





SPRING FINAL REVIEW



ALEX BERTMAN, JAKE HARRELL, TRISTAN ISAACS, ALEX JOHNSON, MATTHEW MCKERNAN, T.R. MITCHELL, NICHOLAS MOORE, JAMES NGUYEN, MATTHEW ROBAK, LUCAS SORENSEN, NICHOLAS TAYLOR







- Project Purpose and Objectives
- Design Description
- Test Overview & Results
- Systems Engineering
- Project Management





3



Project purpose and Objectives





Project description



Design, build, and test a system to facilitate starting a JetCat P90-SXi jet engine at a temperature of -50°F by:

- Controlling the temperature and mass flow rate of the fuel into the engine
- Ensuring that the engine electronics are within their operating temperature range
- Ensuring that the heating system has sufficient power to heat the fuel delivery system and engine electronics

Motivation:

- Air Force Research Lab (AFRL) conference
- Proof of concept for high-altitude (cold-temperature) restart for jet-powered UAS





COURSE PROJECT OBJECTIVES



| | Fuel Delivery System (FDS) | Electronics Heating | Startup Time | AFRL Conference |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| Level 1 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 30°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 30°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 3 hours. | |
| Level 2 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 40°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 40°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 1.5 hours. | |
| Level 3 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 50°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 50°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 8 m 42 s | |
| Level 4 | | | | Entire system will be integrated with engine and successfully start within 3 hours. |



Project conops

Cold Soak at

-50°F for 1 hr





2

Initiate Start-up

Heaters



3

Flow





CPE 1: Temperature of main heater battery must be > 30°F and < 122°F

CPE 2: ECU, ESB, and engine battery temperatures must be > 60°F while < 122°F for the battery and < 150°F for the ECU and ESB

CPE 3: Temperature of fuel in fuel delivery system must be > 60°F and < 115°F

CPE 4: A Heating Control Unit (HCU) must control the mass flow rate of fuel and the temperature of the heating systems





FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS



- **FR 1.0) ENERGY:** An initial energy source shall provide adequate power for the fuel delivery system heating and electronics heating.
- FR 2.1) FDS: The Fuel Delivery System shall provide a specified fuel flow rate from 0 to 4.8 g/s ± 0.13 g/s to the engine.
- FR 2.2) FDS: The Fuel Delivery System shall provide fuel at a specified temperature from 60 to 110°F ± 3.6°F to the engine.
- **FR 3.0)** Electronics Heating: The electronics (ECU, ESB, batteries) shall be heated to within their operating temperature range of 60°F to 122°F.
- **FR 4.1) HCU:** The Heating Control Unit (HCU) shall monitor and regulate the temperature of the electronic components and fuel delivery heating systems.
- **FR 4.2) HCU:** The Heating Control Unit (HCU) shall monitor and regulate the mass flow rate of the fuel delivery system.





9



Design Description





CHANGES SINCE TRR



- Fuel Pump Motor Driver and Fly-back Diode
 - RC filter which converts the PWM power to the fuel pump into a smooth and constant voltage
 - This prevents high voltage from being received by the fuel pump.







FUNCTIONAL BLOCK DIAGRAM







Process FLOW DIagram









Baseline Design



Major Subsystems:

- **Power Source:** Cryogel insulated battery
- **Fuel Delivery System:** Resistive heating wire wrapped around fuel delivery components insulated in Cryogel
- **Electronics Heating:** Resistive heating within manufactured plastic box, ESB heated by power resistors in engine cowling
- Heating Control Unit (HCU): Microcontroller powered by cold temperature batteries, controls temperatures and fuel flow rate



Electronics Box





Baseline Design - FDS

Fuel Delivery System Components:

- Fuel Hopper (Heated via power resistors inside, resistive wire outside)
- Fuel Pump
- Fuel Lines (Heated via resistive wire)
- Flow Meter
- Fuel Filter

Heated FDS Components:

- Fuel Hopper:
 - Exterior wrapped in resistive wire: **10 watts**
 - Interior contains power resistors: 40 watts
- Fuel Lines:
 - Wrapped in resistive wire and Aerogel





