

Professional Rights and Duties of Faculty Members and Roles and Professional Duties of Department Chairs

Approved by the Boulder Faculty Assembly: November 6, 2008

Note: The Boulder Faculty Assembly is in the process of updating the references in this document to the Laws of the Regents, Administrative Policy Statements and other university statements of principle and procedure to reflect current university policy.

PREAMBLE

The University seeks to provide and sustain an environment conducive to sharing, extending, and critically examining knowledge and values, and to furthering the search for truth. Effective performance of this academic mission requires that University faculty members be free within their respective fields of competence to pursue and teach the truth in accord with appropriate standards of scholarly inquiry.

The faculty's privileges and protections, including that of tenure, rest on the mutually supportive relationships between the faculty's special professional competence and its academic freedom, and the academic mission of the University. These relationships are also the source of the professional responsibilities of faculty members.

It is the intent of this Professional Standards Document to protect academic freedom, to help preserve the highest standards of teaching and scholarship, and to advance the mission of the University as an institution of higher learning.

OVERVIEW

Part I, "Professional Rights of Faculty," sets forth the responsibility of the University to maintain conditions and rights supportive of the faculty's pursuit of the University's academic mission. Many of these conditions and rights are derived from principles of academic freedom of faculty members, and from the essential principle that a university is in its most critical aspects a collegial enterprise in which the faculty has principal authority with respect to academic and scholastic policies, and shares authority in many other matters.

Part II, "Ethical Principles and Professional Duties of Faculty Members," elaborates standards of professional conduct for faculty members, derived from general professional consensus about the existence of certain precepts as basic to acceptable faculty behavior. Conduct which departs from these precepts is viewed by faculty as unacceptable because it is inconsistent with the mission of the University. The articulation of types of both expected and unacceptable faculty conduct is appropriate both to verify that a consensus about expected professional conduct and minimally acceptable standards in fact does exist and to give fair notice to all that departures from expected professional conduct and from minimal standards may give rise to disciplinary proceedings.

In Part II a clear distinction is made between statements of (1) ethical principles, (2) expected professional conduct, and (3) types of unacceptable behavior. Although the listings in none of these categories of statements is exhaustive, they encompass major concerns traditionally and currently important to the profession. It is expected that case adjudication, the lessons of experience and evolving standards of the profession will promote reasoned adaptation and change of this Professional Standards Document.

Part III, "Roles and Professional Duties of Department Chairs," deals with the role and professional duties of department chairs. This part states standards and procedures for the selection, role, and responsibilities of department chairpersons. These matters are brought together in the same document as professional rights and duties of faculty members in order to provide both department chairpersons and

faculty members with readily accessible information on the faculty member's right to participate in the selection of department chairpersons, and to provide a description of the authority and limitations on authority of department chairpersons, and a statement of the ethical obligations of department chairpersons in dealing with faculty members. Most of the material in Part III comes directly from the Laws of the Regents, 1990, and a related Appendix B thereto. However, several additional statements of professional obligations of department chairpersons are set forth in Section III.C, and supplement the material from the Laws of the Regents.

Part IV, "Review of Faculty Conduct, and Sanctions for Unprofessional Conduct by a Faculty Member," sets forth principles and procedures for review of allegations of unprofessional conduct by faculty members, and for determining and imposing sanctions if unprofessional conduct is established. (If the matter involves alleged research misconduct, sexual harassment or other conduct for which separate specialized procedures are provided, those procedures must be followed instead of the procedures contained in this document. In addition, sanctions of suspension or termination of employment may only be imposed in accordance with special separate procedures provided for in the Laws of the Regents.) *A principal tenet of this document is that matters involving alleged unprofessional faculty conduct shall be resolved at the lowest appropriate administrative level.*

Part V, "Appendices," contains appendices as follows:

Appendix A, "Principles of Academic Freedom," sets forth the statement on academic freedom contained in the Laws of the Regents, 1990, Article 5, Part D. This Professional Standards Document is to be read and interpreted consistently with the statement of academic freedom.

Appendix B, "Faculty Powers," sets forth the powers of the faculty provided for in Sections 4.A.6 and 5.E.5 of the Laws of the Regents, 1990.

Appendix C, "Additional Policy Statements," provides further elaboration of various University and Boulder Campus policies referred to in this Professional Standards Document.

PART I

PROFESSIONAL RIGHTS OF FACULTY MEMBERS

In support of the University's academic mission as an institution of higher learning, a major responsibility of the Administration is to protect and encourage the faculty in its teaching, learning, research, and public service activities. The authority to discipline faculty members in appropriate cases derives from the shared recognition by the faculty and the Administration that the purpose of discipline is to preserve conditions hospitable to these pursuits. Such conditions, as they relate to faculty, include, for example:

1. the right to free inquiry, and exchange of ideas;
2. the right to present relevant information, however controversial, to a course of instruction;
3. the right to conduct scholarly research or creative work, no matter how controversial;
4. the right to enjoyment of constitutionally protected freedom of expression;
5. the right to share in the governance of the University, as provided in the Laws of the Regents and the laws of the State of Colorado, and in policies and procedures of the University and the University Senate, of the Boulder Campus and the Boulder Faculty Assembly, and of the schools, colleges, departments and other academic units, including:
 - a. the faculty's principal responsibility for:

1. academic policy, including initial authorization and direction of all courses, curricula, and degrees offered;
2. scholastic policy, including scholastic standards for admission, grading, continuation, graduation, and honors;
3. academic ethics, including development of policies and procedures; and

b. the faculty's right to act jointly with the administration to make recommendations to the Board of Regents in the areas of:

4. establishment of policies and procedures for faculty appointment, promotion, and tenure review; establishment of policy and procedures for the appeal of decisions on faculty appointment, promotion, and tenure; and joint participation in decisions affecting these policies;
 5. regulation of student conduct and activities;
 6. budgetary review and development of recommendations concerning University resources;
 7. selection of academic administrators;
 8. determination of candidates for degree; and
 9. making of other policy concerning the general academic welfare of the University; and
6. the right to be judged by one's colleagues,
 7. the right to be judged in accordance with fair procedures, in matters of promotion, tenure, and discipline, solely on the basis of the faculty member's professional qualifications, professional achievements, and professional conduct.

Faculty rights may derive from such diverse sources as the Constitution and laws of the United States or of the State of Colorado; from the Laws of the Regents and University, Boulder Campus, and other academic unit policies; from faculty and other contracts; and from shared understandings and customs of the academic community. The statement of professional rights of faculty members set forth in this Part I is intended neither to be exhaustive nor to limit faculty rights in any manner.

