University Memorial Center Board Minutes  
Tuesday, April 14th, 2015  
UMC 245-5:30pm


I. Call to Order (5:35 pm)

II. Approvals
   I. Tuesday, April 7, 2015 Minutes
      i. Bundled and approved by acclimation
   II. Tuesday, April 14, 2015 Agenda
      i. Bundled and approved by acclimation

III. Open Hearing – (none)

IV. Chair’s Report
   a. Presentation by Lora Roberts on Food Services
      i. Juedon: I am the other Executive in the Student Affairs Division and we work on various issues that effects students on the administration level. Today we are here to talk about an issue that has been circulated for years now where we can increase student accessibility.
      ii. Presentation on putting the UMC Food service under HDS.
      iii. Joseph: I was wondering if there was a lot of student input.
           Juedon: We invited a lot of people to our discussions to gain unbiased student opinion.
      iv. Kelsey: What will happen to student cards, food trucks, and discounted food? Kambiz: It was be continued to be available.
           Kelsey: The Scholarship gets money from the services, are we going to continue at rate we are getting? Kambiz: We are not
taking anything away from the board. The next process is that we will have an MOU with things like this.

v. I know that a lot of students eat at the UMC as a change from HDS food. Are we going to ensure that the UMC food stays at the same caliber? Kambiz: HDS guarantees quality good. It is top 5 in the country for housing and dining food. The assumption is that Director will combine stuff. Kelsey: Price of food? Kambiz: It will be the same or lower. Kelsey: Will there continue to be open catering? Kambiz: It will be the same. HDS will essentially provide the same services or improve upon it. Kelsey: Currently the UMC provides cultural food. What will happen with this? Kambiz: HDS has been doing this for years.

vi. Austin: What is going to change and how? Kambiz: Staff, for most part, is the same. However, they will have more upward mobility. UMC Food service has 1/10th the staff of HDS. Kelsey: Will there be higher prices over the food? Kambiz: Our goal is to work to have no decline with food services. Hopefully we can provide munch money and housing and meal plans. Catering is different and will have resources later. Kelsey: Will there be a review contract phase? Did this go out to bid? Kambiz: This is an internal transition and as such will be a change that does not undergo a bid. Every quarter or so there will be input from students. Kelsey: I question not going through the approval process. I suggest that there is such a process for the UMC Board to consider the proposal. PC is not for this at all. I propose to take out to bid to see what happens.

vii. Lauren: Food service is large part of UMC, will the revenue be confiscated by HDS? Kambiz: It will be confiscated, however HDS is going to cover things like future renovation. Food service is supposed to be auxiliary and is self-funding and so process would be the same.
viii. Currently it seems that we give up ability to approve processes. Kambiz: Maybe there is not much experience with work in a new environment based on trust. We work with students as customers to be part of the change. UMC Board will be a part of it in the committee.

ix. Can you foresee any physical space for food service like offices? There is no need for that currently. Aaron: Will student groups retain discount? Kambiz: Yes.

x. Boneth: I know studies were done, where did the $10 million cost estimate come from? Carlos: It comes from estimates from 2010 renovations. It is a rough estimate for today’s dollars and will cost more the longer we wait. It addresses some of the systems. It is probably a reasonable amount to use.

xi. Did UMC specifically ask for these renovations? Lora: Part of it is the deferred maintenance. I’m not sure if it was specifically asked. Carlos: We were going to ask for it and was going to be part of proposal, but at some point the renovation must be done. This is long term thing for cost that will have to consider.

xii. How will this benefit students? Lora: They will greater accessibility for food. More freshman will come and be a part of the foot traffic that we have been discussing. Will HDS budget, based solely on room and room operations? It is auxiliary.

xiii. There is 6,600 residential students. Why pay for 30,000 plus students. Also why vendors are are parts of deal? Kambiz: It is for functionality where at all given times something is open and there is guaranteed cash flow since the investment in $10 million and will have return investment.

