Bacteria thrive on and within the human body. One of the largest human-associated microbial habitats is the skin surface, which harbors large numbers of bacteria that can have important effects on health. We examined the palmar surfaces of the dominant and nondominant hands of 51 healthy young adult volunteers to characterize bacterial diversity on hands and to assess its variability within and between individuals. We used a novel pyrosequencing-based method that allowed us to survey hand surface bacterial communities at an unprecedented level of detail. The diversity of skin-associated bacterial communities was surprisingly high; a typical hand surface harbored >150 unique species-level bacterial phylotypes, and we identified a total of 4,742 unique phylotypes across all of the hands examined. Although there was a core set of bacterial taxa commonly found on the palm surface, we observed pronounced intra- and interpersonal variation in bacterial community composition: hands from the same individual shared only 17% of their phylotypes, with different individuals sharing only 13%. Women had significantly higher diversity than men, and community composition was significantly affected by handedness, time since last hand washing, and an individual’s sex. The variation within and between individuals in microbial ecology illustrated by this study emphasizes the challenges inherent in defining what constitutes a “healthy” bacterial community; addressing these challenges will be critical for the International Human Microbiome Project.

**Results and Discussion**

After removing sequences of insufficient quality and sequences that could not be adequately classified, nearly 332,000 sequences remained with an average of >3,200 sequences obtained for each of the 102 palm surfaces swabbed (Table 1). For comparison, the total number of sequences included in this study exceeds the total number of sequences obtained from the largest previously published molecular surveys of skin bacterial communities (6, 7) by nearly 2 orders of magnitude. This dataset also provided the most comprehensive survey of bacterial diversity in any human-associated habitat to date.

The average palm surface harbors >150 distinct species-level bacterial phylotypes [a species is defined here as organisms sharing ≥97% identity in their 16S rRNA gene sequences (13)] (Table 1). Not surprisingly, this number of unique phylotypes exceeds the number of bacterial types typically cultivated from human microbiome | pyrosequencing | skin bacteria
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the skin surface by at least an order of magnitude (8), confirming that culture-based surveys of the skin surface, like surveys conducted in many other microbial habitats (14), dramatically underestimate the full extent of bacterial diversity. The average phylotype richness observed on a single palm surface was also 3 times higher than the richness observed in a molecular survey of forearm skin (6) and elbow skin (7). Although we would expect the hand surface to have higher levels of diversity than other skin surfaces because of the more frequent contact with potential inocula from the environment, this discrepancy in observed bacterial diversity is more likely a result of the depth of our sampling, which allowed us to survey even those rare bacterial taxa present on the skin surface. However, despite the depth of our surveys, our diversity estimates still represent only the lower bounds of phylotype richness on individual hands; the rarefaction curves for individual palm surfaces do not asymptote [supporting information (SI) Fig. S1], indicating that the true diversity is likely even higher. The total diversity of bacteria on the hand surface appears to match or exceed the levels of bacterial diversity found in other human-associated microbial habitats, including the esophagus, the mouth, and at specific sites within the lower intestine (15–17), but this may be a function of the depth of our sequencing. If we compare our results with those obtained by Andersson et al. (18) where a similar pyrosequencing-based approach was used to survey human-associated bacterial communities, we find that skin bacterial communities appear to be more diverse on average than those communities found in throat, stomach, and fecal environments.

Although diversity on palm surfaces is high at both the phylotype and phylum levels (sequences from >25 phyla were detected), 3 phyla (Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria) accounted for 94% of the sequences (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The most abundant genera (Propionibacterium, 31.6% of all sequences; Streptococcus, 17.2%; Staphylococcus, 8.3%; Corynebacterium, 4.3%; and Lactobacillus, 3.1%) were found on nearly all palm surfaces sampled. These genera have previously been found to be abundant in other molecular surveys of skin bacteria (6, 19) and are considered to be common skin residents (5), yet they still represented <65% of all of the identified sequences (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The average palm surface has a large number of rare taxa that may be either transient, short-term colonizers of skin or more persistent, longer-term residents of

---

**Table 1. Summary description of the sampling effort, the number of sequences collected, and the levels of bacterial diversity discovered**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of hands sampled</th>
<th>Total no. of sequences</th>
<th>Average length of sequence reads, bp (range)</th>
<th>Total no. of classifiable bacterial sequences</th>
<th>Total no. of phylotypes across all hands sampled</th>
<th>Average no. of sequences per hand (range)</th>
<th>Average no. of phylotypes per hand (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102 (from 27 men and 24 women)</td>
<td>351,630</td>
<td>228 (200–267)</td>
<td>331,619</td>
<td>4,742</td>
<td>3,251 (2,410–5,838)</td>
<td>158 (46–401)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phylotypes were determined at the 97% sequence similarity level.