PART II

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES, ETHICAL PRINCIPLES, AND FACULTY CONDUCT

This listing of the responsibilities of faculty members, and of ethical principles and of examples of both expected and unacceptable conduct, is organized around the individual faculty member's participation in teaching, in scholarly and creative work, and in University citizenship. Faculty members affected by the responsibilities and ethical principles herein consist of all members of the University Faculty Senate as defined in the CU Faculty Senate Constitution. This document is informed by, and is to be interpreted consistently with, the Regents' Statement of Principles of Academic Freedom, contained in the Laws of the Regents, 2002, Article 5, Part D, which appears as Appendix A to this document. Procedures for review of faculty conduct that is alleged to be unprofessional, and sanctions for unprofessional conduct, are provided for in Part IV.

A. Teaching and Students

Ethical Principles. As a teacher the faculty member: encourages the free pursuit of learning; upholds the best scholarly and ethical standards of the discipline; demonstrates respect for the student as an individual, and adheres to a proper role as intellectual guide and counselor; makes every reasonable effort, through the

faculty member's own example and otherwise, to foster honest academic conduct and to assure that evaluation of students reflects their true merit; respects the confidential nature of the relationship between faculty member and student; avoids any harassment or discriminatory treatment of students; avoids any exploitation of students for private advantage and acknowledges significant academic or scholarly assistance from them; and protects the academic freedom of students. (Modeled on 1966 AAUP Statement on Professional Ethics, as revised in 1987.)

1. Expected Conduct

The faculty member is expected to:

- a. treat students with understanding, dignity and respect, maintain professional classroom decorum, and create a climate of civility in the class;
- b. evaluate students fairly and equitably, in a manner appropriate to the course and its objectives, and in any evaluation of a student's complete performance when providing a professional reference;
- c. make students aware of campus policies on acceptable use of computers and copyrighted materials.
- d. make clear to students in writing or on the web the faculty member's expectations in coursework and on not receiving or giving unauthorized aid in examinations and other graded assignments;
- e. keep abreast of developments in the subject matter being taught;
- f. prepare adequately for classes and challenge students to perform at their highest level;
- g. meet classes as scheduled (see Boulder Faculty Assembly Ruling, 1980, referred to in Appendix C, Section 1);
- h. keep posted office hours;
- i. subject to campus policies, establish course requirements and policies, grading standards, and other administrative procedures for classes;
- j. comply with academic unit policy concerning absences during the regular Academic Year. (The regular Academic Year is the continuous period of time starting when faculty report for duty at the start of the Fall semester and ending with the Spring Commencement.);
- k. cooperate regularly in University-mandated student evaluations of teaching, and participate in peer evaluation of teaching in accordance with academic unit policy;
- l. adhere to campus wide administrative procedures and policies on such matters as final examinations, incompletes, withdrawals, and drop/adds;
- m. make all reasonable efforts to prevent the occurrence of academic dishonesty through the appropriate design and administration of assignments and examinations, and through the careful safeguarding of course materials and examinations; and when instances of academic dishonesty are suspected, see that appropriate action is taken in accordance with established University policies and procedures; and
- n. consider in conscience whether the faculty member is able to be professionally objective in serving in a position in which the faculty member has institutional authority over a student with whom the faculty member has formed a very close personal relationship, as when such a student is enrolled in the faculty member's class or when such a student is in a continuing position to require evaluation of work or letters of recommendation from the faculty member (with respect to the special concerns relating to sexual harassment, including certain reporting requirements for faculty), see University of Colorado at Boulder Sexual Harassment Policy and Procedures, 1995, referred to in Appendix C, Section 3.b); and

o. comply with University policies on conflict of interest and nepotism in employment matters concerning any student to whom the faculty member is related (see University of Colorado Administrative Policy Statement on Procedures for Implementing Regent Policies on Conflict of Interest and Nepotism, 1979, IV-49).

The faculty member's dean or department chairperson may approve occasional exceptions to e and h. (see Boulder Faculty Assembly Ruling, 1980, referred to in Appendix C, Section 1.)

2. Unacceptable Conduct

Examples of unacceptable faculty conduct are:

- a. discrimination against a student on political grounds, or for reasons of race, religion, sex, ethnic origin, sexual orientation, or for other illegal, arbitrary, or personal reasons (see Policy Statements on Nondiscrimination and Diversity, referred to in Appendix C, Section 2);
- b. sexual harassment (see Policy Statements on Sexual Harassment, referred to in Appendix C, Section III);
- c. intimidation of or engaging in other conduct disrespecting the human dignity of students and of the diversity of student perspectives;
- d. presenting as part of a course significant amounts of material unrelated to its subject matter; failure to present diverse opinions on subject matter;
- e. evaluation of student work, in a course or in a professional reference, by criteria not directly reflective of the student's performance as measured by standards applied uniformly to all students in the course (except as differentiation is required or permitted in the case of disabled students);
- f. acting in a conflict of interest in the evaluation of a student; or, in other situations involving a conflict of interest, failing to disclose the conflict or (where appropriate) to remove himself or herself from the situation;
- g. undue delay in evaluating and reporting the faculty member's assessment of the work of students;
- h. failure to comply with University or college requirements in regard to deadlines, scheduling of examinations, reporting grades, and student evaluation.

B. Scholarly Research and Creative Work

Ethical Principles. Guided by a deep conviction of the worth and dignity of the advancement of knowledge, the faculty member: recognizes the special responsibility to the discipline to seek and to state truth as he or she sees it, and to this end continuously seeks to develop and improve scholarly competence; accepts the obligation to exercise critical self-discipline and judgment in using, transmitting and extending knowledge; practices intellectual honesty; and, in pursuit of subsidiary interests, never allows these interests to seriously hamper or compromise freedom of inquiry. (Modeled on 1966 AAUP Statement on Professional Ethics, as revised 1987.)

1. Expected Conduct

a. The faculty member is expected to maintain competence and keep abreast of developments in his or her chosen field of scholarship or creative work. Faculty members are expected to maintain professional contact with scholars and/or practitioners outside the University, in a measure appropriate to the discipline. Scholarly research and creative work may take many forms. Usually the results of a faculty member's research should be published as books or as articles in the refereed literature. Other types of scholarly and creative work for which publication is not appropriate should be presented in such a way that they can be evaluated by peers in the faculty member's academic discipline.

b. In addition to complying personally with professional and ethical standards that govern proposing, carrying out, or reporting results from research, the faculty member is also responsible for emphasizing the importance of ethical research conduct to staff and students who are under his or her supervision and for providing reasonable supervision to minimize the opportunities for research misconduct. The faculty member should work with colleagues in establishing policies regarding author names on publications, in making those policies well known to students and staff, and in conforming to those policies in his or her own publications. (See Administrative Policy Statement on Misconduct in Research and Authorship, section II.J below.)