xiv. Boneth: Coming from E Center, working with food services and other areas, how would change affect sustainability and the implementation of the current bill? Kambiz: HDS spends more money on sustainable practices and is known for supporting
sustainability in the forefront. We are confident we can look around those areas. The goal for HDS is to be the most sustainable service in the country. The greenhouse we are building is an example of our commitment towards this sustainability. Boneth: If we passed the bill, will it translate to how HDS will do so? Leg. Council Rep: If Leg. Council passes, it will be not as a bill but as a proposal. Boneth: We are concerned that students are here for a select time. The key to the bill is follow up and that it will be maintained such as if I am gone where the commitment will be done in the future. Kylee: Are you familiar with the way HDS work? I am familiar with how sustainability was done without the passage of a bill. I think the bill has reasonable accommodations that HDS can support. Kambiz: Looking at HDS, looking at the time and support towards sustainability in all of the buildings, we are confident we can deal with the issues that come that are reasonable. We are committed to sustainability, in our goal.

xv. Chris: How much hands off area will there be in the UMC? Have we identified who’s responsible for which spaces? Kambiz: HDS responsibilities regard the food service and we need to work with those on the board. There will be commitment on getting feedback, which is necessary since our operations are based on that.

xvi. Jack: What are the pros of that with the midway contract where collaboration was not done instead? We would have no control. Kambiz: We purchased UMC food service so we can look at where we can make savings and adjustments. Jack: If we emphasize students first, could we find agreement so UMC can hold influence. Kambiz: What do you mean by influence? Jack: It feels we are giving up a chunk of our building. Kambiz: My understanding is that there is no loss of space since it will still be
there. It is more of the management. Food service is not a student fee operation. We are talking about revenue from events in the UMC. Maximum revenue produced is $30-60 million. We are working with UMC to bring others to come here, particularly since it has decreased slightly.

xvii. Aaron: Will there be corporate meal pans or will it be separate? Why did we not go through in 2013 to what Jack was saying where halfway collaboration between HDS and Food Services. Lora: It was not a good financial move for HDS. Aaron: If there is increased foot traffic, will there need to be an expansion. Lora: We might have to expand space to accommodate more people, particularly since we are short an entire wing. It’s a good problem since it will show that people use the space.

xviii. We used to have 5000 plus a day, what changed? There was a bus stop change, where it stopped directly in front of the UMC. There was also a change with the UMC front. When change happen? 2012 or 2011. Kylee: I think it was also influenced by the diversification of options. C4C was opened in 2010, which is an example of good options. When was shop open in Norlin Library? For a long time.

xix. Lora: I know there is an issue of autonomy and influence, it is part of not doing it in 2013. We realized that students don’t care who runs Alfred Packer and have focus more on the services. We looked that it was a favorable option for students so we chose more students options over power.

xx. Joseph: There was mentioned that there may not be experience with trust, and I just wanted to say that we are part of same family. I think it is hard to trust both partners where the board chair is asked to withhold information from the board. Will this go to Leg. Council with or without our approval. Is our opinion respected or are we just invited. Lora: We are not going to Leg.
Council until the Board makes the decision. If the board chooses no, we will go to Leg. Council. If ultimately both say no, that is the student voices. For transparency, it is complicated. Rumors spread like wildfire so it's part of the privilege as the board chair- we trying to respect people's jobs and the process. It is part of respect.

xxi. Joseph: We mentioned the inclusion of vendors, would this means that after recovery of investment the revenue will be given back to students. Kambiz: The change of vendors will go through the subcommittee. Boneth: Are we voting on it today? Lora: I think it is good to do 2 reading but can do tonight. Can we still discuss it? Can we move into discussion without doing a vote? Joseph: We can table it if need to think about next week.

xxii. Austin: How will student employees be affected? HDS alone is 450 students work, continue with that process. Kambiz: Food service will have similarities. HDS and UMC follow the same hiring process.

xxiii. Boneth: So the money HDS contribute will be money that they currently have? Eventually we need renovation but not necessarily right now. Could we take time to get specifics and everything? Kambiz: If we don’t act now, the funds will be used for something else.

xxiv. Lauren: I think we should leave some time to approve the scholarship so I recommend to table the discussion. Move to table after the UMC board discussion. Motion approved. Austin: So we are not to discuss it anymore tonight. Is there enough time to talk about next week? Joseph: The big thing next week is appeals. Jimmie: A fair amount of time is needed for appeals since there is a long list of student groups that won’t have a space. So anticipate there being a lot of appeals, but I feel that we should table till next week.
xxv. Logistics question: If we table and have healthy discussion and vote, will there be enough time for Leg. Council to vote on this.

