

# APPM 5440: Applied Analysis I

## Solutions to Problem Set One

1. (i)  $d_1$  a metric  $\Rightarrow d_1(x_1, y_1) \geq 0$  and  $d_1(x_2, y_2) \geq 0$  which implies that

$$d(x, y) = \underbrace{d_1(x_1, y_1)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{d_1(x_2, y_2)}_{\geq 0} \geq 0 \quad \checkmark$$

Also,  $d_1$  a metric  $\Rightarrow d_1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if both  $d_1(x_1, y_1) = d_1(x_2, y_2) = 0$  and  $d_1$  a metric  $\Rightarrow d_1(x_1, y_1) = 0$  and  $d_1(x_2, y_2) = 0$  if and only if  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  which happens if and only if  $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ . Thus

$$d(x, y) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = y. \quad \checkmark$$

- (ii)

$$d(y, x) = d_1(y_1, x_1) + d_1(y_2, x_2) \stackrel{d_1 \text{ metric}}{=} d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_1(x_2, y_2) = d(x, y) \quad \checkmark$$

- (iii) For  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \underbrace{d_1(x_1, y_1)}_{\leq d_1(x_1, z_1) + d_1(z_1, y_1)} + \underbrace{d_1(x_2, y_2)}_{\leq d_1(x_2, z_2) + d_1(z_2, y_2)} \\ &\leq [d_1(x_1, z_1) + d_1(x_2, z_2)] + [d_1(z_1, y_1) + d_1(z_2, y_2)] \\ &= d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

2. (i)

$$\|x\|_{max} = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} \geq 0$$

since  $|x_i| \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Furthermore, the only way for  $\max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} = 0$  is to have  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots, x_n = 0$ , or, equivalently,  $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\checkmark$

- (ii) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \Rightarrow \lambda x = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_n)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x\|_{max} &= \max\{|\lambda x_1|, |\lambda x_2|, \dots, |\lambda x_n|\} \\ &= \max\{|\lambda| \cdot |x_1|, |\lambda| \cdot |x_2|, \dots, |\lambda| \cdot |x_n|\} \\ &= |\lambda| \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} \\ &= |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|_{max} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  imply that

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_{max} &= \max\{|x_1 + y_1|, |x_2 + y_2|, \dots, |x_n + y_n|\} \\ &\leq \max\{|x_1| + |y_1|, |x_2| + |y_2|, \dots, |x_n| + |y_n|\} \\ &= \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} + \max\{|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_n|\} \\ &= \|x\|_{max} + \|y\|_{max} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$


---

3. By the triangle inequality,

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Thus,

$$d(x, z) - d(y, z) \leq d(x, y). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand,

$$d(y, z) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(x, z)$$

implies that

$$-d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) - d(y, z) \tag{2}$$

(1) and (2) together imply that

$$|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y),$$

as desired.

---

4. First note that

•

$$1 = |1| = |1 - x + x| \leq |1 - x| + |x| \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - |x| \leq |1 - x|.$$

and

•

$$\sum_{k=0}^n x^k = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}.$$

So,

$$\left| \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x} - \frac{1}{1 - x} \right| = \left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{1 - x} \right| = \frac{|x^{n+1}|}{|1 - x|} = \frac{|x|^{n+1}}{|1 - x|} \leq \frac{|x|^{n+1}}{1 - |x|} \stackrel{\text{want}}{<} \varepsilon.$$

That is, we want

$$|x|^{n+1} < \varepsilon(1 - |x|)$$

for large enough  $n$ .

Since  $|x| < 1$ , we know that  $|x|^{n+1}$  goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$|x|^{n+1} < \varepsilon(1 - |x|) \quad \forall n \geq N,$$

as desired.

---

5. Since the sequence  $(d(x_n, y_n))$  lives in the reals, we only need to show that it is a Cauchy sequence. Then, by completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  we are done!

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

To show that  $(d(x_n, y_n))$  is Cauchy sequence, we want to show that  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $m, n \geq N$ .

Since  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, we know that we can get  $d(x_n, x_m)$  and  $d(y_n, y_m)$  as small as we want for large enough  $m$  and  $n$ .

Now, by two applications of the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, y_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_m) + d(y_m, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_m, y_n). \quad (3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, y_m) &\leq d(x_m, x_n) + d(x_n, y_m) \\ &\leq d(x_m, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_m) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_m, x_n) + d(y_n, y_m)$$

which is equivalent to

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \geq -[d(x_m, x_n) + d(y_n, y_m)]. \quad (4)$$

Now (3) and (4) imply that

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m)$$

$(x_n)$  Cauchy implies  $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $m, n \geq N_1$ .

$(y_n)$  Cauchy implies  $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $m, n \geq N_2$ .

Take  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then both  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  and  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon/2$  will hold for all  $m, n \geq N$  and thus

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$

for all  $m, n \geq N$ .

Therefore,  $(d(x_n, y_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence.

- 
6. (Note: I'm going to change  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  so i can use the usual  $m$ 's and  $n$ 's for our Cauchy sequences.) As mentioned in class, you do not need to verify that  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is a linear space and that the given norms are proper norms. All you need to do is verify, for each norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , that the space is complete with respect to the induced metric  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . That is, each  $x_n$  is a vector  $x_n = (x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nk})$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is any Cauchy sequence with respect to the metric  $d(x, y)$  induced by the Euclidean norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &= \|x_n - x_m\| = \sqrt{(x_{n1} - x_{m1})^2 + (x_{n2} - x_{m2})^2 + \dots + (x_{nk} - x_{mk})^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(x_{n1} - x_{m1})^2} + \sqrt{(x_{n2} - x_{m2})^2} + \dots + \sqrt{(x_{nk} - x_{mk})^2} \quad (\Delta\text{-ineq}) \\ &= |x_{n1} - x_{m1}| + |x_{n2} - x_{m2}| + \dots + |x_{nk} - x_{mk}| \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|x_{n1} - x_{m1}| + |x_{n2} - x_{m2}| + \dots + |x_{nk} - x_{mk}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

This implies that each  $|x_{ni} - x_{mi}| < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq N$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Thus, the  $k$  components of  $(x_n)$  form  $k$  one-dimensional Cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , each of these component sequences converge, say  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{ni} = x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Thus,  $(x_n)$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nk}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =: x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Therefore  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is complete with respect to the metric induced by  $\|\cdot\|$  and is a Banach space.

- (b) Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is any Cauchy sequence with respect to the metric  $d(x, y)$  induced by the 1-norm,  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

But,

$$d(x_n, x_m) = \|x_n - x_m\|_1 = |x_{n1} - x_{m1}| + |x_{n2} - x_{m2}| + \dots + |x_{nk} - x_{mk}|$$

so

$$|x_{n1} - x_{m1}| + |x_{n2} - x_{m2}| + \dots + |x_{nk} - x_{mk}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

The remainder of the problem is identical to part (a).

(c) Finally, suppose that  $(x_n)$  is any Cauchy sequence with respect to the metric  $d(x, y)$  induced by the max norm,  $\|\cdot\|_{max}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

But,

$$d(x_n, x_m) = \|x_n - x_m\|_{max} = \max\{|x_{n1} - x_{m1}|, |x_{n2} - x_{m2}|, \dots, |x_{nk} - x_{mk}|\}$$

so

$$\max\{|x_{n1} - x_{m1}|, |x_{n2} - x_{m2}|, \dots, |x_{nk} - x_{mk}|\} < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$$

which implies that each of  $|x_{ni} - x_{mi}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$  for each of  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Again, the remainder of the problem is identical to part (a).