Baseline Design - Electronics Heating



Resistive Heating Elements:

- Resistive Wire:
 - Used for the LiPo Batteries, Exterior of ECU
 - Wire will be wrapped around electronic components & batteries as shown on right
- Power Block Resistors:
 - Used for ESB

Design Concerns/Mitigation Strategies:

- Induced magnetism from wire coils could affect electronics and batteries
 - V shaped wrap pattern eliminates wire coils around electronic components
- Little room inside fuel hopper to place resistors
 - Bulky fuel filter within hopper removed, replaced with inlet at bottom of hopper





Heating control unit: FBD







17



Test overview & Results



tests summary







Test overview & Results



COMPONENT TESTS MOTOR DRIVER TEST FULL INTEGRATION TESTS

Design

Description





Project Purpose Test Overview & Results

rview Sy Ilts Eng

Systems Project Engineering Management





component tests overview



Purpose:

- Heat components from room temperature to a desired temperature
 - Components: ECU, ESB, Battery, FDS
- Intended to prove functionality of the heating circuit around each component as well as HCU control
 - This was done at room temperature to ensure functionality before placing the system in the box

Plan:

• Use HCU, main heating LiPo battery, and resistive wire to heat the component

Expectation:

- Desired temperature is reached
- HCU turns off MOSFET when desired temperature is reached















component tests: Engine sensor board

Test Setup:



| Absolute Erro | or to Target: |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| ESB: | -20.4 sec |
| <u>Relative Erro</u> | o <u>r to Target:</u> |
| ESB: | -26.09% |













COMPONENT TESTS: FDS







TEST OVERVIEW & RESULTS



COMPONENT TESTS MOTOR DRIVER TEST FULL INTEGRATION TESTS



Project Purpose

Design Description

Test Overview & Results

Systems Project Engineering Management





MOTOR DRIVER TEST



Purpose:

• Ensure that the voltage received by the fuel pump would not exceed its rated voltage (6V)

Plan:

- Power motor driver with the LiPo battery
- Connect a representative power resistor load in place of the pump
- Control the duty cycle using the HCU

Expectation:

- The voltage will reach steady state
- Date Completed:
 - 4/18/2018







MOTOR DRIVER RESULTS



Physical Parameters

- 1 KHz Duty, 80% duty cycle, 22.2 Vin
- Tests conducted with power resistors

Voltage received by pump will be reduced, therefore pump can be safely operated





Test overview & Results



COMPONENT TESTS MOTOR DRIVER TEST FULL INTEGRATION TESTS





Design Description Test Overview & Results

Systems Engineering







ROOM TEMPERATURE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION TEST RESULTS



Purpose:

 Ensure each component was brought up to target temperatures simultaneously and then fuel will autonomously begin to flow.

Plan:

- 1. Begin code without heater power
- 2. Ensure proper temperature functionality
- 3. Connect heater power
- 4. Measure temperature using TMP's and outputted value from debugger.
- 5. Save values of flow rate after completion of pumping mode

Expectation:

- All components will heat up to target temperatures within time predicted by model.
- Fuel flow rate will be 4.8 g/s ± 0.13g/s







ROOM TEMPERATURE PUMP RESULTS



Results:

- Flow rate within acceptable bounds for 71.4% of the time pump was running
- **Gold** lines denote the tolerance to be confident **F.R. 2.1** has been satisfied
 - This assumes worst case behavior with the error on the sensor.





FULL INTEGRATION TEST CHAMBER



 Due to limitations gaining access to on-campus thermal chambers, the team created a custom test chamber to simulate the thermal conditions seen at 30,000 ft (-50°F ± 5°F)

Foam cooler consisting of:

- 1. Dry ice blocks
- 2. 2 temperature control fans
- 3. 1 circulation fan
- 4. National Instruments DAQ
- 5. 4 type K thermocouples
- 6. Wiring for fan power
- 7. Arduino MEGA







FULL INTEGRATION TEST PLAN SUMMARY





Place the electronics box and engine in test chamber with 20 lbs of dry ice Insert the support cage over electronics box and attach thermocouples for DAQ and Arduino controller Close the cooler and cold soak for 1 hour at -50 \pm 5°F

