Keynote speakers:

Judith Jarvis Thomson (MIT)
“More on the Metaphysics of Harm”

Shelly Kagan (Yale)
“The Paradox of Methods”

Don Marquis (Kansas)
“Abortion and Personal Identity”

Main program (alphabetical order):

1. Selim Berker (Harvard): “Can Normative Conclusions be Wrung from Neural Bases?”
   • Comments: Dan Demetriou (Minnesota-Morris)
   • Session 17, Room 3
2. David Rhys Birks (Manchester): “Well-being, Schizophrenia, and Experience Machines”
   • Comments: Anna-Karin Andersson (Stockholm)
   • Session 5, Room 1
3. Ben Bradley (Syracuse): “Analyzing Harm”
   • Comments: Justin Klockseim (Alabama-Tuscaloosa)
   • Session 17, Room 2
   • Comments: Adam Hosein (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 15, Room 1
   • Comments: Doil Kim (Toronto)
   • Session 3, Room 4
6. Alan Carter (Glasgow): “A Solution to the Purported Non-Transitivity of Normative Evaluation”
   • Comments: Roksana Alavi (S Texas College)
   • Session 5, Room 2
7. Michael Cholbi (CSPU-Pomona): “Kant and Moral Dilemmas”
   • Comments: Bob Hanna (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 14, Room 2
8. Thomas Christiano (Arizona): “Is there a Human Right to Democracy?”
   • Comments: Louis-Philippe Hodgson (York/UCLA-Law)
   • Session 14, Room 1
9. Randolph Clarke (Florida State): “Because She Wanted To”
   • Comments: Meghan Griffith (Davidson)
   • Session 5, Room 4
    • Comments: Jennifer Baker (College of Charleston)
    • Session 15, Room 4
11. Christian Coons (Bowling Green): “How to Prove that Some Acts are Right or Wrong (Without Using Substantive Moral Assumptions)”
    • Comments: David Boonin (CU-Boulder)
    • Session 12, Room 2
12. Eva Dadlez (Central Oklahoma) and William L. Andrews (Central Oklahoma): “Spinning the Harm Principle”
   • Comments: Judith Kissell (Creighton)
   • Session 1, Room 1
13. Dale Dorsey (Kansas): “What is Instrumental Value?”
   • Comments: Graham Oddie (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 16, Room 3
14. Mathieu Doucet (Queen’s University): “What’s Wrong with Hypocrisy?”
   • Comments: Jonathan Peterson (Toronto)
   • Session 7, Room 4
   • Comments: Uri Leibowitz (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 2, Room 1
   • Comments: John Maier (ANU)
   • Session 2, Room 4
   • Comments: Zac Cogley (UCLA)
   • Session 2, Room 2
18. Steve Finlay (U of Southern California): “Metaethical Contextualism Defended”
   • Comments: Brian Weatherson (Rutgers)
   • Session 15, Room 3
19. Richard Galvin (Texas Christian) and John Harris (Texas Christian): “Pass the Cocoamone, Please”: Causal Impotence, Opportunistic Vegetarianism and Act-Utilitarianism”
   • Comments: Alastair Norcross (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 6, Room 1
20. Jon Garthoff (Northwestern): “Meriting Concern and Meriting Respect”
   • Comments: Benjamin Hale (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 7, Room 1
   • Comments: Marion Hourdequin (Colorado College)
   • Session 1, Room 2