2. Unacceptable Conduct

Examples of unacceptable conduct are: violations of canons of intellectual honesty such as falsification or fabrication of data or the misappropriation of the writings, research or findings of others.

C. Academic Citizenship

Ethical Principles. As an academic colleague, a faculty member has professional obligations and expectations that derive from membership in the community of scholars. Prominent among these obligations and expectations is collegiality between faculty members and other academic associates. Collegiality, expected of each faculty member, includes civility, mutual respect, common courtesies, personal accountability, and willing contributions to the effective functioning of the academic unit. Among other essential professional obligations and expectations are that the faculty member: respects and defends the free inquiry of associates; shows due respect for the opinions of others in the exchange of criticism and ideas; acknowledges academic debt and strives to be objective in professional judgment of colleagues and staff members; does not discriminate against or harass colleagues or staff members; respects the privacy of colleagues and staff members; accepts the responsibility of faculty for the governance of the institution; and, when speaking or acting as a private person, the faculty member avoids creating the impression of speaking or acting for the University. (Modeled on 1966 AAUP Statement on Professional Ethics, as revised 1987.)

1. Expected Conduct

The faculty member is expected to:

a. treat administrators, faculty, staff members, and students with understanding, dignity, respect, and sensitivity to the diversity of political, religious, and ethnic perspectives; foster an environment of mutual trust among administrators, faculty, staff and students, and help develop a sense of belonging and pride in the University community (see Chancellor's Statement of Principles for Human Resources, September 13, 1993, and further elaboration therein);

b. participate in the operation and governance of his or her academic department or division, school or college and of the University; and render service to the public;

c. take fiscal responsibility for proper management of all types of University funds and property over which he or she has control, in accord with established University policy;

d. be familiar with campus staff personnel policies if the faculty member is a supervisor of staff, and provide regular performance evaluations for the staff, as indicated in such policies;

e. follow normal channels in raising any objection to an action taken by a department chair or other person that affects the faculty member. The faculty member should first discuss the matter with the person having responsibility for that action in order to try, to seek to obtain resolution of the matter at the lowest possible level and by direct communication. If the issue is referred to a higher administrative level, all parties involved should be duly informed, and should be given copies of any written communications or other documents submitted.

2. Unacceptable Conduct

Examples of unacceptable conduct by a faculty member are:

- a. discrimination against faculty or staff members on political grounds, or for reasons of race, religion, sex, ethnic origin, or sexual orientation, or for other illegal, arbitrary, or personal reasons (see Policy Statements on Nondiscrimination and Diversity, referred to in Appendix C, Section 2);
- b. making evaluations of the professional competence of faculty or staff members based on any criteria that are not directly reflective of professional performance;
- c. sexual harassment of faculty or staff (see Policy Statements on Sexual Harassment, referred to in Appendix C, Section 3);
- d. intimidation of or engaging in other conduct disrespecting the human dignity of colleagues or staff members;
- e. breach of established rules governing confidentiality in faculty or staff personnel procedures;
- f. making false or unsubstantiated personal accusations or complaint against a colleague, administrator, or staff member;
- g. falsely portraying the faculty member's own or another's work (in a curriculum vitae or otherwise) in order to gain a personal advantage;
- h. failure to disclose a conflict of interest involving the faculty member, or failure to remove himself or herself (where appropriate) from situations involving a conflict of interest or the appearance of impropriety;
- i. use of University facilities or equipment for private gain in contravention of established University policy;
- j. engaging in conduct that disrupts University functions, or that causes injury to persons or damage to property on the campus, or that impedes freedom of movement of persons to facilities of the University (see section II.I below);
- k. without authorization, knowingly violating the legitimate expectation of privacy of a colleague or staff member, through accessing such person's private documents (including mail) or private computer records (including electronic mail) or through other significant violation of such person's privacy;
- l. knowingly creating the impression of speaking or acting for the University when speaking or acting as a private person.

D. Differential Teaching, Research, and Service Loads

The University has adopted a policy that affirms the University's commitment to appropriate use of differentiated annual workloads for faculty members. The policy recognizes that there are legitimate differences in faculty development needs within the academic community, in interests and areas of expertise among faculty members, in conventions among academic disciplines, and in academic unit program needs. The University policy provides for the development of primary academic unit policies and mechanisms for the assignment and review of differentiated annual workloads for faculty members. (See Administrative Policy Statement on Differentiated Annual Workloads for Faculty, 1989, IV-129 et seq. for elaboration.)

E. Conflicts of Interest Policies

In accord with the general policy adopted by the Board of Regents (April 26, 1975), ". . . no member of the University community shall derive private gain from his/her association with the University except as provided by explicit policies of the University." (See Administrative Policy Statement on Conflict of Interest Policy, 1992, I-113 et seq., for elaboration. The Administrative Policy Statement also covers conflict of commitment and it refers expressly to several other separately stated conflict of interest and conflict of

commitment policies, as well as to a statutory Code of Ethics applicable to state employees. See Colo. Rev. Stat. 24-18-101 et seq.)

F. Policies on Research Involving Human Subjects or Animals

1. Human Subjects Research

The University of Colorado at Boulder complies with the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, and all federal, state or local laws which may be related to research covered by the University's assurances given in this area. The University acknowledges and accepts its responsibilities for protecting the rights and welfare of human subjects of research covered by its assurances. In that connection, the University is guided by the ethical principles regarding research involving humans as subjects as set forth in the report of the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research entitled, *Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research* (the "Belmont Report"). Except for categories exempted under government regulations, research covered by the University's assurance in this area must be reviewed and approved by the Human Resources Committee of the University. It is further University policy that unless informed consent has been specifically waived by the Human Research Committee in accordance with federal regulations, no research investigator shall involve any human being as a subject in research unless the research investigator has obtained the informed consent of the subject or the subjects legally authorized representative. Faculty members and other University personnel who are engaged in human subject research or who teach or supervise students engaged in such research are responsible for complying with the requirements of the University's policies in this area. For further information, contact the Associate Vice Chancellor for Research.

2. Animal Subjects Research

The University of Colorado at Boulder complies with the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Awardee Institutions. The University complies with all applicable provisions of the Animal Welfare Act and other federal statutes and regulations relating to animals, and the University is guided by the U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research and Training. All faculty members and other University personnel who are engaged in care or use of laboratory animals must understand and conform to their individual and collective responsibilities under these requirements. For further information, contact the Animal Resources Office, or the Associate Vice Chancellor for Research.