Lora: We will have time to approve next week and we will bring this to Leg. Council next Thurs. We encourage you to talk to students involved. Take time to reach out to us. Discussed for weeks. All 6 or 7 of us agreed to do so. All 3 branches looked at and decided to do. For more questions, you can meet individually to discuss with Kambiz. Student Affairs supports this.

b. Space Allocations Committee Recommendation

i. Isra: You can see on the packet that there is a Plan A and a Plan B where Plan A is a recommendation with the best course of action under a regular semester while Plan B considers the change in the 3rd floor. The significant change is that Plan B shows more student groups that do not have office space. We came up with a sufficient method. You can note that a significant amount of groups did not reapply. We interviewed around 7-8 groups that had low performance. It was a learning experience, but only 1 group was retained in Plan B. If you are looking at this and have any questions, please feel free to ask right now.

ii. Carlos: Is B the final recommendation?

iii. Isra: Groups can appeal next group so if we decide that they qualify for space, they will be put into the ranking. About half of the groups are on the list since they did not do well. There is some however who were not doing poorly but were on the lower end of performance.

iv. Jimmie: We did talk about if there was an appeal that there would be a chance to place them in the ranking. We were discussing on how the Board must be pretty solid on what groups we will not offer space to so that there will not be multiple appeals. Emily and Austin took the steps to prioritize and recognize who should be in the group.
v. Emily: We would prefer not to revoke space after we told them they had a space.

vi. Boneth: How come CU Neuroscience was not approved space?
Emily: They wanted their own space and we currently do not have the capacity for it. Isra: We are going from 50 to around 30 offices spaces so the new student groups were not offered space. Jimmie: Keep in mind Stephanie’s and another office will be open for more room later on. If we want an open space, we will have to discuss this. Isra: Usually we leave an office space empty if there is conflict as a back-up plan. We did vote on this but given the move around of groups, we do not have an extra office space and will not have that luxury this next year. After this however, we should probably go back to that model. Jimmie: We offered CUCRC the smaller office space. Aaron: What does the L mean? Isra: Star means they turned in the app. L means that the application was late. If the application was late less than a week, we did not put them in the risk zone. If the application was late for more than a week, we asked them to come in.

vii. Carlos: Plan A gives a way to understand B.

viii. Motion to approve Plan B. Motion approved by acclimation.

ix. Isra: We did not assign office space yet but will after the appeals. Jimmie: We do actually have a draft for where the student groups could go.

x. Joseph: Thank you to committee for the hard work.

c. Vote on Scholarship Committee

i. There is for Directors and Schafer is for students. There was a total of 34 applications. It was very competitive but the committee had similar rankings. We decided to have 8 of each scholarship. The top one in each category will receive an extra $250 to the $1000 scholarship that had the best recommendation letter, essay, experience and added quality to
UMC. We will invite to them to meet the Board. Robin: We should invite them to the banquet, so they can eat with us. Motion to approve. Motion approved. Clarification to invite the winners to the banquet meeting instead of next week’s meeting. The winners for the Director scholarship are Ruby Baca, Savannah Ducharm, Emma Gardner, Anna Gostling, Briana Johnson, Juliet Jung, Anne Thomas, and the top application was Shalana Gray. The Schafer winners were Arthur Antoine, Nica Jacobson, Sue Sie Park, Brita Salzmann, Gabrielle Schneiderman, Stephanie Swartz, Andi Vicksman, and the top application was Naureen Singh.

d. Confirm Policy Committee
   i. Every other year we visit the UMC policies. We already have a couple of recommendations. We have a few but not many recommendations. Jimmie normally takes the lead, the committee meets and discusses, and then presents to the board. Please forward any recommendations to Joseph. We will call a meeting soon. Put Kylee on the list.

V. Director’s Report
   a. Papa John’s came in this morning with architects. There’s not going to be huge reconstruction but they will open up the counter for safety. They are working with Dominoes to purchase equipment. We may have more negotiations, but they will probably sign as is since they knew all the terms to begin with. We are working with Dominoes to exit on their own schedule. Papa John’s added $500 a year for the scholarship. Anyways, there is generally an increase in contract for scholarship funding.

VI. Reports are suspended.

Robert’s Rules
1. Motion (to approve...)
2. 2nd
3. Call to Question
4. Acclamation (all in favor)
5. Any Objections?