

Introduction

The *Conference on Legal Information: Scholarship and Teaching* was attended by legal research professionals who gathered at the University of Colorado Law School in Boulder, Colorado on June 21-22, 2009, to discuss legal information scholarship and instruction. The following **Boulder Statement on Legal Research Education** was developed at that Conference and reflects the consensus of the conference participants on the theoretical foundation of a signature pedagogy for legal research education.

The Statement is based on the model proposed by the Carnegie Foundation's EDUCATING LAWYERS: PREPARATION FOR THE PROFESSION OF LAW (2007). Generally referred to as the "Carnegie Report," EDUCATING LAWYERS calls for a systemic revision of legal education. In particular, the Report advocates moving from the present signature legal pedagogy of the Socratic method to a manner of teaching that incorporates practical education with theoretical and doctrinal instruction and that emphasizes professional responsibility. The Report suggests that the most effective legal education occurs through three inter-related "apprenticeships"— practical; cognitive or intellectual; and identity and purpose—which, experienced together, encourage students to explore all aspects of a legal problem and allow for contemporaneous feedback

Building on this recommendation, the *Legal Information Conference* attendees focused on defining a pedagogy of legal research instruction that recognizes the fundamental nature of legal research in legal education and law practice. The Statement expresses a comprehensive approach to legal research instruction that, when implemented, will significantly improve the preparation of law students for their legal careers.

The Boulder Statement on Legal Research Education

Legal research education teaches the resolution of legal problems through an iterative and analytical process. Students will experience a practical apprenticeship of identifying significant facts; determining legal issues and problems; and locating, evaluating, and manipulating research authorities.

Students will experience a cognitive apprenticeship by learning the importance of understanding the legal system in which their question arises and evaluating available legal resources. Through this apprenticeship the student will synthesize information about legal systems and resources to identify the best research plan for a given question. The students will also learn to continually re-evaluate their progress and results to arrive at the optimal answer to the legal problem.

Throughout the process, students will learn to apply the professional and ethical norms implicated by their research, which will reinforce their apprenticeship of identity and purpose. For legal research instruction, this includes an ongoing examination of professional standards including the identification of ethical responsibilities, the avoidance of plagiarism, and the fulfillment of the ethical duty to conduct adequate and thorough research.

June 22, 2009

Duncan Alford
University of South Carolina

Barbara Bintliff
University of Colorado

Paul D. Callister
University of Missouri-Kansas City

Stephanie Davidson
University of Illinois

Beth DiFelice
Arizona State University

Kumar R. Jayasuriya
Georgetown University

Thomas Kimbrough
Southern Methodist University

Anne Klinefelter
University of North Carolina

Judith Lihosit
University of San Diego

Robert Linz
University of Colorado

Susan Nevelow Mart
University of California, Hastings

Grace M. Mills
Hamline University

Alan Pannell
University of Colorado

Carol Parker
University of New Mexico

Robert C. Richards, Jr
Philadelphia, PA

Amanda Runyon
University of Texas

Sabrina Sondhi
Columbia University

Jane Thompson
University of Colorado

Sarah Valentine
City University of New York

Virginia Wise
Harvard University

Additional Signatories:

Randy Diamond
University of Missouri-Columbia

Denise Gibson
Mercer University

Nancy Johnson
Georgia State University

Barbara Traub
St. John's University

Vigenc Feliu
University of the District of Columbia

Michael Whiteman
Florida International University

Lee Peoples
Oklahoma City University

Kay Andrus
Creighton University

Kris Niedringhaus
Cleveland State University

Carol Bredemeyer
Northern Kentucky University

Joe Custer
University of Kansas

Penny Hazelton
University of Washington

Barbara West
Western New England University

Victoria Szymczak
Brooklyn Law School

Marilyn Raisch
Georgetown University

Caroline Osborne
Washington and Lee University

Adeen Postar
American University

Sarah Hooke Lee
Northeastern University

Filippa Anzalone
Boston College

Faye Jones
Florida State University

Roy Balleste
St. Thomas University