G. Fiscal Integrity Policies

1. Laws of the Regents, 2002, Section 14.A.4

14.A.4. Employees Responsibility

Employees shall be responsible for the safekeeping and proper maintenance of University property in their charge.

[Note: The Boulder Faculty Assembly interprets the responsibility imposed for property maintenance to mean that a faculty member shall merely monitor the need for proper maintenance of University property in his or her charge, if he or she does not have the direct responsibility for performing maintenance with respect to the property.]

2. Further Elaboration of Professional Duties Relating to Fiscal Integrity

a. Duty to act with integrity and in a fiscally responsible manner with regard to University and granting agency funds or property

Every employee of the University has the duty to deal with University and granting agency funds and other property with integrity and in a fiscally responsible manner, and to comply with any applicable University,

granting agency, federal and state reporting and accounting requirements regarding such funds or other property.

b. Duty to report fiscal misconduct within the University

Any employee or student associated with the University who knows of or suspects fiscal misconduct must promptly notify either one's immediate supervisor or one of the following investigative units: the Department of Internal Audit, [the] Office of [the] University Counsel, the appropriate campus police department, and/or the appropriate campus Human Resources or Personnel department. This duty to report by an individual or supervisor is in compliance with State law and State Fiscal Rules. State employees have 'whistle blower' protection [provided by] State law when they are in compliance with the requirements set forth in statute. (See Administrative Policy Statement on Reporting Fiscal Misconduct, 1993, II-89 et seq., for further elaboration.)

H. Drug Use Policies

The Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act Amendments of 1989 requires that, as a condition of receiving funds or other form of financial assistance under any Federal program, an institution of higher education must certify that it has adopted and implemented a program to prevent the unlawful possession, use or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol by students and employees. The University has implemented the requirements of the Act, in a policy statement on The Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act, 1990, IV-147 et seq., which among other things prescribes standards of conduct which prohibit the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of illicit drugs (controlled substances) and alcohol on University of Colorado property or as a part of University activities.

For the Boulder Campus policy on this subject, see Policy on Alcohol and Drugs (as transmitted to the Faculty and others by letter of Shari J. Robinson, Director, Department of Human Resources, dated July 24, 1995), which provides in part:

University of Colorado Policy on Alcohol and Drugs

I. STANDARDS OF CONDUCT

In compliance with the federal *Drug Free Schools and Communities Act*, the University of Colorado at Boulder prohibits the unlawful manufacture, dispensation, possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance (illicit drugs and alcohol) of any kind and of any amount.

These prohibitions cover any individual's actions which are part of any University activities, including those occurring while on University property or in the conduct of University business away from the campus.

* * *

V. DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS FOR CU EMPLOYEES WHO VIOLATE DRUG AND ALCOHOL LAWS IN VIOLATION OF THIS POLICY

It is a violation of University policy for any member of the faculty, staff, or student body to jeopardize the operation or interests of the University of Colorado through the use of alcohol or drugs. Sanctions that will be imposed by the University of Colorado for employees who are found to be in violation of this policy may include expulsion and/or termination of employment. Compliance with this policy is a condition of employment for all employees.

* * *

For further elaboration, see the Boulder Campus Policy. Note: Unlike the case for illegal drugs, the possession and use of alcohol on campus and at University sponsored off-campus activities is lawful and permitted in the instances described in the University of Colorado at Boulder Alcohol and Drug Policy, dated

October 1987. See that policy for, among other things, the circumstances in which alcohol may be served, and the applicable requirements.

I. One-Sixth Rule

The University has adopted policies regarding additional remuneration for consultative services by faculty, which include allowing faculty members to devote "not more than one-sixth of their time and energy" to research, consulting, or other professional activities for which they receive additional remuneration. See Appendix C, Section 4 for restrictions and further elaboration.

J. Research Misconduct

The University has adopted a policy statement on Misconduct in Research and Authorship, 1989, I-103, et. seq., which is designed to "prevent, identify, and respond to misconduct in research and authorship." In this context, "misconduct" is defined to include:

- (1) fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other serious deviation from accepted practices in proposing, carrying out, or reporting results from research;
- (2) material failure to comply with Federal requirements for protection of researchers, human subjects, or the public or for the ensuring the welfare of laboratory animals;
- (3) failure to meet other material legal requirements governing research [a footnote provides: Please see 52 Fed. Reg. 24466 (July 1, 1987)]; or
- (4) failure to comply with established standards regarding author names on publications.

The definition of misconduct contained in this policy is not intended to override or contradict provisions of other regulations or policies, in particular those policies governing human research subjects and animal welfare. A finding of a substantive violation of policies in these areas will also be considered misconduct under this policy.

See the policy statement for further elaboration and for designation of procedures for review of faculty conduct alleged to be in violation of the policy.

K. University Policy Regarding Disruptive Conduct, Injury to Person or Damage of Property, and Impeding Freedom of Movement at the University, Laws of the Regents, 2002, Section 7.B.3.A.

Faculty members have fully the rights of free expression referred to in Part I of this Standards Document, and in Appendix A. Faculty members should, however, be aware of the following provision contained in the Laws of the Regents, 2002:

7.B.3. Improper Conduct Defined and Prohibited

(A) Students shall refrain from conduct disruptive of university functions; from injury to persons or damage to property on the campus; and from impeding freedom of movement of students, school officials, employees, and invited guests to all facilities of the university. Interference in any manner with the public or private rights of citizens, conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person, and damage to property are prohibited.

See Sections 7.C.1 and 7.C.2 of the Laws of the Regents, 2002, for provisions relating to suspensions and for procedures for assessment of facts and determination of penalties for violation of the above standard of conduct.

Approved by the BFA on May 5, 2005.

PART III

ROLES AND PROFESSIONAL DUTIES OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRS

A. General Statement Regarding Department Chairs

Except for the additions of Section III.C, this statement of roles and responsibilities of department chairs is taken from the Laws of the Regents, 1990, Article 4, Part B, and Appendix B thereto. [Statements referring only to the Medical School, the School of Dentistry, or the Health Sciences Center, have been omitted.]