Initiate heating procedure and monitor for fuel flow out of electronics box at 4.8±0.13 g/s





TEST CHAMBER VERIFICATION RESULTS



Test Chamber Results:

- All temperature readings within acceptable range (-50 +/- 5°F)
- Improvement over results shown at TRR (two thermocouples showed standard deviation outside acceptable range)
- Functionality of dry ice test chamber verified







COOLING MODEL VALIDATION



ЬГ





INTEGRATION TEST RESULTS



Results:

- All temperatures were set to 60°F
- Convergence to within **1.09°F** from target:
 - Hopper
 - $\circ \quad \ \ \text{Fuel Line 1}$
 - Fuel Line 2
 - ESB

Problems/Solutions:

- ECU warming can be increased with duty cycle
- LiPo circuit connection was broken
 - Weak solder joints
 - Banana plug not completely plugged in
 - Subsequent tests confirm the LiPo heating circuit is still operational
- FR 1.0, 2.2, and 4.1 satisfied
- FR 2.2 not satisfied





FULL INTEGRATION TEST MODELS



| Component | Target Temp | Model Time |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Lipo | 70°F | 3.6 min |
| Hopper | 70°F | 3.4 min |
| Fuel Line 1 | 70°F | 5.8 min |
| ECU | 70°F | 7.2 min |
| Fuel Line 2 | 70°F | 3 min |
| ESB | 70°F | 4.95 min |

Direct comparison cannot be made between the model and integration test due to starting temperatures




FULL INTEGRATION TEST MODELS COMPARISON



| Component | Acceptable Range | Test Results (Max.) |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Battery | 30 - 122 °F | 46.82 °F |
| ECU | 60 - 120 °F | 46.82 °F |
| ESB | 60 - 120 °F | 66.19 °F |
| Fuel Line 1 | 60 - 115 °F | 63.13 °F |
| Fuel Line 2 | 60- 115 °F | 61.10°F |
| Inside Fuel Hopper | 60 - 115 °F | 63.13 °F |

All test results were achieved approximately **3-4 minutes** after system start; well below the required **8 minutes and 42 seconds**

Full Int. Test 4/19/18: Temperatures During Mode 0





FULL INTEGRATION PUMP RESULTS (COLD TEMPERATURE)



Results:

- Flow rate within acceptable bounds for 71% of the time the pump was running
- **Gold** lines denote the tolerance to be confident **F.R. 2.1** has been satisfied
 - This assumes worst case behavior with the error on the sensor.
- FR 2.1 and 4.2 satisfied







39



SYSTEMS ENGINEERING





SYSTEMS ENGINEERING APPROACH







RISK ASSESSMENT



| Risk | Mitigation | Outcome |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 1: Fuel Line Melting | Testing for safe temperatures and conservative control law | Wrong length of resistor wire used, future testing performed at room temperature first for easier system access |
| 2: Heating Wire Short Circuit | No mitigation strategy | Wire around ECU shorted, subsequently insulated with electrical tape |
| 3: Jet Fuel Ignition | Keep fire extinguisher ready, examine connections before each test | Safety procedures followed, no issues occurred |
| 4: Fuel Pump Failure | Unanticipated, no mitigation strategy | RC circuit developed to mitigate risk |

Severity

| | Minimal | Minor | Major | Hazardous | Catastrophic | | | |
|----------------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----------------|---|
| Near Certainty | | | | | | | Unacceptable | |
| Very Likely | | | | | | | Acceptable | |
| Likely | | 1 | 2,4 | | | | with mitigation | |
| Unlikely | | | | 3 | | | Acceptable | |
| Very Unlikely | | | | | | | | 6 |
| 11 | | | | | | í l | | |



UNANTICIPATED RISKS



• Pump failure due to over-voltage

- Impact: Pump irreparably damaged, loss of fuel flow capabilities
- Mitigation: Use of Fuel Pump Motor Drive and Fly-back Diode
- Fuel line overheating more likely than expected
 - Impact: Loss of fuel line, failure of first partial integration test
 - Mitigation: Rewrapping fuel line, testing fuel delivery system heating outside of test chamber initially





