By changing the design it upped the confidence to 99%



10/3/17

Leg Deployment Testing

REPTAR

Objective

- To achieve reliability numbers for deployment of the aluminum foam legs
- Requirement DR 3.2 The payload shall not experience instantaneous loading exceeding 40 G's
- Measurement Sought
 - Number of successful deployments in both no-wind and 6 m/s wind scenarios
- Expected value
 - 95% deployment success rate
- How it reduces risk
 - Indicates the reliability of these deployments following extensive testing for their on mission deployment probability



10/3/17



Leg Deployment Testing



REPTAR

Results

- The setup was tested 40 times in both the no-wind and 6 m/s wind scenarios and successfully deployed in all cases
- What this means for our project
 - This verified the design of utilizing torsion springs and epoxy for deployment purposes while the legs are attached to a slotted pin
 - This result provided a confidence level of 99%



10/3/17

Side Panel Deployment Testing

REPTAR

Raytheon

- Objective
 - To achieve reliability numbers for deployment of the machined aluminum side panels
 - Requirement DR 3.2 The payload shall not experience instantaneous loading exceeding 40 G's
- Measurement Sought
 - Number of successful deployments in both no-wind and 6 m/s wind scenarios
- Expected value
 - 95% deployment success rate
- How it reduces risk
 - Indicates the reliability of these deployments following extensive testing for their on mission deployment probability





10/3/17



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR MSR

Side Panel Deployment Testing

Raytheon

REPTAR

Results

- The setup was tested 40 times in both the no-wind and 6 m/s wind scenarios and successfully deployed in all cases
- What this means for our project
 - This verified the design of utilizing torsion springs and epoxy for deployment purposes while the side panels are attached with steel pins
 - This result provided a confidence level of 99%



10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

Avionics Backup

Altitude Determination Algorithm



REPTAR



62

EGSE Testing Components:

- Altimeter Breakout Board
- Rasberry Pi 3 EGSE

Flight Testing Components:

- MainBoard
- Raspberry Pi 3 EGSE (if MSP430FR drivers fail)



Deployment Algorithm



Raytheon



EGSE Testing Components:

• N/A

Flight Testing Components:

- MainBoard
- EGSE (if MSP430FR drivers fail)



ocation Determination/Transmission Algorithm REPTAR



EGSE Testing Components:

- Iridium RockBlock
- Venus GPS
- Rasbperry Pi 3 EGSE

Flight Testing Components:

• MainBoard

10/3/17

 Rasberry Pi 3 EGSE (if MSP430FR drivers fail)



Raytheon

3V3 Internal Regulator



Raytheon



65

Responsible For:

 Providing power to GPS, Iridium, and MSP430FR

Hardware Tests:

- Verify Absolute Voltage Accuracy (+-5%)
- Verify Voltage Ripple (<300mV)
- Verify Current Draw (2A Max)

Software Tests:

• N/A

Off-ramps:

Hardware: COTS Dev. Board



CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR MSR

<u>Altimeter</u>



Raytheon



Responsible For:

Altitude Determination with MSP430FR

Hardware Tests:

- Altimeter Correctly Mounted
- Verify Altimeter Accuracy via comparison to known standard barometer

Software Tests:

- Verify I2C Interface
- Verify Altitude Calculation (MSP430FR)

10/3/17

• Flight Test

Off-ramps:

- Hardware: COTS Dev. Board
- Software: Raspberry Pi 2 EGSE



GPS-Iridium Interface

REPTAR

Raytheon



Black Powder Trigger

REPTAR

Raytheon



Responsible For:

Parachute Deployment

Hardware Tests:

- Power Sensor Verification via known Standard
- Trigger Logic Verification
- 12V Regulator Power Verification

Software Tests:

Trigger logic Verification

Off-ramps:

Raspberry Pi 3 EGSE



Kanthal Coil Triggers



Raytheon



Responsible For:

Side and Bottom Panel Deployment

Critical Hardware Tests:

- Power Sensor Verification via known Standard
- Trigger Logic Verification
- 3V3 Regulator Power Verification

Critical Software Tests:

Trigger logic Verification

Off-ramps:

• Raspberry Pi 3 EGSE



Avionics Design Changes



Raytheon

Trimmed down complexity of Main Board Revision A



10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR MSR

Avionics Development Approach



REPTAR

- Separate Hardware and Software Testing
- Make all testable components independently testable
- Provide as many proven offramps as reasonably possible
- EGSE can interface the Raspberry Pi 2 to all Components
- Raspberry Pi 3 is first step for software testing always
- Extensive Design work on Mainboard to separate testable elements



Manufacturing Summary



Raytheon

Work Completed:

 Revision A designed and (ordered/received/not ordered)

Future Work:

- (Solder any additional components?)
- Validate Revision A and decide if a Revision B is necessary
- Continue down Test Paths for subsystem validation
- Integrate Main Board in REPTAR structure

10/3/17


Descent		Landing			Avionics			
Key Items Bought	Price	Key Items	Bought	P	rice	Key l	tems Bought	Price
Parachute x2	\$388	Side Pa	inels	\$	293		Rev A	\$76
Black Powder	\$30	Aluminur	n Legs	\$	606	Alti	meter Break	\$39
Ejection Canisters	\$108	Sprin	gs	ć	534	Batte	ery Recharger	\$123
Fiberglass Tube	\$77	Pins	5	ć	592	Iridi	um Antenna	\$33
Fiberglass Cloth	\$44	Aluminun	n Foam	ć	592	Ra	spberry Pi3	\$40
Fiberglass Sheets	\$59	Еро	ку	ç	594	Ven	us GPS SMA	\$50
Ероху	\$112	Alumir	num	\$	166	F	RockBlock	\$294
Other	\$134	Othe	er	\$6	7.15		Other	\$951.45
Key Numbers		Key Nur	nbers			Ke	y Numbers	
Estimated Cost	\$897.00	Estimate	d Cost	\$1,4	44.15	Est	mated Cost	\$1,087.90
Total Cost	\$951.90	Total C	Cost	\$1,4	18.93	٦	fotal Cost	\$1,606.45
Under/Over	-\$54.90	Under/	Over	\$2	5.22	U	nder/Over	-\$518.55
	stimated Cost To	tal Cost Drop		Test Under/O		ver Margin		
	\$3,429.05 \$3	3,977.38	\$400	.00	-\$948.2	23	\$622.62	

In-plane measurements

Raytheon

Quantity	How	Why			
Coordinates and time of the point REPTAR is dropped	Drop a pin using a map app	To calculate final drift, assist recovery			
Indicated airspeed	Plane instruments	To validate wind aloft model and predict drift			
Outside Air Temperature	OAT Gauge	To calculate True Airspeed			
Pressure Altitude	Plane altimeter	To calculate True Airspeed			
Ground speed	GPS	Compare with True Airspeed for Winds Vector Calculation			
Ground track vs heading	Magnetic compass	To validate wind aloft model and predict drift			

74





CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

10/3/17

Expected Drift Model

Raytheon





10/3/17

CU AES Senior Projects 2016-2017 : REPTAR TRR

75

Drift Zone and Recovery

REPTAR