1. Departmental Organization (from Laws of the Regents, 1990, Section 4.B.1)

Subject to the approval of the appropriate dean, the chancellor, and the president, in colleges and schools where the departmental type of organization is used, each department is granted:

- a. responsibility for developing its own working structure. The working structure and rules of the department must be formulated in consultation with the dean, and shall be followed implicitly until officially amended according to the department's own rules for change;
- b. responsibility to define how personnel and budgetary matters shall be handled within the department;
- c. power to decide how responsibilities for other departmental matters shall be determined; and
- d. responsibility for nominating its chair in accordance with policies established by the Board of Regents. The nominee normally shall be a tenured member of the department or, if selected from outside of the University, eligible for tenure within the unit. All full-time departmental members of professorial or senior instructor rank shall be eligible to participate fully in the selection of the nominee. Departmental full-time instructors may be involved in this deliberation if the aforementioned members of the department so wish.

2. Department Chairs (from Laws of the Regents, 1990, Sections 4.B.2 through 4.B.4)

Each department in the colleges or schools employing the departmental type of organization, by procedures worked out in consultation with the dean of the college or school, and in accordance with the policies established by the Board of Regents, shall propose a nominee for the chair. The chair of a department shall normally be a tenured member of the faculty or, if selected from outside of the University, eligible for tenure within the unit. Upon approval of the nominee by the dean and concurrence by the appropriate campus chancellor and the president, the nomination shall be submitted to the Board for appointment for a specified term, usually four years.*

The term of office of a chair normally shall be four years.** On occasion and for specific reasons, a chair or acting chair may be approved for appointment for a shorter term. However, all department chairs shall be subject to a comprehensive performance evaluation at least once every four years. Upon review and approval of the nominee by the dean of the college or school, the appropriate campus chancellor, and the president, the nomination shall be submitted to the Board for final approval. (Specific criteria, procedures, and other matters relating to the appointment of a

department chair, including term of appointment, termination for cause, evaluation, orientation, and compensation, are set forth in the Laws of the Regents, 1990, Appendix B, Part B.)

The chair shall be the principal officer of the department and be responsible for the effective, efficient administration of the department in accordance with the Laws of the Regents, the policies of the University, and the rules of the college or school and the department. The chair shall also provide intellectual leadership designed to achieve the highest possible level of excellence in the teaching, research, and service activities of the department and its faculty members, and provide direction in academic planning and support for faculty development.

Administratively, each chair is responsible to the dean of the college or school as well as the department. With the advice and counsel of colleagues in the department and acting under the rules of the department, the chair shall be the departmental representative and spokesperson. In implementing the rules of the department with respect to recommendations for faculty appointments, promotions, tenure and salary increments, the chair may submit comments to the dean in addition to the recommendations of the department, but may not overrule decisions of the department or of regularly constituted committees of the department.

* Boulder Faculty Assembly Comment: If the nominee is not approved by the Dean, or if concurrence in the approval is not obtained from appropriate administrators or if the nominee is not appointed by the Board, it is expected that the faculty will be consulted for the nomination of another person.

Statutory Note: Colorado statutory provisions, enacted subsequently to the adoption of the Laws of the Regents 1990, and Appendix B thereto, now require that, with respect to contracts and extensions of contracts entered into on or after July 1, 1993, the employment contract of a governmental unit employee (including that of a Boulder Campus faculty member for the position of department chair, but not for such person's academic appointment) shall be terminable at any time, and that the employee shall be deemed to be an employee-at-will. See Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-19-101 et seq., and specifically § 24-19-104(1)(a).

** See Statutory Note in the previous footnote.

B. Specific Statements Regarding Department Chairs (from Laws of the Regents, 1990, Appendix B)

The Laws of the Regents delegate the development of the working structure of a department to that department in consultation with the appropriate dean. Many departmental administrative structures thus exist, with the chair playing differing roles in each. In some, the chair may have broad authority for independent action; in others, many functions are delegated (to differing extents) to standing or ad hoc committees, which may be appointed or elected. In the case where department rules delegate such responsibility to such committees, the chair has the ultimate responsibility of ensuring that the committees carry out their functions in an equitable, efficient, and timely manner. It is understood in what follows that the duties and responsibilities described are thus to be interpreted in terms of the working rules of the individual departments.

1. Department Leader

As leader of the department:

- a. The chair has the responsibility for providing leadership toward the achievement of the highest possible level of excellence in the teaching, research, and services activities of the department. The chair is expected to articulate the goals of the department, both within and without the department, to articulate the department's actions or requests in pursuit of

these aims, and to maintain a climate that is hospitable to creativity and innovation. The chair has the responsibility to inform the department of the stances and actions of the dean and other administrators that might affect the department.

b. In the larger framework of the college or school, the chair, as a faculty member, has a special responsibility in representing the department in areas of formulation of educational policy and academic ethics, as provided in Article 4 of the Laws of the Regents.

c. The chair is ultimately responsible for the recruitment, selection, and evaluation of both the academic and the staff personnel of the department. In consultation with colleagues, and in consonance with the appropriate departmental procedures, the chair recommends appointments, promotion, merit increases, and terminations. The chair has the explicit responsibility to ensure that faculty members are aware of the departmental, college or school, and campus criteria prescribed for appointment, reappointment, promotion, and tenure, and to make appraisals and recommendations in accordance with the procedures and principles stated in the Laws of the Regents. In the course of recruitment of new appointees or in relation to salary increases or advancement of incumbents, the department chair shall make no formal commitment as to rank and salary until such action has received final approval of the appropriate administrative office. The chair has the responsibility to be familiar with the state personnel system, and to ensure that staff are aware of departmental expectations and of state personnel system criteria for appointment, reappointment, job classification, and promotion.

d. The chair should be receptive to questions, complaints, grievances, and suggestions from members of the department, both academic and staff personnel, and from students. The chair has the responsibility to take appropriate action as required.

2. Department Administrator

As administrator of the department, the chair has duties which include the following, although special assignments may be added from time to time, and the dean or chancellor may specify additional duties.

The chair is responsible for:

a. assignment of teaching and other duties within the department consistent with appropriate FTE levels, and consistent with the concept that the appropriate mix of teaching, research or creative work, scholarship, and service may differ from person to person, and from time to time in the career of an individual;

b. preparation of the schedule of courses and of times and places for class meetings;

c. arrangement and assignment of duty for counseling of students, and for training and supervision of teaching assistants and other student teachers and teacher aides;

d. preparation of the budget and administration of the financial affairs of the department, in strict accordance with dollar and FTE allocations and in accord with University rules and procedures;

e. recommendations of sabbatical leaves and other leaves of absence to the dean, and for ensuring that their scheduling is consistent with departmental needs;

f. promptly reporting the resignation or death of any member of the department;

g. custody and authorized use of University property charged to the department, and assignment of departmental space and facilities to authorized activities in accordance with University policy and campus rules and regulations;

- h. departmental observance of proper health and safety regulations, in coordination with the campus environmental health and safety officer;
- i. maintenance of records and of faculty and staff personnel files, and preparation of reports in accordance with the University and college or school procedures;
- j. enforcement of the "one-sixth" rule regarding outside compensation for consulting in accordance with regent and campus regulations;
- k. reporting to the dean, or appropriate administrators -- whenever the problem cannot be expeditiously resolved at the departmental level -- any failure of an academic or staff member of the department to carry out responsibilities, and recommendation of appropriate remedial and/or disciplinary action.

