Bid Questions:

1. **Question:** Under Item V.A.4. (page 9 of the RFP), it asks for two relevant projects to illustrate prior project experience. However, on Appendix A Evaluation Form, under Item 4 – Prior Project Experience/Success, it lists three projects. Are we limited to two projects? Related to this, would you clarify whether the items shown struck out in the body of the RFP will not be elements of the Evaluation Form as well? For example, Item V.A.1. (page 8 of the RFP), the second bulleted item, asking for an organization chart, is struck out. But this item is shown on the Evaluation Form.

   Response: Submit at least three relevant projects for project experience. All information struck out in the RFP document will not be considered for Evaluation.

2. **Question:** At the pre-bid meeting, a two-page “Pre-Bid Information” hand-out was given out, but I do not see this available on the Open Submittals website for this project. Will this be issued as an Addendum?

   Response: This document will not be posted to the website. There is no new or unique information included in the document; it was talking points for the site walk-thru. All bidders have a hard copy.

3. **Question:** In Item II.E.1.f. (page 5 of the RFP), we are required to submit the Acknowledgement and Attestation Form on which we verify we have reviewed the Design/Build sample contract, which it states is contained in the RFP. However, this was not in the RFP nor was it available with the downloadable documents. Can we receive this sample contract so we can review it prior to signing the form and submitting our proposal?

   Response: All relevant sample documents are now posted online, http://www.colorado.edu/facilitiesmanagement/pdc/construction/open.html
Clarifications:

1. The three existing water valves near VAC may remain in place or be replaced. The contractor is responsible for proper operation of water line and valves even if existing are utilized. These valves are approximately 3 years old.

2. Concrete patch in road is to be removed; it is at least 6” in depth.

3. Asphalt paving shall be a 3” mill and overlay in shown locations.
4. Design and construction shall adhere to the UCB Standards, [http://www.colorado.edu/facilitiesmanagement/pdc/construction/standards/civil.html](http://www.colorado.edu/facilitiesmanagement/pdc/construction/standards/civil.html). One item of note brought up in the pre-bid walk is the backfilling requirements. Specifically, recommend you review:

**SECTION 02221 - TRENCHING, BACKFILLING, COMPACTING:**

3.4 INSTALLATION OF TRENCH BACKFILL

A. Unless otherwise authorized by the UCB Civil Engineer flowable fill shall be used for backfill under high traffic asphalt roadways. This includes, but is not limited to, any asphalt areas on 18th St., Colorado Ave., Kittredge Loop Rd., Discovery Dr., Euclid Ave., Wardenburg Dr., and Baker Dr.

5. Alternate #1 – The asphalt mill and overlay shall be the full width of the drive lane, not including parking.

6. Flagging requirements: For bidding purposes, plan for flaggers from 6:00 am – 8:00 pm to maintain traffic flow for one lane of traffic. If two lanes are able to be maintained, then there is no need for flaggers.

7. See attached drawing showing crosswalk details. The crosswalk paver section must be at least as large as the existing (~1,500 SF) total.
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