CHALLENGES FACED & SUCCESSES



Challenges Faced:

- Project scope changes
 - Difficult to maintain consistency in functional objectives and design requirements
- Change in point of contact with customer midway through first semester
- Lack of clarity in initial requirements from customer
 - Difficult to develop functional objectives and design requirements, perform trade studies
- Labor distribution on large, specialized tasks

Successes

- Initial Scope Change
- Good decisions after trade studies conducted
- Testing Schedule emphasized progression from component verification to subsystem verification to system verification





Lessons Learned



- Communicate with customer early and often to clarify requirements
- If scope must change, revisit and revise functional and design requirements
- Maintain a focus on project context: even if the engine is out of the project scope, it can't be ignored from a systems engineering perspective
- All members on the team should have testing software on their computers so that the testing work can be split more equally among team members.
- Establish and maintain a naming convention for data and documentation





45



Project Management





Management Approach



- Bi-weekly full team meetings
 - Additional full team meetings organized as needed
 - Subsystem meetings were self-organized
- List of agenda items developed for each meeting
- High-level schedule was developed and maintained for spring semester
 - Outlined major subsystem tasks
 - Minor tasks were left to subsystem teams to schedule
- Provided extensive margin on tasks but an aggressive testing schedule
 - \circ Assumed problems would be encountered in testing, which did occur







- Maintaining strong lines of communication with all team members is important
- Allocate more resources to testing
- Testing will always take far longer than expected
- How to manage conflict between team members
 - Dodging issues is never effective
 - Issues must be discussed openly in a professional setting
 - Members must discuss issues openly with each other in order to come to a solution
 - Having a neutral moderator during discussions helps avoid escalation of the conflict
- Distribute work more evenly among team members to eliminate single points of failure





BUDGET COMPARISON









ESTIMATED INDUSTRY COST

| Hourly Salary | \$31.25 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Total Team Hours Fall | 2359 hrs |
| Total Team Salary Fall | \$73,718.75 |
| Total Team Hours Spring | 2744 hrs |
| Total Team Salary Spring | \$85,750 |
| Total Salary Without Overhead | \$159,468.75 |
| Total Salary With Overhead | \$478,406.25 |
| Materials Cost (Budget) | \$4,229 |
| Total Cost | \$482,635.25 |

- Based on \$65,000 a year average salary for 2080 hours of work
- Overhead rate is 200%





COURSE PROJECT OBJECTIVES



| - | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| | Fuel Delivery System (FDS) | Electronics Heating | Startup Time | AFRL Conference |
| Level 1 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 30°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 30°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 3 hours. | |
| Level 2 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 40°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 40°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 1.5 hours. | |
| Level 3 | System will control mass flow rate & temperature of fuel when placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 50°F. | The electronics will be heated to 60°F after being placed in an environment cold-soaked to - 50°F. | The fuel delivery and electronics heating systems objectives will be completed in less than 8 m 42 s | |
| Level 4 | | | | Entire system will be integrated with engine and successfully start within 3 hours. |



summary



- LiPo battery provided sufficient power for the heating systems and insulation prevented it from being damaged by cold temperatures
 - FR 1.0 satisfied
- Control of the mass flow rate of the fuel with the HCU was successful after several pumps were damaged
 - FR 2.1, 4.2 satisfied
- HCU successfully activated heating circuits and controlled temperature
 - FR 4.1 satisfied
- Component heating was proven functional but not all components reached their desired temperatures in a single test
 - FR 2.2, 3.0 not satisfied yet
- The team anticipates meeting all functional requirements before PFR
- Test chamber was functional and effectively fulfilled its purpose in the project





FUTURE WORK



- Additional full integration tests in test chamber to attempt to satisfy all functional requirements
- Preparation for AFRL conference on May 21st
- Create custom ECU to directly manage the startup of the jet engine





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



- Dr. Donna Gerren
- Matt Rhode
- Trudy Schwartz
- Bobby Hodgkinson
- Tim May
- Lee Huynh
- Tim Kiley
- Lt. Carol Bryant
- Air Force Research Laboratory







QUESTIONS?