22. Margaret Gilbert (UC-Irvine): “Giving Claim Rights Their Due”
   • Comments: Gopal Sreenivasan (Duke)
   • Session 3, Room 1
23. Elizabeth Harman (Princeton): “I’ll Be Glad I Did It' Reasoning and the Significance of Future Desires”
   • Comments: Chris Heathwood (CU-Boulder)
   • Session 11, Room 3
24. Elisa Hurley (Western Ontario) and Coleen Macnamara (UC-Riverside): “Toward a Theory of Reactive Attitudes”
   • Comments: Neal Tognazzini (UC-Riverside)
   • Session 16, Room 4
   • Comments: Shawn Kaplan (Adelphi)
   • Session 7, Room 2
26. Andrew Johnson (Missouri State): “The Trouble with Kant’s Humanity Formula”
   • Comments: Steve Sverdlik (SMU)
   • Session 6, Room 2
   • Comments: Frans Svensson (Uppsala/Arizona)
   • Session 10, Room 4
28. Paul Katsafanas (New Mexico): “Activity and Passivity in Reflective Agency”  
   - Comments: Lisa Damm (UCSD)  
   - Session 17, Room 1
29. Daniel Kelly (Purdue): “The Ethics of Disgust”  
   - Comments: Johann Klaassen (First Affirmative Financial Network, LLC)  
   - Session 12, Room 3
   - Comments: Eric Chwang (CU-Boulder)  
   - Session 8, Room 1
   - Comments: Julie Van Kamp (CSU-Long Beach)  
   - Session 3, Room 3
   - Comments: George Backen (Adams State)  
   - Session 10, Room 3
33. Clayton Littlejohn (UT-San Antonio): “Psychologism Strikes Back (and Out)”  
   - Comments: Bradley Thomas (Iowa)  
   - Session 1, Room 4
34. Kris McDaniel (Syracuse): “The Metaphysics of Axiology and the Welfare of Animals”  
   - Comments: Sara Bernstein (Arizona/UNC-Chapel Hill)  
   - Session 9, Room 3
   - Comments: Matt Bedke (Arizona)  
   - Session 8, Room 3
36. Martin Peterson (Technical University of Eindhoven): “A Computer Simulation of the Argument from Disagreement”  
   - Comments: Michael Rubin (Western Australia-Perth)  
   - Session 7, Room 3
37. Matt Pianalto (Truman State): “Moral Conviction and Character”  
   - Comments: Julia Driver (Wash U)  
   - Session 11, Room 2
   - Comments: Beth Tropman (Colorado State)  
   - Session 6, Room 3
   - Comments: Sarah Stroud (McGill)  
   - Session 10, Room 1
   - Comments: Jennifer Hawkins (Toronto)  
   - Session 16, Room 2
41. Rebecca Reilly-Cooper (Manchester): “The Role of Emotions in Democratic Deliberation”  
   - Comments: Hallie Liberto (Wisc-Madison)  
   - Session 12, Room 1
42. Mark van Roojen (Nebraska-Lincoln): “Moral Intuitionism, Experiments and Skeptical Arguments”  
   - Comments: Amanda Marshall (W Michigan)  
   - Session 14, Room 3
43. Brook Sadler (U South Florida): “Shared Intentions and the Kingdom of Ends”  
   - Comments: Kalynne Pudner  
   - Session 6, Room 4
44. Jeff Sebo (NYU): “The Personal is Political”  
   - Comments: Robin Gaier (Saint Louis University)  
   - Session 14, Room 4
45. Hanoch Sheinman (Rice): “The Priority of Practice”
46. Saul Smilansky (Haifa): "How Repugnant is the Repugnant Conclusion? A Reply to Michael Huemer"
   - Comments: Michael Huemer (CU-Boulder)
   - Session 15, Room 2

