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              Susan Beatty
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              Jeff Lipton
              Paul Leef
              John Morris
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              Phil Simpson
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              Megan Rose

11/30                                  East Campus Vision                                 UMC 247

1. Ric Porreca
   a. Jim gave an overview of where the committee is, the division of sub committees
   b. The next 10 year Master Plan, the East Campus will see the most development out of any other area on campus
      i. Heavily utilized, but not fully utilized
   c. East Campus will be a core part of our campus
   d. We can live off of some of the infrastructure that were put in place with the initial idea of development of this parcel of land
   e. Combination of philanthropy, research dollars, collaborations will be driving funding factors of buildings on the EC
f. K-12 has potential on the EC, lots of research grants have great educational opportunities
   i. Perhaps a designated building for K-12 field trips that highlight the research happening in the area

g. Understanding the current development and the future development of surrounding areas is pertinent
   i. Area along Colorado between campuses could be an area of influence for the University
   ii. 29th Street should be considered a part of the University Village

h. Williams Village and Main Campus are not too far away, in other words the residential areas can remain in these areas
i. Smiley Court has the potential to redevelop and has opportunity to attract people to the area
j. East Campus as a last resort for housing is the key, there is likely better opportunities in other land areas including Main Campus
k. North of the Boulder Creek along Arapahoe would be a better opportunity for housing

l. What about recreation?
   i. Recreational demands will likely be out at Williams Village

m. Child care?
   i. Makes sense on the EC
   ii. Not a standalone facility, child care could be on the first floor

n. What about the priority of creating Residential Colleges?
   i. Likes the idea of a Residential Academic Program, but is not a fan of completely secluding a degree program into one area
   ii. One model could be to have WV as a residential area, where the majority of the learning happens on campus, then is distributed back to these students through a common theme

o. The Academic Clusters subcommittee has heard from many people that they do eventually desire having a student process on the campus
   i. Certainly not early on, transportation will likely drive what happens with students

p. Federal labs will likely have a significant presence on the East Campus
   i. These federal labs generally have lots of visitors
   ii. We have had federal labs approach us and ask for a more University enriched environment, in which we would house them
   iii. One building that could host a multitude of these groups would be appealing to not only the University but to the Federal Government as well
      1. A couple hundred thousand square foot building
   iv. In the beginning it would be heavily focused on having these government programs on campus with some of our researchers integrated into the building
      1. Eventually this could lead to graduate teaching, internships, and eventually more teaching opportunities
v. Joint appointments to both the national lab as well as the University

q. Conference center?
   i. Besides the ease of transportation, this is probably not the prime area for one

r. Shared use facilities
   i. Cafes, cafeterias, computing area

s. Condominium lab building

t. There is a concern that two different ICR funding models
   i. You could do this, but there is a preference to maintain continuity between campuses

u. There is a desire for the state to take more of an upfront outlook on help building out these facilities

v. Is there an opportunity to address the state and request legislation to be passed that would promise X amount of dollars for the development of the East Campus
   i. Not completely out of the question, but the state simply has no money

w. A model similar to Anschutz would be something that would have possibilities on the EC

2. Paul Leef provided some maps with footprints of possible buildings
   a. Keep in mind that Recreation, Open Space and Athletics Task Force is likely going to recommend to maintain 30% green space to built space

3. Discussion
   a. First rule as needed we build residence halls
   b. Second rule we don’t build halls in isolation

NEXT MEETING: Monday, December 14, 1-3 p.m. location TBD