---

**Fig. 1.** Relative abundances of the most abundant bacterial groups on the hand surfaces, with the hand samples divided into categories of sex (A), time since last hand washing (B), and the dominant versus the nondominant hand (C). Error bars are 1 standard error of the mean. For the number of sequences and number of samples included in each category and the full taxonomic description of the hand surface bacterial communities see Table S1. Superscripts on the taxon name indicate the phylum or subphylum: 1, Actinobacteria; 2, Firmicutes; 3, Betaproteobacteria; 4, Gammaproteobacteria; 5, Alphaproteobacteria; 6, Bacteroidetes.
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the skin surface that are simply present at relatively low abundances or whose abundance is determined by specific characteristics of individual hand surfaces.

Qualitatively, the bacterial communities found on the hand surfaces (Fig. 1 and Table S1) appear to be more similar to the communities found on forearm skin (6) than to the communities found on the forehead (19) or inner elbow (7), suggesting that skin bacterial communities are not uniform across the body and that skin surfaces closer in proximity may harbor more similar bacterial communities. Additional research mapping the distribution of bacterial taxa across a wide range of skin surfaces would allow us to specifically test this hypothesis.

Although some bacterial taxa were cosmopolitan and were found on essentially all of the hand surfaces sampled, bacterial communities on individual hand surfaces were strikingly different. We observed a total of 4,742 distinct bacterial phylotypes across the 102 palm surfaces sampled (Table 1), and only 5 phylotypes were shared across all of the hands sampled. On average, the communities found on any pair of palm surfaces shared only 13% of their phylotypes (Fig. 2). The bacterial communities found on the skin surface, like those communities found in other human-associated microbial habitats (2, 15, 20, 21), exhibit an enormous amount of interindividual variability.

The observed differentiation in bacterial communities between hand surfaces is not determined solely by stochastic factors. For example, handedness has a significant influence on bacterial communities (P < 0.001). Dominant hands (i.e., the right hand on right-handed individuals) have similar overall levels of diversity as nondominant hands (Fig. S1), but the composition of the bacterial communities on the dominant and nondominant hands from the same individual was significantly different (Fig. 3). Taxa with relative abundances >50% greater on the dominant hand than the nondominant hand included members of the Enterobacteriales, Lactobacillaceae, Peptostreptococcaceae, and Xanthomonadales groups (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The influence of handedness on palm bacterial communities is likely due either to differences in skin environmental conditions (e.g., sebum production, salinity, hydration) or to the dominant hand coming into contact with different types of environmental surfaces than the nondominant hand. Although dominant and nondominant hands harbor distinct bacterial communities, the communities on left and right hands from the same individual were more similar than we would expect by chance (Fig. 2). However, these communities still shared only 17% of their phylotypes on average, indicating that there is an enormous amount of heterogeneity in skin bacterial communities within an individual. This intraindividual differentiation between the bacterial communities on left and right hands was not significantly affected by handedness, sex, or hand hygiene (P > 0.05 in all cases).

Men and women harbor significantly different bacterial communities on their hand surfaces (P < 0.001; Fig. 3). Taxa that were shared by both men and women but were more abundant on the skin of 1 sex included members of the following groups: Propionibacterium (37% more abundant on men), Corynebacterium (80% more abundant on men), Enterobacteriales (400% more abundant on women), Moraxellaceae (180% more abundant on women), Lactobacillaceae (340% more abundant on women), and the Pseudomonadaceae (180% more abundant on women) (Fig. 1 and Table S1). Interestingly, the palms of women were also found to harbor significantly greater bacterial diversity than those of men, whether diversity was assessed by examining the overall phylogenetic structure on each hand (Fig. 4A) or the average number of phylotypes per hand (Fig. 4B). We do not know what drives these differences in overall diversity, but differences in skin pH may be influential. Men generally have more acidic skin than women (22, 23), and work from other microbial habitats has shown that microbial diversity is often lower in more acidic environments (24–26). Other explanations for why men and women appear to harbor distinct hand bacterial communities may include differences in sweat or sebum production, frequency of moisturizer or cosmetics application, skin thickness, or hormone production (4, 23). Without detailed information on the skin characteristics of the individuals sampled for this study, we can only speculate on the causes of the apparent sex differences in hand bacterial communities.
analyses, we randomly selected 2,400 sequences per hand sample, and thus determining the average number of unique phylotypes per hand. For these individual sequences have been observed (36). (Fierer skin community composition (differences in skin bacterial communities. skin pH, or other factors, are directly related to the apparent sex transitional studies are required to determine whether differences in skin habitats, their variability, and the relationships between intrinsic physiological or consistent physical states (e.g., sex, hand-edness) and external environmental characteristics or behaviors (e.g., hand washing) is critical for establishing a healthy baseline from which to detect and understand microbial community differences associated with a wide variety of human diseases.