BACKUP SLIDES







Project Purpose & Description

Design Changes

• Changes Since TRR

Design Description

- Baseline Design
- Heating Control Unit
- Backup Slides

Testing

- Tests Summary
- Engine Control Unit Test
- <u>Battery Test</u>
- FDS Test
- Motor Driver Test
- Room Temperature Integration Test

- <u>Test Chamber Verification Test</u>
- <u>Cold Temperature Integration Test Full</u> Integration Model Comparison
- Backup Slides

Systems Engineering

- Systems Engineering Approach
- Backup Slides

Project Management

<u>Software</u>

More Models







Design Description





Baseline Design - Power source



Main Heater LiPo Battery

- 5000 mAh, 22.2 V, 60C LiPo Battery
- For 30min of discharge, this battery can provide 222 W

| Electronics Housing Power | 89.45 W |
|------------------------------|---------|
| ESB Power | 20 W |
| Pump Actuation | 9 W |
| Total Power Budget | 118.45 |





POWER RESISTORS FOR ESB



- Caddock 15W 2Ω power resistors to heat ESB (x20)
 - These have temperature ranges of -67 to 482°F
- These will be mounted to the underside of the ESB using Cyanoacrylate glue





Lengths of Resistor Wire



- 18.9 ft (0.88Ω/ft) for both LiPo batteries
- 3.9 ft (3.17 Ω/ft) for the ECU
- 13.8 ft (3.17 Ω /ft) for outside the hopper
- 0.8 ft (3.17 Ω /ft) for Fuel line section 1
- 2.4 ft (3.17 Ω /ft) for Fuel line section 2





Baseline Design - Hcu



Main Components:

- ATmega32L Microcontroller
- TMP36 Analog Temperature Sensor
 - Monitors all heated components
- Heaters
 - Controlled with feedback from TMP36s via PWM signals generated by ATmega
- Fuel Pump
 - Controlled with feedback from flowmeter







62



Manufacturing





Wire wrapping



- Need to prevent wire wrapping from being an electromagnet.
 - Could disrupt the circuitry in LiPo battery and ECU
 - This configuration produces destructive B-fields.
 - Fuel lines do not have this concern so they will be wrapped in the more traditional sense.





Insulation



- Wrapping Method of Aerogel Insulation
 - Pieces Cut to Fit Faces of Each Component
 - Proper Measurements/Cuts Minimize Thermal Gaps
 - Pieces Secured Around Component with Thread
 - Ensures Insulative Properties are Uniformly Maintained
 - Saran Wrap Around Exterior of Insulation
 - Prevents dust from getting on essential electrical components
 - Small pieces of clear tape secures the saran wrap in place







65



software





HCU SOFTWARE OVERVIEW



HCU PROGRAM FLOWCHART Nick Moore | January 31, 2018 Program Initial() TempConversion() Main Flow meter pulses, pum less the i = 0 Initial() duty cycle, and allowable egisters f Digital I/O Ports error for pulses Configure ADC: 62.5 Wait unth Return Enable global kHz (50-200 kHz), left Start ADC nvers justified, set enable TempConversion() inerrupts Conversion is bit complet Wait Set up Timeri (i6 bit) Save onvert bin Turn on heaters and 250ms Is the opMode for overflow to array to set appropriate PWN interrupts and temperature heater channels 500ms Return Change ADC Channel Increment i tempHeaterHelper() flowMeter(tempHeaterHelper() flowMeter() Enable External Setup Timero to ulse_count run for 0.262 Interrupts 0 seconds, and Is i < 6 begin Legend Disable = Process Mara ar Wait for time External pulses be set Interrupts or orde INT₂ = Function Start oating Secu urn off the ulses receive pump and turn all 3 Return ulses Expecte = Function End Turn on LEDs on Turn off Heater/PWM Heater/PWM Yes = Delav/Wait Decrease Increase Duty Cycle Duty cycle Update list of completed Increment = Function Call heating Pulse_error (= Decision change_timers desired Make Toggle Fuel_LED Fuel_LED soli on Toggle Alive_LED Return



