

## Review of Apology

Look over old/new charges, S's arguments against both. Defn. of eudaimonia, S's "mission"..

---

 Crito

A. S has been in prison for a month, waiting to die. Common for friends to bribe jailer, not hard to do. Could live in exile.

B. Crito comes to warn S it's last chance to escape.

C. Arguments:

1. C's args that S should escape
2. S's args that one must not consider the opinion of the many, and that it's only worth living the good, just, beaut. life.
3. S's extended arg based on HIS recognition of truth (handout)
4. Personified laws (handout)

## VI. Arguments of Crito and S's responses

A. I'll lose a friend

B. The many will think we value \$ more than friendship

C. Friends will not suffer fines, lose property

D. You'd be welcome in Thessaly, etc.

E. You don't consider your children, who would be orphans

F. S: We never yet used opinions of many as criteria for decisions, so why now? And the only life worth living is not any life, but the beautiful, just and good life.

## VII. Two questions about these args of S and about handout

A. Is S justified in arguing that these are good reasons for staying in prison?

B. ARE they good reasons? Or is S foolish for not escaping?

C. HANDOUT #4

SUMMARY OF SOCRATES IN *EUTHYPHRO*, *APOLOGY*, *CRITO*

A. Are these all good reasons for doing what he does in his life: telling people to care for their souls and trying to define piety, etc.?

B. Summary: what is this good, just, beautiful life? If we can't get K of it, what's the use of trying to find out what it is and then live it? Can S ever get justification for what he believes, or is he stuck with nothing better than T belief?