In the performance of the duties listed above, the chair is expected to seek the advice of departmental faculty colleagues in a systematic way, to provide for the conduct of department affairs in an orderly manner through department meetings and the appointment of appropriate committees, and to keep department members informed of his or her actions in a timely manner. The chair is also expected to seek student advice on matters of concern to students enrolled in the department's programs. In large departments, the chair may be assisted by a vice chair or other colleagues in the tasks involved in carrying out his or her responsibilities, and, when desired, by an executive committee chosen in an appropriate manner. **C. Additional Professional and Ethical Obligations of Department Chairs, Not Expressly Set Forth in the Laws of the Regents, 1990, or in Appendix B thereto**

A chair of a department is expected to perform his or her duties listed above according to the highest standards of professionalism. As a faculty member who also has administrative duties, the chair of a department has the professional rights of a faculty member set forth in Part I of this document. He or she necessarily also has the professional responsibilities of a faculty member and is subject to the ethical principles, limitations, and guides regarding faculty conduct set forth in Part II of this document. In particular, a department chair is expected to treat faculty members, staff, and students with understanding, dignity and respect. He or she is expected to avoid conflicts of interest, and to make academic and administrative recommendations or decisions strictly on the basis of the merits of the case in question, without discriminating against a faculty member, staff member, or student on political grounds or for reasons of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, or ethnic origin, or for other illegal, arbitrary, or personal reasons.

A department chair should also be receptive, in the matters listed in Section III.A.1.d, above, to communications received from persons other than members of the department or students, if such other persons have a legitimate interest. The chair has the responsibility to take appropriate action as required in all such matters, and to notify complaining or other affected persons of the action taken. Department chairs are encouraged to manage or resolve conflicts through direct communication at the lowest appropriate level. If a faculty member disagrees with an action taken by the chair, the faculty member should raise the issue first with the chair in order to try to obtain resolution in a direct communication with the chair. (See Section II.C.1.e.) If the issue is referred to a higher administrative level, all parties involved should be duly informed and given copies of written communications and other documents submitted.

PART IV

REVIEW OF FACULTY CONDUCT AND SANCTIONS FOR UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BY A FACULTY MEMBER

Review of a faculty member's conduct that is alleged to be unprofessional, and any sanction imposed as a consequence of a determination that the conduct is unprofessional, shall be made in accordance with the principles and procedures set forth below in this Part IV. A faculty member who believes himself or herself to be the focus of allegations or rumors of unprofessional conduct may invoke the procedures provided herein for review of his or her conduct. All parties in these procedures should be mindful that a faculty member's reputation is precious, and must not be damaged without the most careful and serious consideration.

A. General Principles

1. Use of Special Procedures

Any matter concerning alleged unprofessional conduct by a faculty member for which a special procedure has been provided (e.g., research misconduct, sexual harassment) shall be determined and resolved in accordance with that procedure. (See, e.g., Administrative Policy Statement on Misconduct in Research and Authorship, dated December 31, 1998, and Administrative Policy Statement on Sexual Harassment Policy and Procedures, dated June 1, 2003, and UCB Campus Policy on Discrimination and Harassment Policy and Procedures as revised July 28, 2008.) In addition, if the alleged unprofessional conduct, if established, would warrant suspension or dismissal of the faculty member, the special procedures required for such sanctions by the Laws of the Regents must be employed. (See Laws of the Regents, Article 5, Part C.)

2. Administrative Level for Resolution

Allegations of unprofessional faculty conduct should be resolved at the lowest appropriate administrative level.

3. Informal Resolution Encouraged

Faculty members and administrators are encouraged to resolve disputes concerning professional conduct through direct personal discussion and agreement or through use of agreed-upon mediation using existing university mediation services (e.g., Ombuds Office or Director of Faculty Relations). If a dispute concerning professional conduct of a faculty member is resolved by agreement, the parties must take into account in reaching the agreement the policies regarding sanctions and record keeping set forth in sections IV.C and IV.D below.

4. Academic Freedom

Determinations and advice or recommendations concerning unprofessional conduct of faculty members, and sanctions for such unprofessional conduct, shall be made and imposed only after careful consideration of the principles of academic freedom of faculty members and must give effect to those principles. (See Laws of the Regents, Article 5, Part D)

5. Confidentiality

A good reputation is crucial to the Administrators dealing with allegations of unprofessional conduct of faculty members shall strive to maintain confidentiality in any discussions or consultations made or proposed to be made in any matters involving the allegations. If unprofessional conduct is found, the expectation of confidentiality shall not apply insofar as public sanctions require disclosure or as otherwise required by law. The person or persons who lodged the original allegation as well as any other persons affected by the alleged conduct shall be notified of the resolution of the matter and any action taken.

6. Timeliness of Proceedings

All proceedings under this Part IV relating to allegations of unprofessional conduct shall be conducted as expeditiously as possible, taking into account, however, both the seriousness of the matter and the need to conduct proceedings in a manner that is both careful and considerate of all persons concerned. Normally, such proceedings will be completed within sixty (60) calendar days of receipt of a written complaint.

B. Definitions

1. Faculty Member means any person who holds a faculty appointment, whether or not he or she also may have an administrative appointment.

2. Responding Faculty Member means any faculty member who has been alleged to have engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined and described in Part II.A., Part II.B. and Part II.C. of this document.

2. Supervising Administrator means the immediate supervisor of the faculty member, typically a department chair or director. (In the event of the recusal of the Supervising Administrator, the administrator at the next higher level becomes the Supervising Administrator for purposes of this procedure.)

3. Reviewing Administrator means the immediate supervisor of the Supervising Administrator, typically a dean.

C. Procedures for Determining Unprofessional Conduct and Sanctions

1. Presenting Allegations of Unprofessional Conduct against a Faculty Member

Any administrator, faculty member, staff member, student, or other interested person who believes that a Boulder Campus faculty member (the "Responding Faculty Member") has acted in an unprofessional manner may present specific allegations of such conduct to the Supervising Administrator of the Responding Faculty Member. The Supervising Administrator may himself or herself be the source of the allegations against the Responding Faculty Member. Initially, the allegations may be presented in either oral or written form. However, if the matter is not resolved under Part IV.C.2 or IV.C.3, below, allegations must be stated in writing in any further proceedings.