HCU: SOFTWARE









HCU: SOFTWARE





























HCU: SOFTWARE TEMP CONTROL







72



Test overview & Results




Test chamber verification



Purpose:

 Testing chamber must maintain homogenous cold soak environment ±5°F for 1 hour

Plan:

 Use DAQ + thermocouples to verify the operation of the Arduino control system at -50°F

Expectation:

 Results confirm that temperature inside the chamber can be held at -50 ±5°F
Cooler

Date Completed:

• 3/14/2018







FULL INTEGRATION TEST BACKGROUND



Purpose:

- Need to verify that the project's subsystems function and interact as expected at -50°F as a complete setup
- Simultaneously verify all Functional Requirements

Plan:

- Full electronics box placed in -50°F test chamber for one hour.
- System initialized, heating begins.
- Recorded temperatures via TMP's within debugger.
- Once fuel flow begins, measure fuel flow using in-line flow meter. Fuel will flow into a container (not the engine)

Expectation:

• System will successfully warm and maintain electronics and fuel delivery system at operational temperatures and supply fuel at desired flow rate and temperature.







Battery insulation test



Purpose:

- Confirm that, with insulation applied, the LiPo battery outputs its nominal voltage of 22.2 +/- 2.97 V after being cold soaked for 1 hour
- Verify the accuracy of the thermodynamic models
- Verify FR 1.0

Plan:

- Cold soak main heater and ECU battery with insulation for 1 hour at -50°F in chamber
- Record battery surface temperature

Expectations:

- Temperature readings that validate model **Date Scheduled:**
 - _/_/2018





BATTERY INSULATION AND LOAD TEST RESULTS



| Battery Voltage During Cold Soak | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|--|
| Main Heater Battery | 0 min | 30 min | 60 min | |
| | 22.4 V 22.5 V | | 22.53 V | |
| ECU Battery | 0 min | 30 min | 60 min | |
| | 9.74 V | 9.74 V | 9.75 V | |







Battery Load test

Purpose:

- Test Battery's capacity to heat all components in box after cold soak
- Voltage must not fall below 3.0V to avoid damage to cells
- Verify FR 1.0

Plan:

- Representative loads applied to circuit in place of each component
- Battery placed in cold soak thermal chamber for one hour
- Voltage monitored to verify 22.2V throughout warmup period.

Expectation:

- Battery voltage remains within acceptable range and provides adequate power to resistive loads
 Date Scheduled:
 - _/_/2018





RESISTIVE WIRE TEST RESULTS



| Resistive Wire Test Results: | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Trial 1 | | | |
| Before Cold Soak: | 22.4 V | | |
| After Cold Soak: | 21.2 V | | |
| Trial 2 | | | |
| Before Cold Soak: | 22.72 V | | |
| After Cold Soak: | 22.25 V | | |



















Load Voltage vs Time (DS = 40%) 4 6 Data Model 3.5 3 րիր առնել Lime [sec] 2.5 2 1.5 Time [sec] 3 1.5 2 0.5 0 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.1 0 0 Time [sec]







MOTOR DRIVER ADDITIONAL RESULTS











FULL INTEGRATION TEST RESULTS



Room Temperature Integration Test:

- All components reached desired temperatures
- Overshoot was caused by heat retention in components



Test Chamber Full Integration Test:

- Heater battery failed partway through test, thus some components did not heat up or stopped heating
- Battery has been recharged, further testing will be performed















FLOW METER CALIBRATION



Status:

- Completed Feb 25, 2018
- K-Factor determined to be 91,387, Datasheet claims 110,000



| 2.4 V Pump Voltage | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------------|------------|--|
| Trial | Code | Experiment | Trial | Code | Experiment | |
| 1 | 6.048 g/s | 6.050 g/s | 2 | 6.014 g/s | 5.643 g/s | |
| 3 | 5.946 g/s | 6.019 g/s | 4 | 6.014 g/s | 6.013 g/s | |
| Average | 6.006 g/s | 5.931 g/s | Error | 0.075 g/s | -0.021 g/s | |
| 1.6 V Pump Voltage | | | | | | |
| Trial | Code | Experiment | Trial | Code | Experiment | |
| 1 | 3.917 g/s | 3.931 g/s | 2 | 3.917 g/s | 3.932 g/s | |
| 3 | 3.849 g/s | 3.918 g/s | 4 | 3.849 g/s | 3.905 g/s | |
| Average | 3.883 g/s | 3.9215 g/s | Error | -0.039 g/s | | |







