

P4410 LECTURE 16

Finishing up angular momentum eigenstuff:

Already found $\hat{L}_z |m\rangle = m\hbar |m\rangle$

Recall from last semester: $L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2$, $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_z] = 0$.

Define $\hat{L}_\pm = \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y$ so $\hat{L}_+\hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + i[\hat{L}_y, \hat{L}_x] = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + \hbar\hat{L}_z$

Now $L^2 = \hat{L}_+\hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_z^2 + \hbar\hat{L}_z$.

Consider $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] |m\rangle = \hat{L}_z \hat{L}_\pm |m\rangle - \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_z |m\rangle = \hbar \hat{L}_\pm |m\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \hat{L}_z^2 (\hat{L}_\pm |m\rangle) &= (\pm\hbar \hat{L}_\pm + \hat{L}_z^2) |m\rangle \\ &= \hat{L}_\pm (\pm\hbar + \hat{L}_z) |m\rangle \\ &= \hbar(\pm m) [\hat{L}_\pm |m\rangle] \end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{L}_\pm |m\rangle$ is an \hat{L}_z eigenstate with eigenvalue $(m \pm 1)\hbar$.

Now, assume we are also in an eigenstate of L^2 ; eigenvalue α :

$L^2 |\alpha, m\rangle = \alpha |\alpha, m\rangle$. Since $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_\pm] = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}^2 (\hat{L}_\pm |\alpha, m\rangle) &= \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}^2 |\alpha, m\rangle \\ &= \alpha \hat{L}_\pm |\alpha, m\rangle \end{aligned} \quad \text{so } \hat{L}_\pm \text{ doesn't affect } L^2$$

Degeneracy of L^2 : How many m for a given " α "?

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{L}^2 \rangle &= \langle \hat{L}_x^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{L}_y^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{L}_z^2 \rangle \geq \langle \hat{L}_z^2 \rangle \\ &\geq \hbar^2 m^2 \end{aligned}$$

So a maximum m exists for a given l :

$$\hat{L}_+ |l, m_{\max}\rangle = 0. \quad \hat{L}_+ \text{ must annihilate state.}$$

Use this to find x :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}^2 |l, -m_{\max}\rangle &= (\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+ - \hbar \hat{L}_z) |l, -m_{\max}\rangle = \alpha |l, -m_{\max}\rangle \\ &= \cancel{\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- |l, -m_{\max}\rangle} + (\hbar^2 + m_{\max}^2) |l, -m_{\max}\rangle \\ &= \hbar^2 (m_{\max} + 1) m_{\max} \end{aligned}$$

call $m_{\max} \equiv l$: $\hat{L}^2 |l, m\rangle = l(l+1)\hbar^2$.

As you recall: Given m runs in increments of 1 from $-l$ to $+l$, l must be integer or $\frac{1}{2}$ integer.

Shankar gives a nice analysis in his "astute reader" discussion:

→ How can m take on $\frac{1}{2}$ integer values? Showed that

$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ can only have eigenvalues $m\hbar$ for integer m .

Obviously, solution is that we didn't actually use $-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ here, just the commutation relations among the $\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y, \hat{L}_z$ operators. So we've solved a more general problem.

$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ only describes the total angular momentum for a particle described by a scalar field ($\psi(r, \theta, \phi)$ in position basis).

A particle with spin can be described by a vector (or spinor or tensor) field: $\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi_x(\vec{r}) \vec{e}_x + \psi_y(\vec{r}) \vec{e}_y + \psi_z(\vec{r}) \vec{e}_z$ (for spin 1)

When you rotate this system, more has to change than just one field:
 not only $\psi(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \psi(\vec{r}')$
 but $\vec{\psi}(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \vec{\psi}'(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \vec{\psi}'(\vec{r}')$ — i.e. vector itself rotates as well as moving the position location where it is defined!

$\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y, \hat{L}_z$ are the generators for the coordinate rotations
 $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z$ are the generators of the vector rotation — i.e. the "shuffling" of the components of the vector.

Total effect of a rotation: generator of infinitesimal rotation is $\hat{J} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}$.
 \hat{L} → orbital angular momentum.
 \hat{S} → spin angular momentum.