2. Preliminary Inquiry by the Supervising Administrator

a. The Supervising Administrator shall first determine whether the alleged misconduct would fall within an area for which a special procedure for review has been established (see Part IV.A.1). If so, the Supervising Administrator shall refer the allegations to the appropriate body or person under the special procedure.

b. Otherwise, the Supervising Administrator may make such preliminary inquiry as he or she may deem appropriate to determine the validity of the allegations. The process may terminate at this point if the Supervising Administrator concludes that the allegations

- 1) are patently untrue or frivolous;
- 2) do not involve unprofessional conduct;
- or
- 3) involve matters that are too insubstantial to deserve further attention.

In any of these instances, the Supervising Administrator shall advise the person presenting the allegations, orally or in writing, of the Supervising Administrator's decision not to pursue the matter further.

3. Meeting with the Responding Faculty Member

a. If allegations are not disposed of under the preceding provisions, the Supervising Administrator shall meet with the Responding Faculty Member to discuss the allegations with him or her. This meeting shall result in one of the following:

i. The Supervising Administrator may conclude that the allegations are patently untrue or frivolous; do not involve unprofessional conduct; or involve matters of insufficient consequence to merit further attention. On reaching such conclusion, the Supervising Administrator shall advise the person or persons presenting the allegations, orally or in writing, of the Supervising Administrator's decision not to pursue the matter further.

ii. The Supervising Administrator may conclude (or the Responding Faculty Member may admit) that the Responding Faculty Member has engaged in unprofessional conduct. On reaching such conclusion or receiving such admission, the Supervising Administrator may impose a sanction that he or she deems appropriate and warranted for the conduct in question, in accordance with Part IV.D, below.

iii. The Supervising Administrator may conclude that additional investigation is required.

4. Request for Recusal of Supervising Administrator; Referral to Higher Administrative Level

a. If the matter is not disposed of under the preceding provisions, and if the Responding Faculty Member believes that the Supervising Administrator cannot be objective in further considering the matter, the faculty member may request that the administrator recuse himself or herself in the matter, presenting the reasons for the request. If such a request is made, the Supervising Administrator shall promptly consider the request and reasons and shall recuse himself or herself unless he or she in good faith determines that a reasonable person would find no impropriety in the determination of the matter by the Supervising Administrator.

b. The Responding Faculty Member may promptly appeal a decision against recusal to the next higher administrative level, and in such case the matter will be suspended pending the appeal. The appeal shall be reviewed as promptly as possible. If the Supervising Administrator decides to recuse himself or herself, or if as the result of an appeal the Supervising Administrator is directed to withdraw from the matter, the matter shall be referred to the next higher administrative level, and the administrator at that level shall thereupon become the Supervising Administrator for the matter.

c. If, at any time, the Supervising Administrator believes that, despite the general principle stated in Part IV.A.2 above, it would be more appropriate to resolve the matter at a higher administrative level, the Supervising Administrator may refer the matter to the next higher administrative level, and the administrator at that level shall thereupon become the Supervising Administrator for the matter. Alternatively, the Supervising Administrator may request a formal investigation by the Director of Faculty Relations instead of conducting an inquiry himself or herself. In such case, the Supervising Administrator will receive a formal written report of the findings of the Director of Faculty Relations, including recommendations for disposition of the matter.

5. Determination of Unprofessional Conduct and Sanctions

a. If after informal consultation and further inquiry (or a formal investigation by the Director of Faculty Relations), the Supervising Administrator finds that the Responding Faculty Member has not engaged in unprofessional conduct, the Supervising Administrator shall notify both the Responding Faculty Member and the party or parties lodging the allegations of the final disposition of the matter.

b. If the Supervising Administrator finds that unprofessional conduct has occurred, the Supervising Administrator may impose a sanction appropriate to the conduct, in accordance with Part IV.D, below.

6. Appeal of a Supervising Administrator's Finding of Unprofessional Conduct

a. If the sanction imposed by the Supervising Administrator is to emphasize the faculty member's professional responsibilities under section IV.D.4.d.i or to admonish the faculty member orally under section IV.D.4.d.ii, or both, the finding and sanction(s) are final and may not be appealed.

b. If the Supervising Administrator imposes a more serious sanction than those described in IV.D.4.d.i or IV.D.4.d. ii, below, he or she must do so in writing. The Responding Faculty Member may appeal either the Supervising Administrator's finding or the sanction(s) imposed, or both, following the following procedure:

i. Within ten (10) working days of the date that the Supervising Administrator's notifies the Responding Faculty Member that unprofessional conduct has occurred and imposes a sanction, the Responding Faculty Member may submit a formal Request for Review to the next higher administrative level. The Request for Review shall include a statement of reasons for the appeal. The administrator at the next higher administrative level shall become the Reviewing Administrator.

ii. The Reviewing Administrator shall employ such procedures as provide for a timely and impartial review of the allegations, findings, and imposed sanctions. These procedures may include conducting his or her own independent review of all documents related to the matter, consultations with the Responding Faculty Member and Supervising Administrator, requesting a formal investigation by the Director of Faculty Relations (if such investigation has not been undertaken previously) and/or creating and convening an ad hoc Advisory Review Committee composed of a minimum of three disinterested faculty members. In carrying out their responsibilities, the Reviewing Administrator, the Director of Faculty Relations, and/or any Advisory Review Committee shall be guided by the intent to balance the interests of the Responding Faculty Member, the Supervising Administrator, and the University.

iii. As part of the formal Request for Review, the Responding Faculty Member may request that the review be carried out by the BFA Grievance Advisory Committee. The Reviewing Administrator shall honor such a request.

iv. The Reviewing Administrator (acting alone or with the assistance of the Director of Faculty Relations or the Advisory Review Committee) has twenty (20) working days to complete his or her review. The Reviewing Administrator may affirm, modify, or overturn the Supervising Administrator's findings or sanctions, or both as the facts and circumstances warrant. The Reviewing Administrator shall render his or her decision in writing to both the Responding Faculty Member and the Supervising Administrator.

v. Except in those cases where the sanction to be imposed is serious enough to require the use of review and appeal procedures established in other University policies or the Laws of the Regents (see Section IV.D.2), the decision of the Reviewing Administrator shall be final.