TEST CHAMBER FUNCTIONALITY CAD





Temperature sensor/ NOISE TEST OVERVIEW



Temperature Sensor/Noise Test

- Ensure the temperature sensor output are within allowable error (± 3.6°F)
- Verify FR 2.2 and 3.0
- Test Format:
 - Use the oscilloscope to measure the range of output voltage swings for a constant temperature, convert this to a temperature
 - Distance from Temperature Sensor to ADC initially set to ~2 ft, noise recorded on oscilloscope
- Result:
 - Completed on 2/28/2018
 - Noise generation minimized with introduction of Two 47 µF, 16V capacitors







SOFTWARE PROCESS TEST OVERVIEW

Software Process Test

- Ensure HCU can transition between the 3 modes of operation by stepping through code:
 - Warming
 - Pumping (and still warming)
 - Warming (fuel exhausted)
- Verify FR 4.1 & FR 4.2
- Result:
 - Completed on 2/8/2018 with no experienced bugs







88



SYSTEMS ENGINEERING





89

trade study summary



• Initial Energy

- Options: Chemical, mechanical, low temperature electronics
- Choice: Low temperature electronics
- Justification: Reliability, manufacturability

• Fuel Delivery System

- Options: Resistive heating, fuel additive, pressurized fuel
- Choice: Resistive heating
- Justification: Manufacturability, cost
- Electronics Heating System
 - Options: Conductive heating element, radiative ceramic resistors, fluid heating
 - Choice: Conductive heating element
 - Justification: Reliability, start-up time

Initial Energy Trade Study

| Factor | Weights | Chemical | Mechanical | Low-Temp Electronics |
|-------------------|---------|----------|------------|-------------------------|
| Reliability | 0.3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Manufacturability | 0.25 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Safety | 0.15 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Start-up Time | 0.15 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Team Experience | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Cost | 0.05 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 1 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.7 |







FR 1.0) ENERGY: An initial energy source shall provide adequate power for the fuel delivery system heating and electronics heating.

- **FR 1.0.1:** The initial energy source will provide the required power at -50°F
 - Motivation: At -50°F most batteries and electronic systems will not operate. Therefore a small amount of initial energy is required in order initiate the start up procedure.







FR 2.1) FDS: The Fuel Delivery System shall provide a specified fuel flow rate from 0 to $4.8 \text{ g/s} \pm 0.13 \text{ g/s}$ to the engine.

Motivation: The engines full throttle fuel flow rate is 4.8 g/s, so the system should be able to control the fuel flow rate up to that. The fuel flow meter can measure with an accuracy down to 0.13 g/s.

- **FR 2.1.1)** The fuel pump shall pump the appropriate amount of fuel given the voltage from the HCU
 - Motivation: A linear regression of data from a fuel pump test has been performed, giving the team an approximate conversion between voltage supplied to the fuel pump and the mass flow rate of fuel through the pump. The fuel pump should pump the appropriate amount of fuel for the specified rate given the correct voltage from the HCU
- **FR 2.1.2)** Resistive heating elements and insulation shall keep the fuel above its freezing point (- 40°F).
 - Motivation: Below -40°F, the fuel becomes very viscous and is difficult to pump at the desired rate.







FR 2.2) FDS: The Fuel Delivery System shall provide fuel at a specified temperature from 60 to $110^{\circ}F \pm 3.6^{\circ}F$ to the engine.

Motivation: Controlling the temperature of the fuel entering the engine could help facilitate cold engine restart by ensuring best conditions for ignition inside the test chamber

- **FR 2.2.1)** Insulation around the fuel lines shall keep that fuel above its freezing temperature (-40°F)
 - Motivation: Once the fuel inside the lines freezes, it takes more time and requires more energy to both melt the fuel and to get it to its specified temperature
- **FR 2.2.2)** Resistive heating elements inside and around the fuel delivery system shall provide sufficient heat to heat the fuel to its specified temperatures
 - Motivation: Once the HCU sends voltages to the heating elements, these elements must provide enough power to heat the fuel.







