

**Office of Facilities Planning**  
Research Laboratory No. 2, Room 301  
453 UCB  
Boulder, Colorado 80309-0453  
(303) 492-1275  
FAX: (303) 492-7186

## Meeting Minutes

**DATE:** Monday, November 30, 2009 1:00 p.m.

**SUBJECT:** East Campus Vision Master Plan Task Force

**LOCATION:** UMC 247

**ATTENDEES:** Jim White  
Susan Beatty  
Charles Musgrave  
Corlin Ambler  
Jeff Lipton  
Paul Leef  
John Morris  
Kambiz Khalili  
Derrick Watson  
Louise Grauer  
Art Pardi  
Phil Simpson  
Robin Suitts  
Megan Rose

11/30

East Campus Vision

UMC 247

1. Ric Porreca
  - a. Jim gave an overview of where the committee is, the division of sub committees
  - b. The next 10 year Master Plan, the East Campus will see the most development out of any other area on campus
    - i. Heavily utilized, but not fully utilized
  - c. East Campus will be a core part of our campus
  - d. We can live off of some of the infrastructure that were put in place with the initial idea of development of this parcel of land
  - e. Combination of philanthropy, research dollars, collaborations will be driving funding factors of buildings on the EC

- f. K-12 has potential on the EC, lots of research grants have great educational opportunities
  - i. Perhaps a designated building for K-12 field trips that highlight the research happening in the area
- g. Understanding the current development and the future development of surrounding areas is pertinent
  - i. Area along Colorado between campuses could be an area of influence for the University
  - ii. 29<sup>th</sup> Street should be considered a part of the University Village
- h. Williams Village and Main Campus are not too far away, in other words the residential areas can remain in these areas
- i. Smiley Court has the potential to redevelop and has opportunity to attract people to the area
- j. East Campus as a last resort for housing is the key, there is likely better opportunities in other land areas including Main Campus
- k. North of the Boulder Creek along Arapahoe would be a better opportunity for housing
- l. What about recreation?
  - i. Recreational demands will likely be out at Williams Village
- m. Child care?
  - i. Makes sense on the EC
  - ii. Not a standalone facility, child care could be on the first floor
- n. What about the priority of creating Residential Colleges?
  - i. Likes the idea of a Residential Academic Program, but is not a fan of completely secluding a degree program into one area
  - ii. One model could be to have WV as a residential area, where the majority of the learning happens on campus, then is distributed back to these students through a common theme
- o. The Academic Clusters subcommittee has heard from many people that they do eventually desire having a student process on the campus
  - i. Certainly not early on, transportation will likely drive what happens with students
- p. Federal labs will likely have a significant presence on the East Campus
  - i. These federal labs generally have lots of visitors
  - ii. We have had federal labs approach us and ask for a more University enriched environment, in which we would house them
  - iii. One building that could host a multitude of these groups would be appealing to not only the University but to the Federal Government as well
    - 1. A couple hundred thousand square foot building
  - iv. In the beginning it would be heavily focused on having these government programs on campus with some of our researchers integrated into the building
    - 1. Eventually this could lead to graduate teaching, internships, and eventually more teaching opportunities

- v. Joint appointments to both the national lab as well as the University
  - q. Conference center?
    - i. Besides the ease of transportation, this is probably not the prime area for one
  - r. Shared use facilities
    - i. Cafes, cafeterias, computing area
  - s. Condominium lab building
  - t. There is a concern that two different ICR funding models
    - i. You could do this, but there is a preference to maintain continuity between campuses
  - u. There is a desire for the state to take more of an upfront outlook on help building out these facilities
  - v. Is there an opportunity to address the state and request legislation to be passed that would promise X amount of dollars for the development of the East Campus
    - i. Not completely out of the question, but the state simply has no money
  - w. A model similar to Anschutz would be something that would have possibilities on the EC
2. Paul Leef provided some maps with footprints of possible buildings
    - a. Keep in mind that Recreation, Open Space and Athletics Task Force is likely going to recommend to maintain 30% green space to built space
  3. Discussion
    - a. First rule as needed we build residence halls
    - b. Second rule we don't build halls in isolation

NEXT MEETING: Monday, December 14, 1-3 p.m. location TBD