D. Sanctions for Unprofessional Conduct

1. Considerations for Determining Sanctions

Any sanctions imposed by the Supervising Administrator shall be both appropriate for and likely to remedy and/or justly penalize the unprofessional conduct in question. In all cases, determination of the appropriate sanction depends upon the facts and circumstances of the particular instance of unprofessional conduct, including the nature of the conduct, whether it was purposeful or negligent, whether it has been repeated or is likely to be repeated, the harm resulting from the unprofessional conduct, and other relevant matters.

Sanctions shall be selected only after due consideration of the principles of academic freedom and shall give effect to those principles. (See Laws of the Regents, 1990, Article 5, Part D, reprinted in Appendix A to this Professional Rights and Responsibilities document.) Because of the threat to academic freedom, an administrator should not require an apology from a faculty member as part of a sanction without consultation with an appropriate faculty committee. (See Boulder Faculty Assembly Resolution on Administrators' Demands for Apologies by Faculty Members, Appendix C, Section 5.)

2. Limitation on Imposing Sanctions Providing for Suspension or Dismissal

Any suspension or dismissal of a faculty member shall be made only under the procedures provided for such action in the Laws of the Regents. (See Laws of the Regents, Article 5, Part C.)

3. Limitation on Imposing Sanctions for Failure to Act in a Collegial Manner

Collegiality (See section II.C, "Ethical Principles") contributes to a cooperative, harmonious, and productive work environment in an academic unit. Merely irritating conduct, evidencing a lack of collegiality, shall not alone be the basis for imposing a sanction more severe than a confidential reprimand of a faculty member. However, the Supervising Administrator may impose a more serious sanction if he or she determines that the lack of collegiality is of such severity or duration that it compromises the effective operation of the academic unit or substantially interferes with the work of one or more of its faculty members, staff, or students.

4. Authorized Sanctions and by Whom Imposed

a. Subject to the foregoing, sanctions for unprofessional conduct by a faculty member may consist of any one or more of the sanctions listed below, as may be appropriate, and any other sanction or sanctions that may be considered appropriate in the particular instance. The order in which sanctions are listed below does not imply that they must be imposed successively, nor does it imply that any lesser sanction must be imposed before a more severe sanction may be imposed.

b. Sanctions will normally be imposed by the Supervising Administrator. However, sanctions referred to in sections IV.D.4.d.vii - ix, below (i.e., reassignment to another academic unit, withholding raises or privileges, reduction of salary or privileges), may be imposed only by an administrator who holds the position of dean of a school or college, or an equivalent or higher administrative level position. If the Supervising Administrator believes such a sanction to be appropriate but does not hold such a position, he or she shall refer the matter, with his or her recommendation for sanctions, to the administrator at the next highest level of administration who holds such a position. The administrator at that level shall then determine the appropriate sanction, if any, following a review of the recommendation for sanction, any record available, and any written statement the Responding Faculty Member may wish to make in the matter to that administrator. (Note: In such cases, the process described in this paragraph shall also be understood to constitute the appeal process described in IV.C.6, above.)

c. Sanctions described in sections IV.C.4.d.x and IV.C.4.d.xi (i.e., suspension or termination) may be imposed only under the special procedures provided for in the Laws of the Regents. (See section IV.C.2

above.)

- d. Sanctions for unprofessional conduct by a faculty member may include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - i. emphasizing, orally or in writing, to the faculty member his or her professional responsibilities;
 - ii. oral or written admonition of the faculty member;
 - iii. confidential reprimand of the faculty member;
 - iv. public reprimand of the faculty member;
 - v. reassignment, temporarily or permanently, of the faculty member's office or other working space (with the appropriate consent of any academic unit affected);
 - vi. reassignment, temporarily or permanently, of the faculty member's courses or other duties;
 - vii. reassignment, temporarily or permanently, of the faculty member to another academic unit (with the appropriate consent of any academic unit affected);
 - viii. withholding raises or privileges for the faculty member for a specified period or until the faculty member demonstrates, under the terms of the sanction, that he or she has terminated the unprofessional conduct;
 - ix. temporary or continuing reduction in salary or privileges of the faculty member;
 - x. suspension of the faculty member (subject to the provisions of section IV.D.2 above) for a period stated or until stated conditions are met; or
 - xi. termination of employment or dismissal of the faculty member (subject to the provisions of section IV.D.2 above).

5. Special Requirements for Temporary Sanctions

In any case in which a temporary sanction is imposed, the temporary sanction shall specify the term of the sanction or the conditions upon which the sanction will terminate, either automatically or after a hearing determining that such conditions have been satisfied. Any such hearing shall be conducted by the Supervising Administrator who imposed the sanction or by the Supervising Administrator's successor or designee.

E. Reporting and Records

1. Reporting

Any sanction imposed on a faculty member for unprofessional conduct (other than emphasizing the faculty member's professional obligations under section IV.D.4.d.i or an oral admonition under section IV.D.4.d.ii above), and any decision made concerning whether the terms of a temporary sanction have been met, shall be reported to the next higher administrative level.

2. Records

- a. Except as otherwise provided in the terms of a sanction, any sanction imposed on a faculty member (other than emphasizing the faculty member's professional obligations under section IV.D.4.d.i or an oral admonition under section IV.D.4.d.ii above) shall be evidenced in writing and shall become part of the personnel file of the faculty member.
- b. The faculty member upon whom the sanction is imposed shall receive a copy of the written notice of the sanction. The faculty member may, at a date specified and having satisfied the conditions specified in the sanction (if any), request that the notice of the sanction be removed from his or her personnel file in accordance with any applicable University procedures.
- c. A copy of any and all records pertaining to any matter dealt with under these provisions, including those in the possession of the administrator making the decision or of any Advisory Committee appointed under these provisions, shall be made available to any review as contemplated in these provisions. In the

absence of any such review, such records shall be maintained in a confidential file by the administrator making the decision, except as the administrator may otherwise be directed by order of any tribunal or by express University policy or by University Counsel, or except as the records may become relevant to a sanction in a further matter of unprofessional conduct by the faculty member.

d. In the absence of any other direction, and if no sanction was imposed, the material in the confidential file shall be destroyed after a period of one year from the date of the decision of the Supervising Administrator. If any part of the material consists of property belonging to another person, a copy or a description of such property shall be placed in the confidential file and the property returned to the owner as soon as possible.

F. Review by Committee on Privilege and Tenure for Dismissal for Cause

Imposition of a sanction of dismissal for cause is subject to the provisions of Laws of the Regents 5.C.3: Faculty Grievance Process, and is appealable to the Committee on Privilege and Tenure, provided that all available campus-level appeals have been exhausted.

Revised and approved by the Boulder Faculty Assembly, November 6, 2008