47. Matthew Noah Smith (Yale): "The fact-sensitivity of political principles"
   - Comments: Alex Guerrero (NYU)
   - Session 16, Room 1

48. Caj Strandberg (UNC-Chapel Hill): "An Internalist Dilemma"
   - Comments: Sharon Sytsma (Northern Illinois)
   - Session 5, Room 3

49. Jussi Suikkanen (Leeds): "Act Consequentialism and Options"
   - Comments: Brian Berkey (Berkeley)
   - Session 3, Room 2

50. Sharon Sytsma (Northern Illinois): "The 'Best and Most Irrefutable' Ethical Internalism"
   - Comments: Caroline Arruda (Stony Brook)
   - Session 9, Room 4

51. Sergio Tenenbaum (Toronto): "Intention and Commitment"
   - Comments: Luca Feraro (Wisconsin-Milwaukee)
   - Session 8, Room 4

52. Mike Valdman (Virginia Commonwealth University): "The Deep Problem with Voluntaristic Theories of Political Obligation"
   - Comments: Jason Wyckoff (CU-Boulder)
   - Session 9, Room 1

53. Helga Varden (Illinois, Urbana-Champaign): "The Priority of Rightful Care to Virtuous Care: A Kantian Critique of the Care Tradition"
   - Comments: Claudia Mills (CU-Boulder)
   - Session 10, Room 2

54. Manuel Vargas (U San Francisco): "Desert and Moral Responsibility"
   - Comments: Pete Graham (UMass-Amherst)
   - Session 12, Room 4

55. Justin Weinberg (South Carolina): "Capability Satisficing: Doing Enough for Future People"
   - Comments: Nick Espinoza (Swedish Defense Research Agency)
   - Session 17, Room 4

56. Henry West (Macalester): "Value Realism and Moral Constructivism"
   - Comments: Avram Hiller (Portland State)
   - Session 2, Room 3

57. Eric Wielenberg (DePauw): "On the Evolutionary Debunking of Morality"
   - Comments: Brad Monton (CU-Boulder)
   - Session 1, Room 3

58. Reginald Williams (Bakersfield College): "Global Poverty: A Long-Term Approach"
   - Comments: Lisa Fuller (Albany)
   - Session 11, Room 1

59. Christopher Woodard (U Nottingham): "The Common Structure of Kantianism and Act Consequentialism"
   - Comments: Arthur Ward (Bowling Green)
   - Session 8, Room 2

60. Fiona Woollard (U Sheffield): "A Defence of the Doctrine of Doing and Allowing"
   - Comments: Kai Draper (Delaware)
   - Session 9, Room 2
Poster Presentations:

1. Roksana Alavi (S Texas): “Capabilities as Rights Generating” – Friday
6. Zac Cogley (UCLA): “Trust and the Trickster Problem” – Saturday
7. Lisa Damm (UCSD): “Psychopathy, Autistics, and Moral Agency” – Saturday
8. Dan Demetriou (Minnesota-Morris): “Honor Ethics: An Introduction and Rehabilitation” – Friday
9. Lisa Fuller (Albany): “Knowing Their Own Good: Preferences and Liberty in Global Ethics” – Saturday
10. Robyn Gaier (Saint Louis U): “Normative Reasons and the Moral (Monster) Problem” – Friday
12. Soroya Gollop (Southern Methodist University): “The Emancipation of Reason: How Intrinsic Desires can be Irrational” – Saturday
13. Fred Harrington (Wisconsin-Madison): “Consequentialist Rights and the Rightholder as the Source of Duties” – Friday
14. Vaughn Huckfeldt (South Dakota): “Prudence, Commitments, and Intertemporal Conflicts” – Saturday
15. Shawn Kaplan (Adelphi): “A Critique of Two Arguments for Indiscriminate Terrorism” – Friday
16. Doil Kim (Toronto): “Modesty and the Problem of the Ascription of Virtue” – Saturday
17. Justin Klockseim (Alabama-Tuscaloosa): “Moorean Pluralism as a Solution to Incommensurability” – Friday
19. Peter Nichols (Wisconsin-Madison): “Rethinking the Branch-Line Case: An Objection to Parfit” – Friday
20. Jonathan Peterson (Toronto): “Intrinsic Value and the Public Interest in Art” – Saturday
21. Kalynne Pudner (Auburn): “Reconceiving the Right to Procreation” – Friday
22. Cindy Scheopner (Hawaii): “Disturbing the Dead: Cross Cultural Concerns in Burial Site Relocation” – Friday
23. Tony Smith (Minnesota-Mankato): “Do Mothers Have an Obligation to Have Abortions?” – Saturday
25. Patrick Todd (UC Riverside): “A New Approach to Manipulation Arguments” – Saturday
27. Christina Van Dyke (Calvin College): “Ethical Vegetarianism: Feminist Requirement or Patriarchal Burden?” – Saturday
28. Arthur Ward (Bowling Green): “Natural Teleology as Protonormativity” – Saturday