FR 3.0) Electronics Heating: The electronics (ECU, ESB, batteries) shall be heated to within their operating temperature range of 60°F to 122°F.

Motivation: To facilitate engine restart, the electronics should be working

- **FR 3.0.1)** Insulation shall keep essential, temperature sensitive electronics within their operational temperature range during the cold soak process.
 - Motivation: Certain temperature sensitive electronics could be damaged during the cold soak process if components are not protected by insulation.
- **FR 3.0.2)** Resistive heating elements shall provide sufficient power to heat electronics to within their operating temperature range.
 - Motivation: Once the HCU provides voltages to the resistive heating elements, these elements must provide enough power to heat the electronics to operational temperature.







FR 4.1) HCU: The Heating Control Unit (HCU) shall monitor and regulate the temperature of the electronic components and fuel delivery heating systems.

Motivation: In order to keep the electronics within their operating temperature range, the HCU must control the heating process.

- **FR 4.1.1)** The HCU shall monitor current electronics and fuel temperatures using temperature sensors.
 - Motivation: The HCU must be informed as to the current temperature of various electronic components and fuel in order to adjust its behavior accordingly.
- **FR 4.1.2)** The HCU shall provide the appropriate voltage to heating elements to regulate the temperature of components and fuel
 - Motivation: With the information from the temperature sensors, the HCU should modulate the power it provides to various heating elements in order to regulate the temperature of electronic components and fuel.







FR 4.2) HCU: The Heating Control Unit (HCU) shall monitor and regulate the mass flow rate of the fuel delivery heating system.

- **FR 4.1.1)** The HCU shall monitor the current fuel flow rate using a flow meter.
 - Motivation: The HCU must be informed as to the current fuel flow rate in order to adjust its behavior accordingly.
- **FR 4.1.2)** The HCU shall provide the appropriate voltage to the fuel pump to provide desired mass flow rate of fuel.
 - Motivation: With the information from the flow meter and the information from prior fuel pump tests, the HCU should modulate the power it supplies to the pump in order to regulate the mass flow rate of fuel.





RISK IDENTIFICATION



- 1. Risk of insulation failure to sufficiently protect the heating battery
- 2. HCU control law does not function as intended, leading to runaway temperature
- 3. Fuel line stoppage due to fuel freezing
- 4. Fuel line cracks due to low temperature
- 5. Fuel line melting due to high temperature resistor wire
- 6. Heating wire short circuit
- 7. Solidworks thermal models are not accurate, leading to colder than anticipated components
- 8. Accidental ignition of jet fuel







RISK ASSESSMENT TERMINOLOGY

| | Minimal | Minor | Major | Hazardous | Catastrophic |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Project Damage | None | None | Considerable component damage | Serious | Irreparable |
| Project Performance | Marginal Decrease | Substantial Decrease | Unsatisfactory for Requirements | Unsatisfactory for Requirements | Unsatisfactory for Requirements |
| Budget Impact | No Cost Increase | Minor Cost Increase | Substantial Cost Increase | Serious Cost Increase | Unrecoverable Cost Increase |
| Schedule Impact | None | Minor | Substantial | Serious | Unrecoverable |





Surface Temperatures After **1.5** minutes of Resistive Heating:

- Fuel Hopper: 80.48°F
- Fuel Lines: 111.03°F

For tests, measurements within +/- 3.6°F of the above values are acceptable









Surface Temperatures After 3.5 minutes of Resistive Heating:

• ECU: 110.1°F

For tests, measurements within +/- 3.6°F of the above values are acceptable







Surface Temperatures After 2.3 minutes of Resistive Heating:

• ESB: 98.73°F

For tests, measurements within +/- 3.6°F of the above values are acceptable







Surface Temperatures After **4.25 minutes** of Resistive Heating:

• Batteries: 100.31°F

For tests, measurements within +/- 3.6°F of the above values are acceptable. Additional models for all other components are given in the backup slides.







Battery Insulation test Model