Methods

Sample Collection. Approximately 85 undergraduate students were asked to participate in this study over a 1-h period in November 2007 after the students exited a room where they had all spent the previous hour taking an examination. Of the 85 students approached 51 volunteered, and samples were collected from the palm surfaces of these students. Each subject provided information on their handedness and the time since last hand washing. All individuals were made aware of the nature of the experiment and gave verbal informed consent to participate in accordance with the sampling protocol approved by the University of Colorado Human Research Committee (protocol 1007.39). The palm surfaces of both hands were swabbed separately (102 samples total) with cotton tipped swabs moistened with solution of 0.15 M NaCl and 0.1% Tween 20 (27). Swabbing has previously been shown to be as effective as other skin sampling methods for surveying bacterial diversity (7). The entire palm surface was swabbed in 2 perpendicular directions to ensure that the maximum surface area of each palm was represented in the sample. A fresh pair of sterile gloves was worn by the person sampling each individual to minimize sample cross-contamination. Sample blanks consisted of swabs that had been moistened and placed directly in 15-mL polypropylene tubes. The tubes were stored at −20 °C for <72 h before DNA extraction.

A smaller-scale study focusing on the effects of hand washing was con-
ducted in April 2008 by sampling the palm surfaces from 8 individuals (4 men and 4 women). Each individual washed his/her hands for 30 s with a standard bar of antibacterial-free soap (Ivory; Procter & Gamble) followed by rinsing with tap water and drying with paper towels. Immediately after the hand washing and every 2 h over a 6-h period, palm surfaces were swabbed in the exact same manner as described above, except that both left and right hands from each individual were swabbed with the same cotton swab. DNA extraction, amplification, and pyrosequencing were conducted in the same manner for all of the swabs collected from this study and the larger-scale study.

**DNA Extraction.** DNA was extracted from the swabs using the Mobio UltraClean Plant DNA Isolation Kit (Mobio Laboratories) with modifications. The cotton tip of each swab was broken off directly into a bead tube to which 60 μL of Solution P1 had been added. Care was taken not to touch the tip of the swab to any surface except the inside of the 15-mL storage tube or the bead tube. The bead tubes were capped and heated to 65 °C for 10 min and then shaken horizontally for 2 min at maximum speed with the Mobio vortex adapter. The remaining steps were performed as directed by the manufacturer. DNA samples were stored at −20 °C until needed.

**PCR Amplification and Sample Pooling.** For each sample, we amplified the 16S rRNA gene in a single step similar to that described in Hamady et al. (12) that was found to be well-suited for the phylogenetic analysis of pyrosequencing reads (28). The forward primer (5′-GCTTGGATCATATTAGCTCAGTGTGACTCGTCTGTT-3′) contained the 454 Life Sciences primer B, the broadly conserved bacterial primer 27F, and a 12-nt linker sequence (''TC''). The reverse primer (5′-GCTTCCGCGGCATCACTAGNNNNGNNNGATTG-3′) contained the 454 Life Sciences primer A, the bacterial linker 13R, and the 12-nt linker sequence, i.e., the sequence that had the most hits more significant than the BLAST threshold to other sequences in the database (12). The set of all representative sequences was aligned by using NAST (30) (parameters: minimum alignment length, 190; sequence identity, 70%) with a PH lamnasek (http://greengenes.lbi.gov/) to screen out hypervariable regions of the sequence. A relaxed neighbor-joining tree was built by using Clearcut (31), employing the Kimura correction. Unweighted UniFrac (32, 33) was run by using the resulting tree and the sequences annotated by environment type. Taxonomic identity of the phylotypes was assigned with BLAST against the Greengenes (34) database by using an E value cutoff of 1E-10 and the Hugenholtz taxonomy. The statistical significance of differences in microbial community composition between sample categories was determined by using the G test on relative phylotype abundances (35).
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